Apolipoproteins as predictors of cardiovascular risk in patients with chronic pancreatitis

M. KOVACHEVA-SLAVOVA, J. GENOV, B. GOLEMANOV, B. VLADIMIROV

Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital "Tsaritsa Ioanna-ISUL", Medical University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: Patients with pancreatic diseases are at increased risk of cardiovascular events. Investigating various apolipoprotein forms as important atherogenesis components may improve cardiovascular risk (CVR) prediction. This study aimed to investigate CVR factors in patients with chronic pancreatitis.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: The study enrolled 70 patients (40 males and 30 females, mean age 55.2 years) with chronic pancreatitis and treated pancreatic exocrine insufficiency. We assessed CVR by apolipoproteins A-I, A-II, B, and C-III, lipid profile; score systems [SCORE risk chart and Framingham Risk Score (FRS)], diabetes mellitus; chronic pancreatitis by M-ANNHEIM classification. Statistics were performed via SPSS v. 22.

RESULTS: Low apolipoprotein A-I and high apolipoprotein B levels with increased atherogenic potential were observed in 37 and 26 patients. 45.71% demonstrated a high risk of myocardial infarction with high apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein A-I ratio. Men are at higher CVR risk. Apolipoproteins A-I and A-II correlated with the cardioprotective high-density lipoprotein (HDL) in contrast to apolipoproteins B and C-III, which correlated strongly with low-density lipoprotein (LDL), total cholesterol (TC), and triglycerides (TG). Increased CVR assessed by FRS correlated with significantly lower apolipoprotein A-I and higher apolipoprotein B and apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein A-I ratio. With the increase in chronic pancreatitis severity, we observed decreased apolipoproteins and increased apolipoprotein B/apolipoprotein A-I ratio.

CONCLUSIONS: Apolipoproteins are valuable CVR indicators. Further studies are required to establish a CVR screening panel in this population.

Key Words:

Chronic pancreatitis, Exocrine insufficiency, Cardiovascular risk, Apolipoprotein, Score system, Lipids.

Introduction

Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI), regardless of its etiology, is a functional reduction

of pancreatic enzyme and bicarbonate secretion, which results in inadequate digestion^{1,2}. Maldigestion and malnutrition are typical complications of various primary and secondary pancreatic disorders³⁻⁵. Fundamental aspects of PEI treatment include pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT), smoking and alcohol cessation, and intake of small frequent meals without fat restrictions^{1,2}. Nowadays, many patients with PEI might be asymptomatic, receiving none or suboptimal PERT. They are at increased risk of PEI complications such as cardiovascular, cachexia, and impaired quality of life, leading to increased mortality⁶⁻⁹. According to the World Health Organization¹⁰ data, mortality due to cardiovascular diseases remains the leading cause of death in the European population. American, European, and Canadian guidelines11-13 recommend a complex approach for a proper cardiovascular risk evaluation, including screening systems, lipid profiles, and apolipoproteins. Atherogenic dyslipidemia is characterized by increased triglyceride and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels with decreased high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol. Low HDL levels are highly associated with the risk of cardiovascular disease development^{12,14}. Apolipoproteins serve as cardioprotective or proatherogenic factors. Apolipoproteins have 3 main functions – to modulate lipoproteins enzyme activity, to determine the structural integrity of the lipoprotein complex, and participate in membrane transport of lipoproteins by serving as ligands for specific surface cell receptors^{15,16}. Apolipoprotein A is the main apolipoprotein associated with HDL. It has two forms - apolipoprotein A-I and apolipoprotein A-II. Apolipoprotein A-I is a constant protective factor against cardiovascular diseases; however, the impact of apolipoprotein A-II remains unclear¹⁷⁻²¹. Apolipoprotein B provides a direct measurement of all atherogenic circulating lipoprotein particles^{17,18,21}. Clinical and epidemiological studies²²⁻²⁶ confirm that apolipoprotein B and apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio are associated with a worse outcome in patients with cardiovascular diseases. Apolipoprotein B and apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio are supposed to predict cardiovascular events more accurately than the routinely measured total cholesterol, LDL, total cholesterol/HDL ratio, and non-HDL. Apolipoprotein C-III inhibits the lipolysis of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins and complicates their elimination from the bloodstream. High levels of apolipoprotein C-III are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events and atherogenesis²⁷⁻²⁹.

