# Chlorhexidine – pharmaco-biological activity and application

# T.M. KARPIŃSKI, A.K. SZKARADKIEWICZ<sup>1</sup>

Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Medical Sciences in Poznań, Poland <sup>1</sup>Department of Conservative Dentistry and Periodontology, University of Medical Sciences in Poznań, Poland

**Abstract.** – OBJECTIVE: Chlorhexidine (CHX) is one of the most widely used antiseptic, especially in dentistry. At low concentrations CHX is bacteriostatic and at high concentrations acts bactericidal causing cell death by cytolysis. In this study, we performed a systematic review of pharmaco-biological activity and application of CHX.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** Articles for inclusion in this review were retrieved from online databases PubMed/Medline. The selected papers were included in the present manuscript according to their relevance for the topic.

**RESULTS:** Totally 75 papers were enrolled in this research. CHX has strong biocidal activity against Gram-positive bacteria and weaker activity against Gram-negative bacteria. It is also active against yeasts, some dermatophytes and some lipophilic viruses. The most widely application CHX has found in dentistry and antisepsis. Numerous studies have confirmed the beneficial effects of CHX in reducing of plaque accumulation, in tooth caries, gingivitis, periodontitis and in alveolar osteitis. Unfortunately, CHX exhibits cytotoxic activity on human cells, can cause colorization of teeth and fillings, and its activity depends on the pH of the environment and the presence of organic substances.

**CONCLUSIONS:** CHX plays a valuable role in the dentistry and antisepsis. However, it can also cause side effects, limiting its application time.

Key Words:

Chlorhexidine, Antiseptic, Biocidal activity, Cytotoxicity, Dentistry.

## Introduction

Chlorhexidine (CHX) is one of the most commonly used antiseptic agents for skin and mucous membranes disinfection. In dentistry is used as mouth rinses, oral irrigations and slow release devices. CHX is helpful in gingivitis and periodontitis, is used as an adjunct to scaling and root planing procedures. Also for prevention of dental caries, oropharyngeal decontamination and in endodontal treatment<sup>1,2</sup>. Moreover, it is used in hand hygiene in health-care personnel, general skin cleanser, in catheter site preparation, in bladder irrigation<sup>3,4</sup>. CHX is active against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, yeasts and viruses<sup>5,6</sup>. The aim of this article was to provide a comprehensive review regarding application and biological activity of chlorhexidine.

# **Materials and Methods**

The present study offers a review of the literature dealing with the chemical properties, antimicrobial activity, application and side effects of the chlorhexidine. To this effect, a Medline search was carried out, using the PubMed search. The identified papers were selected for inclusion in the present manuscript according to their relevance for the topic. The search was restricted to human subjects. We also reviewed the relevant references listed in the searched papers to identify potential related articles. Other articles and information were also identified in author's personal archive.

#### Results

#### Chemical Aspects

Chlorhexidine molecule is composed of two symmetrical structure with 4 chlorophenyl rings and 2 biguanide groups linked by a central hexamethylene bridge<sup>7,8</sup>. CHX is a cationic molecule and their biological activity owes single chlorine atoms bars on both phenolic rings. CHX is 1,1'hexamethylene bis (5-[4-chlorophenyl] biguanide) having the chemical formula  $C_{22}H_{30}Cl_2N_{10}$  (htttp://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov). It is a strong alkali practically insoluble in water. In the water soluble are the salts of chlorhexidine and they have been applied in disinfectant formulations: chlorhexidine diacetate, chlorhexidine digluconate and chlorhexidine dihydrochloride<sup>9</sup>. CHX compound most widely used in disinfectant formulations is gluconate. Chlorhexidine gluconate is almost colorless or pale yellow liquid, and is highly soluble in water. Chlorhexidine acetate is a white microcrystalline powder. The substance is very sparingly soluble in water and soluble in 96% ethanol<sup>7</sup>. Chlorhexidine digluconate solution<sup>9</sup> is an aqueous solution which contains 1,1 -(hexane-1,6-diyl)bis[5-(4chlorophenyl)biguanide]di-D-gluconate, with the chemical formula C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>54</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>14</sub>. Chlorhexidine hydrochloride is a white to off-white crystalline powder. Substance is quite sparingly soluble in water and very difficult in 96% ethanol<sup>8</sup>. Aqueous solutions of chlorhexidine are relatively resistant to elevated temperatures. Heating to 100°C does not cause decay. During long-term storage under the influence of light and air chlorhexidine solution gradually darkens. Chlorhexidine activity is dependent on the pH of the environment, and the optimal range is 5.5-7.0. Activity is reduced in the presence of serum, blood, pus and other organic matter. Its activity is also reduced in the presence of soaps and other anionic compounds<sup>7,10</sup>.

