Clinical effectiveness of $^{125}$I-Seed implantation in combination with nimotuzumab therapy for the advanced oral carcinoma: preliminary results
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Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: This study determines the short-term efficacy and toxicity of combined $^{125}$I-seed implantation and nimotuzumab in treating the advanced oral carcinoma. $^{125}$I-seed implantation is safe and has shown good short-term efficacy in clinical practice. Nimotuzumab is a useful biological agent for targeted therapy. Effect of $^{125}$I-seed implantation with nimotuzumab in treating oral carcinomas remains unclear.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: From November 2011 to December 2012, 11 patients with advanced oral carcinoma (pathologic types: 7 cases of squamous cell carcinoma and 4 cases of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma) were enrolled in our hospital. The patients did not receive surgery due to systemic disease or locally advanced cancer. All of them underwent $^{125}$I-seed implantation with the matched peripheral doses (MPD) ranging from 90-100 Gy. The apparent activity per seed ranged from 0.6 mCi (2.22 MBq) to 0.7 mCi (2.59 MBq). Later, all patients were given nimotuzumab (200 mg, intravenous drip, weekly, for 6 weeks). The patients were then followed up and the response rate, acute/chronic radiation-induced injury, and safety of the induction treatment were analyzed.

RESULTS: Three patients achieved complete while 6 patients had partial response; yielding a response rate of 81.8%. Major adverse events included radiation-induced oral mucosis, local hemorrhage, bone marrow suppression, nausea/vomiting, and alopecia. Adverse reaction was not significantly different between the group of patients under 65 years of age and over 65 years of age ($p > 0.05$). Nimotuzumab enhanced the tumor sensitivity to brachytherapy without increasing AEs and improved the patients' life quality.

CONCLUSIONS: $^{125}$I-seed implantation combined with nimotuzumab is effective and safe for patients with unresectable oral carcinoma.
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Introduction

The treatment of oral malignancies is often based on the multidisciplinary approaches using surgery and radiochemotherapy. For patients who cannot tolerate surgery due to physical status or those who refuse surgery for concerns about the damage of facial appearance, radiochemotherapy has become the main method to suppress the rapid growth of tumor cells at the advanced stage. However, both chemotherapeutic drugs and external beam radiation can cause severe damage to the normal tissues and/or their functions which limits their wide-scale application in the clinical settings. $^{125}$I-seed implantation, as a minimally invasive brachytherapy, has shown good short-term efficacy in clinical practice and the procedure includes high safety levels and acceptable minor complications. Nimotuzumab is an immunobiological agent used for targeted therapy. When used in combination with radiochemotherapy, it can raise the overall response and disease control rates and prolong the progression-free survival (PFS). However, to our knowledge, no study so far has reported therapeutic effects of $^{125}$I-seed implantation in combination with nimotuzumab immunotherapy, targeting enhancement of the tumor sensitivity to radioactive particles. Herein, based on the clinical data obtained from 11 patients with oral malignancies that were treated at our department, we report that $^{125}$I-seed implantation combined with nimotuzumab is a safe and effective treatment for patients with unresectable oral carcinoma. $^{125}$I-seed implantation is a minimally invasive brachytherapy that has short-term clinical efficacy. Nimotuzumab is an immunobiological agent that has been used for targeted therapy. Clinical efficacy and safety of the combined use of $^{125}$I-seed implantation and nimotuzumab for treating
Clinical effectiveness of $^{125}$I-Seed implantation

advanced oral cancers remain unclear so far. We report the data obtained from 11 patients with unresectable oral carcinoma that were treated at our hospital center using this combination therapy.

The aim of this study was to determine the safety and clinical efficacy of $^{125}$I-seed implantation in combination with nimotuzumab immunotherapy in patients with advanced oral carcinoma.

Patients and Methods

Patients

From November 2011 to December 2012, a total of 11 patients (5 men and 6 women; aged 42-81 years) with oral malignancies (pathologic types: 7 cases of squamous cell carcinoma and 4 cases of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma) who were treated at our hospital center were enrolled in this study. The patients’ data are listed in Table I.

Inclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Patients with unresectable lesions, or those who were receiving radiotherapy (with locally advanced tumors or poor general condition), or those who voluntarily asked for $^{125}$I-seed implantation and nimotuzumab treatment; (2) those who were at clinical stage III or IV (based on the TNM staging criteria established by the International Union against Cancer); (3) those with a performance status (PS) score of ≤3; (4) those with an expected survival of >6 months; (5) those with a Karnofsky score of ≥80; and (6) those with normal routine blood test results as well as normal heart, liver and kidney functions. All patients signed the informed consent forms and the study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee.

$^{125}$I-seed Implantation

Planning

$^{125}$I radioactive seeds (kindly provided by Beijing Astro Technology Ltd. Co) had an apparent activity per seed of 0.6 mCi (2.22 MBq) to 0.7 mCi (2.59 MBq), a half-life of 59.6 days and a matched peripheral dose (MPD) of 90-120 Gy. Spiral CT scan was performed before the implantation. After the axial images were reconstructed, CT images were introduced into a computer-based treatment plan system (TPS) to fit the 3-dimensional (3D) profiles of the tumor and its surrounding major organs/tissues. Using the TPS, we calculated the required radiation dosage in
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targeted area, numbers of radiation sources, and 3D arrangement in order to make 90% of the targeted organ receive 90% of the prescription dose and the average radiation dose of the organ at risk being less than 30 Gy.

**Seed Implantation**

The patients were fasted for food and water 4 h before surgery. Sedative drugs were administered 0.5 h before surgery. The seeds were implanted under local or general anesthesia. Body surface was positioned in accordance with the treatment plan before the seeds were implanted, with a space between the needle tract and the seeds ranging 1.0-1.5 cm.

**Verification After the Procedure**

A second spiral CT scan was performed 1-2 days after the procedure and the CT images were introduced into the TPS. A supplementary implantation was performed when “cold spots” were found in the targeted area. The actual matched peripheral dose (MPD) was calculated and the locations, amount, and distribution of the seeds were verified.

**Nimotuzumab Immune Therapy**

Nimotuzumab treatment (200 mg, weekly, for 6 consecutive weeks) was given before and after the seed implantation. Oral dexamethasone (8 mg, before breakfast in the morning, for 3 consecutive days) was initiated one day before the treatment. Routine blood test was performed every three days during the treatment. At the end of each cycle, the liver function, kidney function, and blood sugar levels were measured and the routine urine and stool tests were performed. For the patients with decreased white blood cells and neutrophils, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor support was provided until these cells returned to normal levels.

**Evaluation of Therapeutic Efficacy**

The evaluation of therapeutic efficacy was mainly based on the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST): a complete response (CR) was defined as the disappearance of all target and non-target lesions and normalization of the tumor marker levels for at least 4 weeks; a partial response (PR) was defined as at least 30% decrease in sum of the longest diameter (LD) of target lesions for at least 4 weeks; a progressive disease (PD) was defined as the sum of the longest diameter (LD) of the measurable lesions increased by ≥20% or appearance of new lesions; and a stable disease (SD) was defined as neither sufficient shrinkage to qualify for PR nor sufficient increase to qualify for PD. The following two formulas were used:

- Response rate (RR) = (CR + PR) / number of all cases
- Disease control rate (DCR) = (CR + PR + SD) / number of all cases

**Adverse Reactions**

Acute radiation-induced injuries were evaluated using the grading criteria developed by Radiation Treatment Oncology Group (RTOG) and European Organization for Research on Treatment of Cancer (EORTC). The hematologic, dermatologic, and systemic toxicities were evaluated according to the guidelines of National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria version 3.0 (CTC3.0)3.

**Statistical Analysis**

To evaluate safety according to age, we compared the adverse reaction between the group of patients under 65 years and the group of patients over 65 years. Toxicity and Radiation-induced injuries for patients under 65 years and over 65 years were using the $\chi^2$ test for categoric variables. Measurement data were analyzed by the statistical software SPSS 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). $p < 0.05$ was considered to be statistical difference.

**Results**

**Post-$^{129}$I-seed Implantation Observations**

$^{125}$I-seed implantation was successfully performed in all the patients. The implanted seeds were 17-93 in number (mean: 46). After the procedure, the TPS dose verification showed that the mean MPD was 98 Gy. Two patients experienced slight PD after the surgery for whom a supplementary implantation was performed at the second post-operative month. All patients completed the 6-week nimotuzumab immunotherapy.
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Short-Term Clinical Efficacy

According to the half-life of $^{125}$I-seeds, the prescription dose could be reached 59.6 days after the seed implantation. Therefore, a second CT scan for evaluating the tumors was arranged two months after the surgery. Of the 11 patients, 3 achieved CR (one such case is shown in Figure 1), 6 PR, and 1 SD, yielding a RR of 81.8%. The local DCR was 90.9%. One patient with squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue was found unresponsive to the $^{125}$I-seed implantation and showed local recurrence; therefore, a second implantation was performed.