Patients and Methods

Study Design and Assessment

Seventy patients diagnosed with chronic pancreatitis were prospectively enrolled in this study. They had PEI (diagnosed by fecal elastase-1) and received PERT (mean dose: 82,000 IU per day). None of the patients has been previously hospitalized due to cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, stroke, or revascularization procedures). Cardiovascular risk (CVR) was evaluated by apolipoproteins and score systems. Apolipoproteins A-I, A-II, B, and C-III were assessed by immunoturbidimerty (Architect c8000, Abbott, IL, USA), nephelometry (BN ProSpec System, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Products GmbH. Germany), spectrophotometry (Architect c8000, Abbott, IL, USA), and ELISA method. According to the European Society of Cardiology³⁰, levels of apolipoprotein A-I above 120 mg/dL for men and 140 mg/dL for women correspond to normal HDL values. Apolipoprotein B recommended levels do not exceed 120 mg/dL. Based on AMORIS and INTERHEART studies31,32, the apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio is used to define the risk of myocardial infarction as low (0.40-0.69 for men and 0.30-0.59 for women), moderate (0.70-0.89 for men and 0.60-0.79 for women) and high (0.90-1.10 for men and 0.80-1.00 for women). Reference ranges for apolipoproteins A-II and C-III is 0.26-0.51 g/L and 6-16 mg/dL, respectively. Using spectrophotometry and immunoturbidimerty (Architect c8000), we further evaluated patients' lipid profiles, including total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and triglycerides (TG) in mmol/L. Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation

(SCORE Risk Chart) was performed with respect to gender, age, total cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and smoking status and evaluated the 10-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease as follows: low risk (score <1%), moderate risk (score $\geq 1\%$ and <5%), high risk (score $\geq 5\%$ and <10%) and very high risk (score $\ge 10\%$)^{30,33}. In addition, we used the Framingham Coronary Heart Disease Risk Score (FRS), which estimates the absolute risk of a heart attack in 10 years, based on gender, age, total cholesterol and HDL, systolic blood pressure, ongoing treatment for hypertension, diabetes mellitus co-morbidity and smoking status. CVR by FRS was defined as low (score <10%), moderate (score between 10 and 19%), and high (score above 20%)³⁴. Diabetes mellitus was newly diagnosed based on accepted criteria for fasting glucose levels (above 7.0 mmol/L) and HbA1c levels (above 6.5%). The severity of chronic pancreatitis was assessed by M-ANNHEIM classification³⁵ and the imaging morphological data by Cambridge classification for CT/MRCP (grade I-IV)³⁶.

Statistical Analysis

Quantitative data of the statistical analysis were presented as mean, standard deviations (SD), and 95% Confidence Interval for mean, range, or percentages. Quantitative data were analyzed by Student's t-test and Mann-Whitney U-test. Measurement data were compared using ANOVA after confirming normal distribution by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Categorical variables were compared, and qualitative data were analyzed by Fisher's exact and linear-by-linear Chi-square tests, as appropriate. Parametric and nonparametric correlations were analyzed by Pearson and Spearman's rho correlations as appropriate. Statistical significance was assumed at a p-value <0.05. Analyzes were performed using the SPSS 22.0 statistical package (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

70 patients (40 males and 30 females, mean age 55.2 years) were enrolled in this study. Mean levels of the evaluated apolipoproteins were as follows: apolipoprotein A-I 130.61±29.78 mg/dL, apolipoprotein B 115.00±33.03 mg/dL, apolipoprotein A-II 0.286±0.094 g/L and apolipoprotein C-III 65.46±44.43 g/L. Levels of apolipoprotein A-I below the lower reference limit were ob-

served in 52.86% (n=37, 20 males). High levels of apolipoprotein B were found in 37.14% of all patients (n=26, 16 men). In 11 patients were found both low levels of apolipoprotein A-I and high levels of apolipoprotein B. In this subgroup, 100% had high levels of non-HDL and/or TC/ HDL ratio. Apolipoprotein A-II levels were below the reference limit in 18 patients. Apolipoprotein C-III levels were observed above the upper limit in 47 patients. Based on the risk of myocardial infarction, we found low, moderate, and high risk in 20.00%, 30.00%, and 50.00% of males and 13.33%, 46.67 and 40.00% of females, respectively. High risk of myocardial infarction was observed in 45.71% of all patients. Men are at a significantly increased risk of myocardial infarction (p=0.02).