# Mode of Action

The antimicrobial effect of chlorhexidine is dose-dependent. Chlorhexidine at low concentrations (0.02%-0.06%) has bacteriostatic activity. whereas at higher concentrations (> 0.12%) acts bactericidal (11). CHX is a cationic molecule and binds nonspecifically to negatively-charged membrane phospholipids of bacteria. At low concentrations CHX affects the change in the osmotic balance of the bacteria cell. This leads to the release of potassium, phosphorus and other lowweight molecules<sup>12</sup>. The process, taking place in an environment of sublethal concentration of chlorhexidine, leads to a loss of as much as 50% of potassium ions; it may be reversible, on condition of removing the compound<sup>7,10</sup>. At high concentrations CHX causes cell death by cytolysis. It leads to the release of the main intracellular components, including nucleotides, to changes of the cell's protein structure and precipitation/coagulation of cytoplasmic proteins<sup>13</sup>.

## Antimicrobial Activity

Chlorhexidine has a wide spectrum of antibacterial activity. The bactericidal action of CHX is

more effective against Gram-positive bacteria and weaker against Gram-negative ones<sup>14</sup>. CHX is also active against fungi and viruses<sup>5,6</sup>. Chlorhexidine is not lethal to acid-fast organisms<sup>15</sup>. It is not sporicidal, however may be sporicidal at elevated temperatures<sup>16-18</sup>. Some bacteria, e.g. strains of Proteus and Providencia, may be highly resistant to the CHX<sup>19-21</sup>. Chlorhexidine as an antiseptic shows comparable activity against Staphylococcus aureus strains susceptible to methicillin (MSSA) and strains resistant to methicillin (MR-SA)<sup>22,23</sup>. Also in the case of both resistant to vancomycin strains of enterococci (VRE) and sensitive to vancomycin showed a comparable sensitivity to chlorhexidine<sup>24</sup>. In ex vivo studies have been shown effectiveness of CHX solution against Actinomyces israelii and Enterococcus faecalis<sup>25-27</sup> in infected root canal systems. Vianna et al<sup>28</sup> have investigated in vitro the antimicrobial activity of CHX against endodontic pathogens: Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus aureus and Candida albicans. CHX eliminated also anaerobic periopathogens: Porphyromonas endodontalis, Porphyromonas gingivalis, and Prevotella intermedia. Agents containing chlorhexidine gluconate are effective against Propionibacterium, Selenomonas and Serratia marcescens<sup>14,29</sup>. Bacteriostatic activity of chlorhexidine against various microbial species is presented in Table I.

**Table I.** Bacteriostatic activity of chlorhexidine against various microorganisms (based on ref. 30-40).

Microorganism	Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of chlorhexidine (μg/mL)
Staphylococcus aureus MSSA	0.25-8
Staphylococcus aureus MRSA	2-8
Enterococcus faecalis	4-16
Streptococcus mutans	0.9-4
Lactobacillus reuteri	0.125-4
Lactobacillus fermentum	0.25-1
Lactobacillus acidophilus	0.5-2
Porphyromonas gingivalis	0.9
Fusobacterium nucleatum	1.8
Escherichia coli	2-16
Klebsiella spp.	8-16
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	16-32
Candida albicans	1-16
Candida tropicalis	75
Candida krusei	150
Aspergillus spp.	8-64

It was observed a correlation between the sensitivity of microorganisms to antibiotics and susceptibility to chlorhexidine. Resistance of Gram-negative bacteria for such antibiotics, as ciprofloxacin, imipenem, cefotaxime, cef-tazidime, aztreonam and gentamicin, was accompanied the increase in resistance to chlorhex-idine<sup>41</sup>.