Toxicities and Adverse Events

The acute radiation-induced injuries were mainly presented as radiation-induced mucositis and radiation-induced dermatitis. The radiation-induced mucositis was mainly limited to grade 2 (5 cases of grade 1 and 3 cases of grade 2), while the radiation-induced dermatitis was mainly grade 1 (2 cases of grade 1 and 2 cases of grade 2). No grade 3 or higher toxicities (i.e. acute or late radiation injuries that affect the quality of life) were found during this study. One patient with squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue root suffered from a sore and swollen throat following the $^{125}$I-seed implantation which was improved after symptomatic treatment. The main adverse reactions of nimotuzumab (listed in Table II) included neutropenia, fatigue, nausea and mild liver damage, which were alleviated after symptomatic treatment (e.g. pain-relieving, anti-inflammatory, or anti-emetic medications) and nutritional support. Grade 1/2 (but without 3/4) leukopenia was observed. However, there was not a significant difference between the group of patients under age 65 years and over 65 years of age for toxicities and adverse events ($p > 0.05$) (Table II). No skin rash or allergic reaction was noted.

Discussion

Surgery is a common treatment for oral malignancies. However, chemotherapy and radiotherapy may become the first choice for patients who are diagnosed with III-b or V stage tumors or the patients who refuse surgery due to their poor general health status or concerns about post-surgical facial effects. Regarding such patients, although either chemotherapy or radiotherapy can somehow control the growth and metastasis of advanced cancer, the efficacies of these treatments remain suboptimal. In addition, the harm-

Figure 1. Axial CT images of squamous cell carcinoma of the left gingiva in one patient are shown: (A) before treatment; (B) two months after treatment.
ful effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy on normal tissues and their function can lead to the intolerance of these therapies in patients with advanced tumors who are already in poor general condition; restraining the treatment doses to avoid intolerance also lowers the effectiveness of the therapy. Therefore, it is highly desirable to develop a more effective and less harmful therapy in clinical practice.

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is an extracellular receptor widely expressed in the epithelial tissue. Over-expression of the EGFR is associated with tumor cell proliferation, angiogenesis, resistance to apoptosis, and sensitivity to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Since EGFR is defined as a potent target for cancer therapy, targeted molecular therapy has become a promising approach to enhance the efficacy of cancer therapy. Specific inhibition of various key targets that are involved in carcinogenesis has been proven to inhibit tumor cell growth, invasion, and metastasis. Moreover, overexpression of EGFR was found in more than 90% of head and neck cancers. Grandis et al. reported that EGFR expression in tumor tissue of oral and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) was significantly higher than that of adjacent normal tissue. Also, the expression levels of EGFR and its ligands were found to correlate negatively with the disease-free survival. Thus, EGFR was reported as a potent target for the treatment of oral malignancies.

Nimotuzumab is the first humanized therapeutic monoclonal antibody against EGFR. Nimotuzumab has been approved for the treatment of squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck in countries including China, Cuba, India, Argentina, and Columbia. Nimotuzumab binds to the extracellular domain of EGFR, competing with the ligands of EGFR, thereby inhibiting EGF binding and blocking its signal transduction. The potent ability of nimotuzumab in inducing apoptosis of tumor cells, inhibiting tumor cell proliferation, and preventing tumor-related angiogenesis has been proven by in vitro and in vivo studies. Furthermore, the anti-tumor effects of nimotuzumab have also been confirmed by several clinical trials. In this regard, Crombet et al. performed a study of nimotuzumab combined with radiation therapy in patients with advanced head and neck cancer. In this study, the 3-year overall survival rates were reported to be 66.7% in the group receiving the optimal dose of 200 mg nimotuzumab for treatment of oral cancer and HNSCC in patients who had no indication for surgery. Moreover, a randomized controlled study of 106 advanced cancer patients without indications for surgery reported that the complete regression rate in the group with nimotuzumab plus radiotherapy was 59.5% vs. 34.2% in the group with placebo plus radiotherapy; median survival was 22.7 and 12.5 months, respectively. Those data show that nimotuzumab with radiotherapy has better CR and survival rates in patients with oral cancer. These studies have also revealed a strong synergistic effect of the combined treatment of nimotuzumab and radiotherapy which increases the sensitivity of oral cancer cells to radiotherapy.