According to the demographic and clinical data, only apolipoprotein A-I depended on gender and was significantly lower in men (122.70±33.80 mg/dL vs. 141.12±129.31 mg/ dL, p=0.000). With increasing age, only apolipoprotein A-II levels decreased significantly. Apolipoprotein B to A-I ratio was the highest in men (p=0.01). By reporting weight loss in the last 6 months, we observed decreased levels of all investigated apolipoproteins (p<0.05). We found no association of apolipoproteins with reported pain and diarrhea. In addition, body mass index (BMI) correlated moderately with apolipoprotein A-I (r=0.331, p=0.005), A-II (r=0.282, p=0.011), and B (r=0.367, p=0.002). Alcohol consumption (35 patients) and smoking (36 patients) reduced the levels of all apolipoproteins; however, a significant decrease was found only in apolipoprotein C-III levels

 $(49.10\pm42.08 \text{ g/L } vs. 75.50\pm45.55 \text{ g/L}, p=0.009 \text{ for alcohol intake and } 46.84\pm33.97 \text{ g/L } vs. 76.29 \pm50.34 \text{ g/L}, p=0.003 \text{ for smoking}).$

We evaluated the relationship between apolipoproteins and lipids (Table I). Apolipoproteins A-I and A-II correlated strongly with HDL. Apolipoprotein B and the ratio of apolipoprotein B to A-I correlated strongly with total cholesterol, LDL, non-HDL, and triglycerides. There was a moderate correlation between apolipoprotein C-III and total cholesterol, LDL, and triglycerides.

We evaluated the correlation between apolipoproteins A-I, A-II, B, and C-III and CVR by score systems. There was no association between CVR by SCORE risk chart and the investigated apolipoproteins (Table II). In contrast, significantly increased CVR based on lower levels of apolipoprotein A-I and higher levels of apolipoprotein A-II was observed with FRS worsening (Table III). Furthermore, the risk of myocardial infarction according to the apolipoprotein B to A-I ratio correlated with significantly higher CVR assessed by FRS (p=0.04) and not with the SCORE risk chart (p>0.05).

We investigated the relationship between the severity of chronic pancreatitis by M-ANNHEIM classification and apolipoproteins (Table IV). Higher levels of apolipoprotein A-I were found in mild chronic pancreatitis compared to moderate (p=0.007) and advanced chronic pancreatitis (p=0.04). Apolipoprotein C-III levels were lower with a severity increase (p=0.047). A similar observation was found between apolipoproteins A-I and C-III and morphological changes worsening in Cambridge classification. Although not sig-

Table I.	Correlations	between	apolipopro	oteins A-	-I, A-II, E	3, and C-III	and lipid profile.
----------	--------------	---------	------------	-----------	-------------	--------------	--------------------

	HDL	LDL	TC	TG	Non-HDL
Apolipoprotein A-I	r=0.841 p=0.000	p=NS	r=0.264 p=0.004	p=NS	p=NS
Apolipoprotein B	p=NS	r=0.917 p=0.000	r=0.868 p=0.000	r=0.5799 p=0.000	r=0.941 p=0.000
Apolipoprotein B/A-I ratio	r=503 p=0.000	r=0.561 p=0.000	r=0.433 p=0.000	r=0.482 p=0.000	r=0.612 p=0.000
Apolipoprotein C-III	r=0.280 p=0.013	r=0.314 p=0.008	r=0.423 p=0.000	r=0.343 p=0.005	r=0.290 p=0.016
Apolipoprotein A-II	r=0.447 p=0.000	p=NS	r=0.298 p=0.009	p=NS	p=NS

HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low-density lipoprotein; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglycerides; NS: not significant.

Table II. Mean levels with a standard deviation of apolipoprotein A-I, A-II, B, and C-III according to CVR by SCORE risk chart.