Chlorhexidine has activity against dermatophytes and yeasts. Sensitivity of fungi, both on growth inhibitory concentrations, and cidal concentrations is similar (e.g. Candida albicans) to the sensitivity of vegetative cells of bacteria or higher (e.g. C. tropicalis, C. krusei)5,42-44. Studies have shown that the use of mouthwash with 0.12% CHX for up to three months (with monthly interval during treatment) has both therapeutic effect in oral fungal infection and prevent the development of infections caused by C. albicans in HIV-infected children<sup>45</sup>. It has been observed that chlorhexidine affected C. albicans pathogenicity - inhibited filamentation, probably as the result of some enzyme inhibition<sup>46</sup>. Some studies have shown that yeast adhesion to epithelial cells was reduced after pretreatment of yeast with the CHX47,48.

Under the influence of CHX are rapidly inactivated lipophilic viruses (e.g. herpes simplex virus, HIV, influenza virus, cytomegalovirus). Chlorhexidine like other antiseptics has no significant virucidal activity against small non-enveloped viruses (enteroviruses, polio viruses, papilloma viruses)<sup>6</sup>. Wood and Payne demonstrated inactivating effect of CHX on the enveloped viruses herpes simplex virus type 1 and human immunodeficiency virus type 1, whilst this substance were ineffective against enveloped human coronavirus, and the non-enveloped viruses<sup>49</sup>.

## Cytotoxic Activity to Human Cells

Many reports describe cytotoxic effect of chlorhexidine to human gingival fibroblasts<sup>50,51</sup>, human periodontal ligament cells<sup>52</sup>, human alveolar bone cells<sup>53</sup>, and human osteoblastic cell line<sup>54</sup>, which is time- and dose-dependent. Solution of 0.02% CHX presents high cytotoxicity, and lower concentrations of CHX: 0.0024% and 0.004% cause slight cytopathic effects to the odontoblast-like cells MDPC-23<sup>55</sup>. After exposing the odontoblast-like cells MDPC-23 to the CHX solutions at concentrations of 0.06%, 0.12%, 0.2%, 1% and 2%, and times 60 s, 2 h or 60 s with a 24-h recovery period has been demonstrated decrease in cell metabolism (MTT

assay) and total protein concentration. The least cytotoxic to the cells was 60-s exposure time and the most toxic was exposure to CHX for 60 s with a 24-h recovery<sup>56</sup>. CHX also affects the change in the level of cellular ATP. Depletion of cell ATP occurs, in a time- and concentration-dependent manner, in concentrations of CHX > 0.001%. Concentrations  $\geq 0.02\%$  produces total loss of ATP in human dermal fibroblasts. At the same time, CHX concentrations  $\geq 0.005\%$  are required to produce total cell death<sup>12</sup>. Giannelli et al<sup>54</sup> showed that chlorhexidine is able in different cell types in vitro to cause alterations in actin cytoskeletal assembly, alter mitochondrial membrane potential, trigger intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> increase and cause reactive oxygen species generation, and stimulate apoptosis and autophagic/necrotic cell death.

## Application of Chlorhexidine

It has been shown that CHX is very effective as surgical hand antiseptic, to destroy transient microorganisms and inhibit the growth of resident microorganisms<sup>4,57</sup>. Preoperative skin preparation with 0.5% chlorhexidine in methylated spirits was associated with lower rates of surgical site infections (SSIs)<sup>58</sup>. Rubin et al<sup>59</sup> indicated that the intervention of bathing with CHX can reduce the number of hospital-acquired infections (HAIs). The reduction in HAIs using CHX was found to be greater as compared to bathing with soap and water. CHX is also used in obstetrics and gynecology. There is significant evidence that topical application of chlorhexidine to umbilical cord reduces neonatal mortality<sup>60</sup>. Simultaneously, CHX can be used for prevention of infection following caesarean section<sup>61</sup>.

The most widely application CHX has found in dentistry. CHX is available as oral rinses, aerosols and spray formulations (0.12-0.2%), gels (0.12-1%) and dental varnishes (1%, 10%, 40%). CHX also can be found in toothpastes, gels for cleaning teeth and dental flosses<sup>13,62</sup>. Using of 0.12-0.2% concentrations of CHX in mouthwashes results in significant reduction of gingival inflammation and plaque indexes<sup>63</sup>. The chlorhexidine as well as chlorhexidine-sodium fluoride mouthwashes have a significant effect on inhibition of plaque accumulation and gingivitis<sup>64-67</sup>. Also, the application of chlorhexidine varnish have beneficial effects in gingivitis, reducing plaque accumulation, bleeding levels and gingival index<sup>68,69</sup>. Using of 0.12% chlorhexidine rinsing pre-operatively and 7 days postoperatively, have significant and clinically relevant preventive effect on alveolar osteitis (dry socket) following surgical removal of lower third molars<sup>70</sup>. CHX is used also in prevention of tooth caries. It has inhibitory effect on the formation of dental plaque and acts against different species of *Streptococcus*, including *S. mutans* – main etiological agent of tooth caries<sup>71,72</sup>. Solutions containing different concentrations of CHX have been suggested for the irrigation of infected root canals<sup>2,8,73</sup>. CHX can also have impact in the treatment of halitosis, especially in reducing the levels of anaerobic bacteria related with halitosis<sup>74</sup>.

