Influence of admission glucose profile and hemoglobin A1c on complications of acute myocardial infarction in diabetic patients
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Abstract. – BACKGROUND: Diabetic patients have a double higher short-term mortality rate after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) than non-diabetic ones. Admission glucose level has been already concerned as an independent risk factor for the long-term prognosis after myocardial infarction. The aim of this study is to evaluate the influence of admission glucose profile (AGP) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) on complications of the AMI in patients with type 2 diabetes.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: The study was based on 76 diabetic patients hospitalized with first-ever AMI. Admission glucose profile was estimated as mean value of the first six blood glucose values, since HbA1c was measured from the blood sampled in the first morning after the admission to hospital. All post-infarction complications are divided into electrical and mechanical ones. ROC curves are used to analyze predictive values of admission glucose profile and HbA1c for developing post-infarction complications.

RESULTS: Admission glucose profile is a significant (p = 0.001) predictor of electrical complications with 12.25 mmol/L cut-off value (sensitivity 77.3%; specificity 64.5%), while it is not significant (p > 0.05) for mechanical complication (cut-off value 16.85 mmol/L; sensitivity 45.2%; specificity 77.8%). HbA1c is not enough good for the complication prediction (p > 0.05). Considering electrical and mechanical complications aggregately, AGP is even more significant (p = 0.000) with 14.85 mmol/L cut-off value (sensitivity 54.4%; specificity 94.7%), and HbA1c is significant, as well (p = 0.013, too with 9.07 % cut-off value (sensitivity 57.9%; specificity 78.8%).

CONCLUSIONS: Comparing the predictability between AGP and HbA1c, in our sample, the first one seems to be the better one. Admission glucose profile and HbA1c should be the obligatory laboratory tests performed at the time of hospital admission after the heart attack.
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Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases are the cause of death in up to 80% patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM)\(^1\). Absolute risk of death related to the coronary heart disease (CHD) is more than three times higher among diabetic patients than among non diabetic ones\(^2\-\(^4\). A well known fact is that patients with DM have circa the double higher short-term mortality rate after acute myocardial infarction (AMI) than patients without DM\(^5\-\(^7\). A more severe CHD\(^8\-\(^9\) with congestive heart failure\(^10\) or cardiomyopathy increased the risk of early reinfection\(^11\), and more frequent AMI complications\(^6\-\(^7\) seem to be the main factors that influence on the lower survival rate in diabetic versus non-diabetic patients. Autonomic neuropathy in DM related to the electrical complication of AIM may contribute to the increased tendency for development of supra and ventricular arrhythmias\(^2\-\(^12\). Post-AMI mechanical complications are related mostly to developing of heart failure, and may be presented as left ventricular remodeling with higher left ventricular filling pressure, left ventricular diastolic dysfunction, or decreased left ventricular ejection fraction\(^13\-\(^16\).

Admission glucose level has been already concerned as an independent poor risk factor for long-term prognosis after myocardial infarction\(^17\-\(^19\). Higher admission glucose level (> 180 mg/dL; 9.94 mmol/L) in patients with AMI regardless of DM status is related to higher prevalence of life-threatening arrhythmias and mortality rate, since the admission euglycemic patients (< 120 mg/dL; 6.63 mmol/L) have the lowest prevalence of ventricular tachyarrhythmia\(^20\).

The aim of this study is to evaluate the influence of the admission glucose profile (AGP) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) on both electrical (EC) and mechanical complications (MC) of AMI in patients with DM.
Patients and Methods

The study was performed on 76 patients who suffered from type 2 DM hospitalized with a first-ever AMI. The diagnosis of DM was based on the medical records or diabetes diagnosed during the event. The diagnosis of the heart attack was based on patient’s subjective symptoms related to the pain, ECG changes typical for AMI, and the level of troponin I (higher than 0.033 µg/L).

AGP was estimated as mean value of the first six separate blood glucose levels, obtained before each of the three daily meals and two hours after them. HbA1c was measured from the blood sampled in the first morning after the hospital admission.

All post AMI complications were divided into electrical ones (ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation, ventricular extrasystoles, atrial fibrillation, and conduction system disorders: the second and the third atrioventricular block), and mechanical ones, which are as follows:

- Left ventricular remodeling with higher left ventricular filling pressure, or dilatation of left atrium (reference range 1.9-4 cm), or dilatation and/or hypertrophy of left chamber (reference end-diastolic range 3.5-5.7 cm; reference end-systolic range 2.5-4.1 cm; reference range of posterior wall thickness 0.6-1 cm);
- Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction (estimation of diastolic function by diastolic transmission flow in apical position, and size of E and A waves (if E/A < 1 the diastolic dysfunction exists);
- Regional movement disorders (reference range of wall moving’s amplitude is 0.9-1.4 cm);
- Decreased left ventricular systolic ejection fraction (if it is less than 40%).