	High/very high CVR (n=24)	Moderate CVR (n=30)	Low CVR (n=16)	<i>p</i> -value
Apolipoprotein A-I (mg/dL)	132.50±36.34	128.85±31.44	131.08±36.59	NS
Apolipoprotein B (mg/dL)	126.95±77.69	114.39±33.61	98.20±77.19	NS
Apolipoprotein A-II (g/L)	0.284 ± 0.073	0.284 ± 0.092	0.289 ± 0.093	NS
Apolipoprotein C-III (g/L)	80.00 ± 45.65	59.00±45.34	57.00±43.15	NS
Aponpoprotein C-III (g/L)	00.00± 4 3.03	37.00±43.34	37.00±43.13	IND

CVR: cardiovascular risk; NS: not significant.

nificant, a tendency of higher risk of myocardial infarction with chronic pancreatitis progression was observed.

Diabetes mellitus, which is a known factor for high CVR, was diagnosed in 18 patients (25.71%). Although diabetes mellitus has decreased levels of apolipoproteins, this observation did not reach a significant value, *p*>0.05.

Discussion

Impaired nutritional status in PEI patients is further associated with life-threatening cardio-vascular complications^{8,36}. This prospective study highlights the role of various apolipoproteins as predictors of CVR in patients with PEI due to chronic pancreatitis. In this study, 51.43% of the

enrolled patients were smokers, and 25.71% were diagnosed with diabetes mellitus. Most guidelines assess CVR using models to predict the 10-year risk of developing cardiovascular diseases, such as the Framingham Risk Score (FRS) and Systemic Coronary Risk Estimation systems (SCORE). The American Heart Association³⁴ recommends using FRS, which predicts coronary heart disease through traditional risk factors: age, diabetes mellitus, smoking, systolic blood pressure, ongoing treatment for hypertension, total cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol. Other similar quick systems, including SCORE Risk Chart, use FRS factors or recalibrate Framingham functions to local entities. The European Cardiology Society, in consensus with the European Atherosclerotic Society (ESC/EAS)^{30,33,37,38}, recommends using the SCORE Risk Chart as it is based on data from a

Table III. Mean levels with a standard deviation of apolipoprotein A-I, A-II, B, and C-III according to CVR by Framingham risk score.

	High CVR (n=19)	Moderate CVR (n=13)	Low CVR (n=38)	<i>p</i> -value
Apolipoprotein A-I (mg/dL)	118.97±39.25	130.50±26.80	134.70±30.19	0.007
Apolipoprotein B (mg/dL)	134.47±86.56	118.32±44.92	104.84±25.28	0.02
Apolipoprotein A-II (g/L)	0.262±0.152	0.326±0.088	0.280±0.071	NS
Apolipoprotein C-III (g/L)	75.00±60.50	55.00±36.66	67.00±40.35	NS

CVR: cardiovascular risk; NS: not significant.

Table IV. Mean levels with a standard deviation of apolipoprotein A-I, A-II, B, and C-III according to the severity of chronic pancreatitis by M-ANNHEIM classification.

	Mild CP (n=19)	Moderate CP (n=37)	Advanced CP (n=14)	<i>p</i> -value
Apolipoprotein A-I (mg/dL)	146.65±38.39	124.42±31.40	125.22±36.07	0.04
Apolipoprotein B (mg/dL)	119.01±76.74	113.22±32.66	114.16±26.84	NS
Apolipoprotein B/A-I ratio	0.82	0.93	0.96	NS
Apolipoprotein A-II (g/L)	0.32±0.095	0.28±0.095	0.26±0.096	NS
Apolipoprotein C-III (g/L)	94.00±49.55	61.68±45.53	50.18±43.02	0.047

CP: chronic pancreatitis; NS: not significant.

large representative European cohort. These predictive models have become the first-line assessment of CVR in clinical practice. Based on these system scores, patients are classified as having low, moderate, and high CVR. Furthermore, patients are advised to change their lifestyle, which will be investigated by a cardiologist, to start drug therapy or more intensive preventive interventions. By assessing the relationship between the score systems and the studied apolipoproteins, we demonstrated a significant correlation between FRS with apolipoprotein B, apolipoprotein A-I, and apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio, unlike the SCORE Risk Chart, which did not correlate with any apolipoprotein.