Chlorhexidine is considered to be a effective drug in dentistry<sup>6</sup>, but used for a long time (more than 2 weeks) in dental treatment most often causes discoloration of the teeth, tongue and fillings made of composite materials and glassionomer. Moreover, CHX can cause mucous membrane irritation and taste disturbance, but these symptoms are transient and disappear following termination of therapy<sup>64,66,75</sup>.

#### Conclusions

Chlorhexidine is a cationic antiseptic. It is characterized by a wide range of antimicrobial activity, against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, some yeasts and some viruses. This antiseptic is widely used, especially in the prevention and treatment of the oral cavity diseases. CHX has a small number of side effects *in vivo*, including teeth and fillings colorization. However, more important is cytotoxic activity to human cells *in vitro*, which can stimulate apoptosis and autophagic/necrotic cell death. Cytotoxic activity of CHX to human cells *in vivo* is recently not fully known and requires further studies.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The Authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- STEINBERG D, ROTHMAN M. Antibacterial effect of chlorhexidine on bacteria adsorbed onto experimental dental plaque. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 1996; 26: 109-115.
- GOMES BP, VIANNA ME, ZAIA AA, ALMEIDA JF, SOUZA-FILHO FJ, FERRAZ CC. Chlorhexidine in endodontics. Braz Dent J 2013; 24: 89-102.

- TRAORÉ O, ALLAERT FA, FOURNET-FAYARD S, VERRIERE JL, LAVERAN H. Comparison of in-vivo antibacterial activity of two skin disinfection procedures for insertion of peripheral catheters: povidone iodine versus chlorhexidine. J Hosp Infect 2000; 44: 147-50.
- TANNER J, SWARBROOK S, STUART J. Surgical hand antisepsis to reduce surgical site infection. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008; (1): CD004288.
- 5) MACHADO FC, DE SOUZA IP, PORTELA MB, DE ARAÚJO SOARES RM, FREITAS-FERNANDES LB, CASTRO GF. Use of chlorhexidine gel (0.2%) to control gingivitis and *Candida* species colonization in human immunodeficiency virus-infected children: a pilot study. Pediatr Dent 2011; 33: 153-157.
- MILSTONE AM, PASSARETTI CL, PERL TM. Chlorhexidine: expanding the armamentarium for infection control and prevention. Clin Infect Dis 2008; 46: 274-281.
- DENTON GW. Chlorhexidine. In: Block SS, ed. Disinfection, Sterilization, and Preservation. 2001; pp. 321-336.
- MOHAMMADI Z, ABBOTT PV. The properties and applications of chlorhexidine in endodontics. Int Endod J 2009; 42: 288-302.
- 9) EUROPEAN PHARMACOPOEIA. 5th Ed. 2005. pp. 1258-1259.
- MCDONNELL G, RUSSELL AD. Antiseptics and disinfectants: activity, action, and resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev 1999; 12: 147-79.
- JENKINS S, ADDY M, WADE W. The mechanism of action of chlorhexidine. A study of plaque growth on enamel inserts in vivo. J Clin Periodontol 1988; 15: 415-424.
- HIDALGO E, DOMINGUEZ C. Mechanisms underlying chlorhexidine-induced cytotoxicity. Toxicol Vitro 2001; 15: 271-276.
- VARONI E, TARCE M, LODI G, CARRASSI A. Chlorhexidine (CHX) in dentistry: state of the art. Minerva Stomatol 2012; 61: 399-419.
- EMILSON CG. Susceptibility of various microorganisms to chlorhexidine. Scand J Dent Res 1977; 85: 255-265.
- 15) BROADLEY SJ, JENKINS PA, FURR JR, RUSSELL AD. Potentiation of the effects of chlorhexidine diacetate and cetylpyridinium chloride on mycobacteria by ethambutol. J Med Microbiol 1995; 43: 458-460.
- RUSSELL AD. Bacterial spores and chemical sporicidal agents. Clin Microbiol Rev 1990; 3: 99-119.
- KNOTT AG, RUSSELL AD. Effects of chlorhexidine gluconate on the development of spores of Bacillus subtilis. Lett Appl Microbiol 1995; 21: 117-120.
- SHAKER LA, FURR JR, RUSSELL AD. Mechanism of resistance of Bacillus subtilis spores to chlorhexidine. J Appl Bacteriol 1988; 64: 531-539.
- STICKLER DJ, THOMAS B. Antiseptic and antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria causing urinary tract infection. J Clin Pathol 1980; 33: 288-296.
- 20) STICKLER DJ, THOMAS B, CHAWLA JC. Antiseptic and antibiotic resistance in gram-negative bacteria