Only AMI complications developed during the initial hospitalization (early complications) were taken into consideration. EC were diagnosed by standard six-channel ECG, since the ultrasonography (performed by color-Doppler Aloka SSD-870, Tokyo, Japan) was used in determination of the MC.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical evaluation of results was performed by the SPSS ver. 12 for Win software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve was used to assess the levels of AGP and HbA1c predictive for the post AMI complication development. p value below 0.05 was considered as significant, since below 0.01 was highly significant. The ROC curve provides an opportunity to find the cut-off score with the optimal ratio between sensitivity and specificity (or to maximize proportions between true positive ones and true negative ones). Y axis represents sensitivity with values from 0.0 to 1.0 (since the proportions are considered). X axis represents one minus specificity or proportion of false positive ones. If using normalized units, the area under the curve (AUC) is equal to the probability that a classifier will rank a randomly chosen positive case higher than a randomly chosen negative one; e.g. AUC is accuracy of the test.

Student’s t-test and measures of descriptive statistics were also used.

Results

Statistical analysis was performed on 76 patients, mean age 63.41 ± 8.33, min 46, max 81; out of which 36 were females and 40 were males. There is no statistical difference in the age related to the gender (t-test, p > 0.05).

ROC curves for AGP and HbA1c related to ECs are shown in Figure 1 and in Table I. AUCs with standard errors (SE) and p values with confidence intervals can be found below the chart.. Namely, the accuracy of AGP’s predictions of ECs is significantly different from 50:50 chance for the case (p = 0.001), since the accuracy that HbA1c does the same is not significantly different (p > 0.05). For the match AGP-EC, cut-off value is 12.25 mmol/L (sensitivity 77.3%; specificity 64.5%), since for the match HbA1c-EC cut-off value is 7.05% (sensitivity 77.3%; specificity 45.2%).

Figure 2 and Table II shows ROC curves for AGP and HbA1c related to MC. None of the matches are significant. The cut-off values for the match AGP-MC, and for the match HbA1c-MC are: 16.85 mmol/L (sensitivity 45.2%; specificity 77.8%), and 12.9% (sensitivity 29.0%; specificity 91.1%), consequently.

Considering electrical and mechanical complications aggregately (E&MC), ROC curves are presented in Figure 3 and in Table III, in which the match AGP-E&MC is even more significant (p = 0.000) with 14.85 mmol/L cut-off value (sensitivity 54.4%; specificity 94.7%), since the
Table 1. ROC curves for AGP and HbA1c related to ECs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>95% confidence intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGP</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure and Table I. ROC curves for AGP and HbA1c related to ECs.

match HbA1c-E&MC is also highly significant (p = 0.013), with 9.07 % cut-off value (sensitivity 57.9%; specificity 78.8%).

Discussion

The Framingham study’s outcomes\(^8\), revealed that diabetes was associated with a fourfold increase in the risk of chronic heart failure (CHF), even after adjustment for other cardiovascular risk factors (blood pressure, cholesterol level, obesity, etc.). Diabetic patients experience higher rates of CHF following AMI, than non-diabetic ones\(^9\). Diabetic women in middle age lose their relative protection against CHD compared with men\(^8\), which was confirmed by our study, too, but the reason remains unclear.

Findings of our work are related to the glucose profile at the admission, not a single blood glucose value, as recent publications showed\(^17\-\^20\). Several prospective studies have confirmed that post-prandial hyperglycemia (after 1h glucose > 11.1 mmol/L) increases a relative risk for morbidity and mortality of cardiovascular diseases\(^21\-\^23\). From this aspect, AGP was expected to be a better predictor of AMI, since it engages three values of post-load glycemia. Statistical analysis showed that higher values of AGP correlate with a higher appearance of complications of AMI. Values above 12.25 mmol/l are predictive for electrical complications, since values above 14.85 mmol/l are highly predictive for complications, regardless of type. In general, MC considered separately from EC should not be predicted by AGP nor by HbA1c.
A well-known fact is that the relative risk for the development of long-term DM complications (retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy) is progressively dependent to the HbA1c increase\(^2\)). Since it is a useful measure for the therapeutic treatment of DM, its value advocates an integrated summary of blood glucose levels during preceding 6-8 weeks\(^2\)), but recent investigations exceeded that period to 12 weeks. The European Society of Cardiology’s Guidelines for Diabetes\(^2\),\(^6\) suggests that for each 1% increase of HbA1c, there is a defined increased risk for cardiovascular disease (14%). In that sense, HbA1c was expected to be a better predictor of post-AMI complications than AGP, but in our sample that hypothesis was not proved. Despite the fact that statistical significance was not achieved when matching HbA1c with EC, nor HbA1c with MC separately, it was achieved while complications had been summarized. Values of HbA1c above 9% sampled in the first morning after admission to the hospital are highly predictive for both types of complications.

### Conclusions

AGP is a good predictor for AMI complications, significantly better for the electrical ones, than for the mechanical ones. The AGP measurement should be performed in each case of AMI as the obligatory laboratory test at the time of hospital admission. AGP above 12.25 mmol/L is favorable value related to a higher risk of electrical complications, since values above 14.85 mmol/L and admission values of HbA1c above 9
% are predictive for both types of complications. Comparing the predictability potential between AGP and HbA1c, in the sample under review here, the AGP seems to be the better one.
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