Apolipoprotein A-I is the major HDL-related apolipoprotein that can serve to determine HDL levels in plasma. The main function of apolipoprotein A-I is the removal of excess cholesterol from extra-hepatic tissues. Studies^{15,18,30} demonstrate an inverse relationship between HDL-cholesterol and apolipoprotein A-I plasma levels and the risk of coronary disease development in the general population. We also find a strong correlation between apolipoprotein A-I and HDL in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Apolipoprotein A-I levels below the lower reference limit are observed in 52.86% of the studied patients, with significantly lower levels in men. There is no correlation between any other apolipoprotein and gender. In this study, 25.71% of all patients have low levels of apolipoprotein A-II, which correlated strongly with apolipoprotein A-I and apolipoprotein C-III and HDL. Future studies are needed to establish apolipoprotein A-II's role in atherogenesis.

Recent studies^{16,39} have demonstrated that apolipoprotein B, which is synthesized in hepatocytes, is a better marker for the concentration of circulating LDL particles and is a more reliable indicator for CVR compared to LDL. LDL is calculated using the Friedewald formula (LDL=TC-HDL-TG/2.2), leading to incorrect results in a number of cases⁴⁰. Apolipoprotein B is part of all atherogenic or potentially atherogenic particles, including LDL, VLDL, IDL, and Lipoprotein (a) [Lp (a)], as each particle contains one molecule of apolipoprotein B. Apolipoprotein B provides a direct measurement of all atherogenic lipoprotein particles in the circulation, which we demonstrate as well by the strong correlations of apolipoprotein B with LDL, TC, non-HDL, and TG. Apolipoprotein B predicts fatal myocardial infarction more accurately than LDL^{12,17,18,21,41,42}. Therefore, apolipoprotein B is included in the American Diabetes Association, AHA/ACC, and the Canadian guidelines^{11,13}. High levels of apolipoprotein B indicate an increased risk of cardiovascular disease even when total and LDL cholesterol are within the normal range. In our study, high levels of apolipoprotein B were found in 37.14%.

According to case-control, prospective and interventional studies²²⁻²⁶, apolipoprotein B and apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio are associated with an unfavorable outcome of cardiovascular diseases and are most probably more specific predictors of CV events than the routinely examined cholesterol, LDL, TC/HDL ratio and non-HDL. The AMORIS and INTERHEART studies^{31,32} demonstrate that compared to non-HDL, the apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio is associated significantly better with the risk of myocardial infarction. The evaluation of apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio might improve the risk assessment. Using the apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio, we demonstrated a moderate and high risk of myocardial infarction in 37.14% and 45.71% of all patients, which further correlates with the Framingham Risk Score. The apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio was significantly higher in men and correlates strongly with LDL, TC, non-HDL, and TG.

Apolipoprotein C-III is an integral part of chylomicrons, VLDL, and HDL. It inhibits the lipolysis of TG-rich lipoproteins and prevents their elimination from circulation^{27,28}. In the Monitored Atherosclerosis Regression Study (MARS) and the Cholesterol Lowering Atherosclerosis Study (CLAS), high apolipoprotein C-III levels are strongly associated with the progression of atherosclerotic processes, favoring the development of coronary arterial calcifications, enhancement of monocytic adhesion to vascular endothelial cells and activation of inflammation signal pathways. Low apolipoprotein C-III levels are associated with low triglyceride levels and increased HDL^{43,44}. In our study, which is a pilot study that investigates apolipoprotein C-III in patients with pancreatic diseases, we found a moderate correlation between apolipoprotein C-III and atherogenic lipids, as well as a moderate correlation with apolipoprotein A-I, A-II and apolipoprotein B. Alcohol intake and smoking decrease the levels of all apolipoproteins but only apolipoprotein C-III is significantly affected.

The severity of morphological changes in patients with chronic pancreatitis leads to reduced levels of apolipoprotein A-I and C-III. We found

no significant association between of increased risk of myocardial infarction by apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio with the progression of chronic pancreatitis severity.

Although reported lower apolipoproteins levels by endocrine dysfunction, diabetes mellitus does not appear to be a significant factor for all apolipoproteins.

Limitations

There are some limitations in our study: the patients were not followed-up, there was no assessment of the liver and we did not investigate the type of diabetes mellitus.