causing urinary tract infection in spinal cord injured patients. Paraplegia 1981; 19: 50-58.

- BAILLIE L. Chlorhexidine resistance among bacteria isolated from urine of catheterized patients. J Hosp Infect 1987; 10: 83-86.
- 22) COOKSON BD, BOLTON MC, PLATT JH. Chlorhexidine resistance in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus or just an elevated MIC? An *in vitro* and in vivo assessment. Antimicrob Agents Chemiother 1991; 35: 1997-2002.
- 23) WENDT C, SCHINKE S, WÜRTTEMBERGER M, OBERDORFER K, BOCK-HENSLEY O, VON BAUM H. Value of wholebody washing with chlorhexidine for the eradication of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: randomized, placebo-controlled, doubleblind clinical trial. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2007; 28: 1036-1043.
- 24) VERNON MO. Chlorhexidine gluconate to cleanse patients in a medical intensive care unit: the effectiveness of source control to reduce the bioburden of vancomycin-resistance enterococci. Arch Intern Med 2006; 166: 306-312.
- BASSON NJ, TAIT CM. Effectiveness of three root canal medicaments to eliminate Actinomyces israelii from infected dentinal tubules *in vitro*. S Afr Dent J 2001; 56: 499-501.
- 26) GOMES BP, FERRAZ CC, VIANNA ME, BERBER VB, TEIX-EIRA FB, SOUZA-FILHO FJ. *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of several concentrations of sodium hypochlorite and chlorh-exidine gluconate in the elimination of Enterococcus faecalis. Int Endod J 2001; 34: 424-428.
- 27) ONÇAG O, HO GÖR M, HILMIOGLU S, ZEKIOGLU O, ERONAT C, BURHANOGLU D. Comparison of antibacterial and toxic effects of various root canal irrigants. Int Endod J 2003; 36: 423-432.
- 28) VIANNA ME, GOMES BP, BERBER VB, ZAIA AA, FERRAZ CC, DE SOUZA-FILHO FJ. In vitro evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of chlorhexidine and sodium hypochlorite. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2004; 97: 79-84.
- 29) SICKBERT-BENNETT EE, WEBER DJ, GERGEN-TEAGUE MF, SOBSEY MD, SAMSA GP, RUTALA WA. Comparative efficacy of hand hygiene agents in the reduction of bacteria and viruses. Am J Infect Control 2005; 33: 67-77.
- 30) SOUZA AB, DE SOUZA MG, MOREIRA MA, MOREIRA MR, FURTADO NA, MARTINS CH, ET AL. Antimicrobial evaluation of diterpenes from Copaifera langsdorffii oleoresin against periodontal anaerobic bacteria. Molecules 2011; 16: 9611-9619.
- ARIOLI S, ELLI M, RICCI G, MORA D. Assessment of the susceptibility of lactic acid bacteria to biocides. Int J Food Microbiol 2013; 163: 1-5.
- 32) FATHILAH AR, HIMRATUL-AZNITA WH, FATHEEN AR, SURI-ANI KR. The antifungal properties of chlorhexidine digluconate and cetylpyrinidinium chloride on oral Candida. J Dent 2012; 40: 609-615.
- 33) PAL S, YOON EJ, PARK SH, CHOI EC, SONG JM. Metallopharmaceuticals based on silver(I) and silver(II) polydiguanide complexes: activity against burn

wound pathogens. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65: 2134-2140.