In general, higher radiation doses are required for better therapeutic efficacy but higher radiation doses often cause damage to the normal tissue. Brachytherapy, also called internal radiation (e.g., 125I-radioactive seed implantation therapy), allows for delivering higher radiation doses more specifically to the target area(s) of the body as opposed to the conventional radiation therapy (external beam radiation). Currently, brachytherapy technique is being used, as adjuvant therapy after surgical resection or alone, to treat the advanced oral malignancies worldwide.
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Regarding the 11 patients herein treated for advanced oral malignancies, the age varied from 42-81 years and the tumors were located at different sites, such as tongue, palate, parotid gland, jaw, and gums. These tumors were of different histological types, such as squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma. The post-operative evaluation by CT scan showed that the local disease control rate (DCR) reached 90.9% at 2nd month after surgery. In this study, the 3 cases with complete remission were of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma and 1 case with partial remission was of mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the palate, suggesting that the adenocarcinoma might be relatively more sensitive to $^{125}$I brachytherapy. These findings are consistent with those reported by a previous study. These data support that the adenocarcinoma located in the oral, head and neck regions could be effectively treated by brachytherapy. Besides, in our study, one female patient was diagnosed with myoepithelial carcinoma of the left parotid gland. One year after brachytherapy, a small mass (1×1 cm$^2$) appeared in the left parotid gland and a connective tissue-wrapped $^{125}$I implant was found during biopsy. Post-operative pathology showed fibrous tissue-like change, implicating the brachytherapy-induced tumor degeneration.

The common adverse events (AEs) associated with nimotuzumab immune therapy include chills, fever, asthenia, rash, hypotension/fluctuating blood pressure, headache, dizziness, drowsiness, nausea/vomiting, anorexia, diarrhea, elevated transglutaminase/alkaline phosphatase, mucositis, and microscopic hematuria. Most of these adverse reactions were found in our patients during nimotuzumab therapy; however, the toxicities were only of grade 1 or 2 and were alleviated by supportive symptomatic treatment, causing no effect on the course of treatment. Of note, these AEs did not add to the side effect of $^{125}$I brachytherapy and the radiation-induced mucositis was mainly limited to grade 2 (4 cases of grade 1 and 7 cases of grade 2), while the radiation-induced dermatitis was mainly of grade 1 (9 cases of grade 1 and 2 cases of grade 2). No grade 3 or higher toxicities i.e. acute or late radiation injury that affects quality of life was observed during our study. The plausible reasons for fewer side effects seen during the combination therapy of nimotuzumab and $^{125}$I-brachytherapy include: (1) nimotuzumab binds with high affinity to tumor cells that overexpress the EGFR while it bind with low affinity to normal cells that poorly express the cognate receptor; (2) nimotuzumab, being a fully humanized monoclonal antibody, is better than cetuximab in terms of skin rash; and (3) the exposure dose and area for $^{125}$I-brachytherapy are carefully determined by an excellent design through a high-precision TPS system which enables exposing 90% area of targeted tumor to 90% of irradiation dose whereas the average exposure dose of organs at risk remains small. Furthermore, brachytherapy itself is a minimally invasive surgery that can be carried out under local anesthesia, avoiding occurrence of serious complications.

Conclusions

The present study shows a promising short-term effectiveness of the combined treatment of $^{125}$I-radioactive seed implantation based brachytherapy along with nimotuzumab immunotherapy for advanced oral malignancies. Nimotuzumab markedly enhanced the tumors’ sensitivity to the brachytherapy without increasing AEs and improved the patients’ quality of life. Given that the adenocarcinoma was found to be more sensitive to the combined treatment, other novel therapeutic approaches can also be tested for efficacy in different histological types of oral malignancies. Randomized controlled studies with larger sample sizes and extended follow-up data will be further required to verify the clinical significance of combined brachytherapy-immunotherapy approach for the treatment of advanced oral malignancies.
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