Conclusions

In the present study, we observe an increased CVR in the enrolled patients, who necessitate a change in lifestyle, smoking cessation, maintenance of optimal blood sugar levels, and correction of dyslipidemia. Men are at higher risk. Future long-term follow-up studies should verify the role of PERT in the prevention of CV events in patients with PEI. With respect to the progression of pancreatic diseases, the development of PEI, and the deterioration of the pancreatic structure, efforts should be made not only for PERT optimization but also for accurate assessment of patients' CVR. The evaluation of new markers such as apolipoproteins and routine lipid profiles would possibly benefit the strategies for the identification of patients at risk of CV events. A proper follow-up requires a multidisciplinary approach by a team of gastroenterologists, cardiologists, and endocrinologists.

Conflict of Interest

The authors certify that there is no conflict of interest with any financial organization regarding the material discussed in the manuscript.

Ethics Approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Medical University of Sofia, Sofia Bulgaria (Project Grant Young investigator 2015, No. 1-D/2015; and Project Grant 2016, No. 79/2016)

Informed Consent

All patients participated voluntarily in the study after the study protocol and informed consent had been explained properly by the investigator.

Funding

The authors report no involvement in the research by the sponsor that could have influenced the outcome of this work. The publication of the research is supported by the National Science Fund, Bulgaria, Grant No. KP-06-M33/2, 2019 (KΠ-06-M33/2, 2019).

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed to the study.

ORCID ID

Mila Kovacheva-Slavova: 0000-0001-8165-494 Jordan Genov: 0000-0001-7213-0179 Branimir Golemanov: 0000-0003-3671-5393 Borislav Vladimirov: 0000-0003-0854-147X

References

- Lindkvist B. Diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency. World J Gastroenterol 2013; 19: 7258-7266.
- Kovacheva-Slavova M, Getsov P, Vladimirov G, Vladimirov B. Up-To-Date View on the Clinical Manifestations and Complications of Chronic Pancreatitis. Pancreatitis, IntechOpen, 2019.
- Domínguez-Muñoz JE. Pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy: exocrine pancreatic insufficiency after gastrointestinal surgery. HPB (Oxford) 2009; 11: 3-6.
- Domínguez-Muñoz JE, Nieto-Garcia L, López-Díaz J, Lariño-Noia J, Abdulkader I, Iglesias-Garcia J. Impact of the treatment of pancreatic exocrine insufficiency on survival of patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer: a retrospective analysis. BMC Cancer 2018; 18: 534.
- Garip G, Sarandöl E, Kaya E. Effects of disease severity and necrosis on pancreatic dysfunction after acute pancreatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2013; 19: 8065-8070.
- Schnelldorfer T, Adams DB. The effect of malnutrition on morbidity after surgery for chronic pancreatitis. Am Surg 2005; 71: 466-472.
- Seicean A, Tantău M, Grigorescu M, Mocan T, Seicean R, Pop T. Mortality risk factors in chronic pancreatitis. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2006; 15: 21-26.
- 8) De la Iglesia D, Vallejo-Senra N, López-López A, Iglesias-Garcia J, Lariño-Noia J, Nieto-García L, Domínguez-Muñoz JE. Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency and cardiovascular risk in patients with chronic pancreatitis: A prospective, longitudinal cohort study. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 34: 277-283.
- de la Iglesia-Garcia D, Vallejo-Senra N, Iglesias-Garcia J, Lopez-Lopez A, Nieto L, Dominguez-Munoz JE. Increased risk of mortality associated