- 34) KAWAMURA-SATO K, WACHINO J, KONDO T, ITO H, ARAKAWA Y. Correlation between reduced susceptibility to disinfectants and multidrug resistance among clinical isolates of Acinetobacter species. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65: 1975-1983.
- 35) SOUZA AB, MARTINS CH, SOUZA MG, FURTADO NA, HELENO VC, DE SOUSA JP, et al. Antimicrobial activity of terpenoids from Copaifera langsdorffii Desf. against cariogenic bacteria. Phytother Res 2011; 25: 215-220.
- 36) KOBURGER T, HÜBNER NO, BRAUN M, SIEBERT J, KRAMER A. Standardized comparison of antiseptic efficacy of triclosan, PVP-iodine, octenidine dihydrochloride, polyhexanide and chlorhexidine digluconate. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65: 1712-1719.
- 37) NURYASTUTI T, VAN DER MEI HC, BUSSCHER HJ, IRAVATI S, AMAN AT, KROM BP. Effect of cinnamon oil on icaA expression and biofilm formation by Staphylococcus epidermidis. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009; 75: 6850-6855.
- Rose H, Baldwin A, Dowson CG, Mahenthiralingam E. Biocide susceptibility of the Burkholderia cepacia complex. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009; 63: 502-510.
- 39) SHENG WH, WANG JT, LAUDERDALE TL, WENG CM, CHEN D, CHANG SC. Epidemiology and susceptibilities of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Taiwan: emphasis on chlorhexidine susceptibility. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2009; 63: 309-313.
- 40) BUXBAUM A, KRATZER C, GRANINGER W, GEORGOPOULOS A. Antimicrobial and toxicological profile of the new biocide Akacid plus. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006; 58: 193-197.
- KOLJALG S, NAABER P, MIKELSAAR M. Antibiotic resistance as an indicator of bacterial chlorhexidine susceptibility. J Hosp Infect 2002; 51: 106-113.
- 42) GIULIANA G, PIZZO G, MILICI ME, MUSOTTO GC, GIAN-GRECO R. In vitro antifungal properties of mouthrinses containing antimicrobial agents. J Periodontol 1997; 68: 729-733.
- 43) GIULIANA G, PIZZO G, MILICI ME, GIANGRECO R. In vitro activities of antimicrobial agents against Candida species. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1999; 87: 44-49.
- 44) PERRINS N, HOWELL SA, MOORE M, BOND R. Inhibition of the growth *in vitro* of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes*, *Trichophyton erinacei* and *Microsporum persicolor* by miconazole and chlorhexidine. Vet Dermatol 2005; 16: 330-333.
- 45) BARASCH A, SAFFORD MM, DAPKUTE-MARCUS I, FINE DH. Efficacy of chlorhexidine gluconate rinse for treatment and prevention of oral candidiasis in HIV-infected children: A pilot study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2004; 97: 204-207.
- 46) SAUTOUR M, MATHIEU G, DELCOURT A, DIVIES C, BEN-SOUSSAN M. Action de la chlorhexidine sur l'expression de la virulence de *Candida albicans*. Cryptogamie Mycol 1999; 20: 179-188.