- with pancreatic exocrine insufficiency in patients with chronic pancreatitis. J Clin Gastroenterol 2018; 52: e63-e72.
- Global Health Estimates 2016: Deaths by Cause, Age, Sex, by Country and by Region, 2000-2016. Geneva, World Health Organization 2018.
- 11) Anderson TJ, Grégoire J, Hegele RA, Couture P, Mancini GB, McPherson R, Francis GA, Poirier P, Lau DC, Grover S, Genest J Jr, Carpentier AC, Dufour R, Gupta M, Ward R, Leiter LA, Lonn E, Ng DS, Pearson GJ, Yates GM, Stone JA, Ur E. 2012 update of the Canadian Cardiovascular Society guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of dyslipidemia for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in the adult. Can J Cardiol 2013; 29: 151-167.
- 12) European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation1, Reiner Z, Catapano AL, De Backer G, Graham I, Taskinen MR, Wiklund O, Agewall S, Alegria E, Chapman MJ, Durrington P, Erdine S, Halcox J, Hobbs R, Kjekshus J, Filardi PP, Riccardi G, Storey RF, Wood D; ESC Committee for PracticeGuidelines (CPG) 2008-2010 and 2010-2012 Committees. ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: the Task Force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the EuropeanAtherosclerosis Society (EAS). Eur Heart J 2011; 32: 1769-1818.
- Goff DC Jr, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, Coady S, D'Agostino RB, Gibbons R, Greenland P, Lackland DT, Levy D, O'Donnell CJ, Robinson JG, Schwartz JS, Shero ST, Smith SC Jr, Sorlie P, Stone NJ, Wilson PW, Jordan HS, Nevo L, Wnek J, Anderson JL, Halperin JL, Albert NM, Bozkurt B, Brindis RG, Curtis LH, DeMets D, Hochman JS, Kovacs RJ, Ohman EM, Pressler SJ, Sellke FW, Shen WK, Smith SC Jr, Tomaselli GF; American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 63: 2935-2959.
- 14) Steffen BT, Guan W, Remaley AT, Paramsothy P, Heckbert SR, McClelland RL, Greenland P, Michos ED, Tsai MY. Use of lipoprotein particle measures for assessing coronary heart disease risk post-American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2015; 35: 448-454.
- Chan DC, Watts GF. Apolipoproteins as markers and managers of coronary risk. QJM 2006; 99: 277-287.
- 16) Contois JH, McConnell JP, Sethi AA, Csako G, Devaraj S, Hoefner DM, Warnick GR; AACC Lipoproteins and Vascular Diseases Division Working Group on Best Practices. Apolipoprotein B and Cardiovascular Disease Risk: Position statement from the AACC Lipoproteins and Vascular Diseases Division Working Group on Best Practices. Clin Chem 2009; 55: 407-419.

- 17) Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration; Di Angelantonio E, Sarwar N, Perry P, Kaptoge S, Ray KK, Thompson A, Wood AM, Lewington S, Sattar N, Packard CJ, Collins R, Thompson SG, Danesh J. Major lipids, apolipoproteins, and risk of vascular disease. JAMA 2009; 11: 1993-2000.
- Marcovina S, Packard CJ. Measurement and meaning of apolipoprotein AI and apolipoprotein B plasma levels. J Intern Med 2006; 259: 437-446.
- Tailleux A, Duriez P, Fruchart JC, Clavey V. Apolipoprotein A-II, HDL metabolism and atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis 2002; 164: 1-13.
- Remaley AT. Apolipoprotein A-II: still second fiddle in high-density lipoprotein metabolism?. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2013; 33: 166-167.
- Walldius G, Jungner I. Apolipoprotein B and apolipoprotein A-I: risk indicators of coronary heart disease and targets for lipid-modifying therapy. J Intern Med 2004; 255: 188-205.
- Lima LM, Carvalho MG, Sousa MO. Apo B/apo A-I ratio and cardiovascular risk prediction. Arq Bras Cardiol 2007; 88: e140-e143.
- 23) Thompson A, Danesh J. Associations between apolipoprotein B, apolipoprotein AI, the apolipoprotein B/AI ratio and coronary heart disease: a literature-based meta-analysis of prospective studies. J Intern Med 2006; 259: 481-492.
- 24) Schmidt C, Fagerberg B, Wikstrand J, Hulthe J. ApoB/apoA-I ratio is related to femoral artery plaques and is predictive for future cardiovascular events in healthy men. Atherosclerosis. 2006; 189: 178-185.
- 25) Walldius G. The apoB/apoA-I ratio is a strong predictor of cardiovascular risk. Lipoproteins in Health and Diseases. InTech 2012; 95-148.
- 26) Carnevale Schianca GP, Pedrazzoli R, Onolfo S, Colli E, Cornetti E, Bergamasco L, Fra GP, Bartoli E. ApoB/apoA-I ratio is better than LDL-C in detecting cardiovascular risk. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2011; 2: 406-411.
- Ginsberg HN, Brown VW. Apolipoprotein CIII. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2011; 31: 471-473.
- Lee SJ, Campos H, Moye LA, Sacks FM. LDL containing apolipoprotein CIII is an independent risk factor for coronary events in diabetic patients. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2003; 1: 853-858.
- 29) Taskinen MR, Borén J. Why Is Apolipoprotein CIII Emerging as a Novel Therapeutic Target to Reduce the Burden of Cardiovascular Disease? Curr Atheroscler Rep 2016; 18: 59.
- 30) Catapano AL, Graham I, De Backer G, Wiklund O, Chapman MJ, Drexel H, Hoes AW, Jennings CS, Landmesser U, Pedersen TR, Reiner Ž, Riccardi G, Taskinen MR, Tokgozoglu L, Verschuren WMM, Vlachopoulos C, Wood DA, Zamorano JL, Cooney MT; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidaemias. Eur Heart J 2016; 14: 2999-3058.
- 31) Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, McQueen M, Budaj A, Pais P, Varigos

- J, Lisheng L; INTERHEART Study Investigators. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. Lancet 2004; 364: 937-952.
- 32) Walldius G, Jungner I, Holme I, Aastveit AH, Kolar W, Steiner E. High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein A-I, and improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study. Lancet 2001; 15: 2026-2033.
- 33) Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, Catapano AL, Cooney MT, Corrà U, Cosyns B, Deaton C, Graham I, Hall MS, Hobbs FDR, Løchen ML, Löllgen H, Marques-Vidal P, Perk J, Prescott E, Redon J, Richter DJ, Sattar N, Smulders Y, Tiberi M, van der Worp HB, van Dis I, Verschuren WMM, Binno S; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts) Developed with a special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). Eur Heart 2016; 1: 2315-2381.
- 34) D'Agostino RB Sr, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, Kannel WB. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham heart study. Circulation 2008; 12: 743-753.
- 35) Schneider A, Löhr JM, Singer MV. The M-AN-NHEIM classification of chronic pancreatitis: introduction of a unifying classification system based on a review of previous classifications of the disease. J Gastroenterol 2007; 42: 101-119.
- Sarner M, Cotton PB. Classification of pancreatitis. Gut 1984; 2: 756-759.
- Hsu MT, Lin CL, Chung WS. Increased Risk of Acute Coronary Syndrome in Patients With Chro-

- nic Pancreatitis: A Nationwide Cohort Analysis. Medicine 2016; 95: e3451.
- 38) Cooney MT, Dudina A, D'Agostino R, Graham IM. Cardiovascular risk-estimation systems in primary prevention: do they differ? Do they make a difference? Can we see the future? Circulation 2010; 20: 300-310.
- 39) Sniderman AD, Junger I, Holme I, Aastveit A, Walldius G. Errors that result from using the TC/ HDL C ratio rather than the apoB/apoA-I ratio to identify the lipoprotein-related risk of vascular disease. J Intern Med 2006; 259: 455-461.
- 40) Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972; 18: 499-502.
- 41) Sniderman AD, Williams K, Contois JH, Monroe HM, McQueen MJ, de Graaf J, Furberg CD. A meta-analysis of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B as markers of cardiovascular risk. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes 2011; 4: 337-345.
- 42) Chen EX, Tu Ya SQ, She ZF, Wang HM, Yang PF, Wang YH, Xu ZH, Hao BJ, Cao X, Mao EQ. The clinical characteristic of alcohol-hyperlipidemia etiologically complex type of acute pancreatitis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2022; 19: 7212-7218.
- 43) Cashin-Hemphill L, Kramsch DM, Azen SP, De-Mets D, DeBoer LW, Hwang I, Vailas L, Hirsch LJ, Mack WJ, DeBoer L. The Monitored Atherosclerosis Regression Study (MARS). Design, methods, and baseline results. Online J Curr Clin Trials 1992; Doc No 26: [9897 words; 83 paragraphs]. Erratum in: Online J Curr Clin Trials 1992; Doc No 29: [85 words; 2].
- 44) Blankenhorn DH, Johnson RL, Nessim SA, Azen SP, Sanmarco ME, Selzer RH. The Cholesterol Lowering Atherosclerosis Study (CLAS): design, methods, and baseline results. Control Clin Trials 1987; 8: 356-387.