- MCCOURTIE J, MCFARLANE TW, Samaranayake LP. Effect of chlorhexidine gluconate on the adherence of *Candida* species to denture acrylic. J Med Microbiol 1985; 20: 97-104.
- 48) TOBGI RS, SAMARANAYAKE LP, MCFARLANE TW. Adhesion of Candida albicans to buccal epithelial cells exposed to chlorhexidine gluconate. J Med Vet Mycol 1987; 25: 335-338.
- 49) WOOD A, PAYNE D. The action of three antiseptics/disinfectants against enveloped and non-enveloped viruses. J Hosp Infect 1998; 38: 283-295.
- PUCHER JJ, DANIEL JC. The effects of chlorhexidine digluconate on human fibroblasts *in vitro*. J Periodontol 1992; 63: 526-532.
- 51) BABICH H, WURZBURGER BJ, RUBIN YL, SINENSKY MC, BLAU L. An *in vitro* study on the cytotoxicity of chlorhexidine digluconate to human gingival cells. Cell Biol Toxicol 1995; 11: 79-88.
- 52) CHANG YC, HUANG FM, TAI KW, CHOU MY. The effect of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine on cultured human periodontal ligament cells. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2001; 92: 446-450.
- 53) CABRAL CT, FERNANDES MH. *In vitro* comparison of chlorhexidine and povidone-iodine on the long-term proliferation and functional activity of human alveolar bone cells. Clin Oral Investig 2007; 11: 155-164.
- 54) GIANNELLI M, CHELLINI F, MARGHERI M, TONELLI P, TANI A. Effect of chlorhexidine digluconate on different cell types: a molecular and ultrastructural investigation. Toxicol *In Vitro* 2008; 22: 308-317.
- 55) DE SOUZA LB, DE AQUINO SG, DE SOUZA PP, HEBLING J, COSTA CA. Cytotoxic effects of different concentrations of chlorhexidine. Am J Dent 2007; 20: 400-404.
- 56) LESSA FC, ARANHA AM, NOGUEIRA I, GIRO EM, HEBLING J, COSTA CA. Toxicity of chlorhexidine on odontoblastlike cells. J Appl Oral Sci 2010; 18: 50-58.
- 57) LAI KW, FOO TL, LOW W, NAIDU G. Surgical hand antisepsis-a pilot study comparing povidone iodine hand scrub and alcohol-based chlorhexidine gluconate hand rub. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2012; 41: 12-16.
- 58) DUMVILLE JC, MCFARLANE E, EDWARDS P, LIPP A, HOLMES A. Preoperative skin antiseptics for preventing surgical wound infections after clean surgery. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 3: CD003949.
- 59) RUBIN C, LOUTHAN RB, WESSELS E, MCGOWAN MB, DOWNER S, MAIDEN J. Chlorhexidine gluconate: to bathe or not to bathe? Crit Care Nurs Q 2013; 36: 233-236.
- 60) IMDAD A, BAUTISTA RM, SENEN KA, UY ME, MANTARING JB 3RD, BHUTTA ZA. Umbilical cord antiseptics for preventing sepsis and death among newborns. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 5: CD008635.
- 61) HADIATI DR, HAKIMI M, NURDIATI DS. Skin preparation for preventing infection following caesarean section. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012; 9: CD007462.

- 62) PUIG SILLA M, MONTIEL COMPANY JM, ALMERICH SILLA JM. Use of chlorhexidine varnishes in preventing and treating periodontal disease. A review of the literature. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2008; 13: E257-260.
- 63) BERCHIER CE, SLOT DE, VAN DER WEIJDEN GA. The efficacy of 0.12% chlorhexidine mouthrinse compared with 0.2% on plaque accumulation and periodontal parameters: a systematic review. J Clin Periodontol 2010; 37: 829-839.
- 64) LORENZ K, BRUHN G, HEUMANN C, NETUSCHIL L, BRECX M, HOFFMANN T. Effect of two new chlorhexidine mouthrinses on the development of dental plaque, gingivitis, and discolouration. A randomized, investigator-blind, placebo-controlled, 3week experimental gingivitis study. J Clin Periodontol 2006; 33: 561-567.
- 65) JAYAPRAKASH K, VEERESHA KL, HIREMATH SS. A comparative study of two mouthrinses on plaque and gingivitis in school children in the age group of 13-16 years in Bangalore city. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2007; 25: 126-129.
- 66) VAN STRYDONCK DA, SLOT DE, VAN DER VELDEN U, VAN DER WEIJDEN F. Effect of a chlorhexidine mouthrinse on plaque, gingival inflammation and staining in gingivitis patients: a systematic review. J Clin Periodontol 2012; 39: 1042-1055.
- HERRERA D. Chlorhexidine mouthwash reduces plaque and gingivitis. Evid Based Dent 2013; 14: 17-18.
- 68) CHAVES ES, KORNMAN KS, MANWELL MA, JONES AA, NEWBOLD DA, WOOD RC. Mechanism of irrigation effects on gingivitis. J Periodontol 1994; 65: 1016-1021.
- 69) McCracken G. Chlorhexidine varnish may be useful adjunct to routine periodontal therapy? Evid Based Dent 2008; 9: 76.
- SHEPHERD J. Pre-operative chlorhexidine mouth rinses reduce the incidence of dry socket. Evid Based Dent 2007; 8: 43.
- 71) RIBEIRO LG, HASHIZUME LN, MALTZ M. The effect of different formulations of chlorhexidine in reducing levels of mutans streptococci in the oral cavity: A systematic review of the literature. J Dent 2007; 35: 359-370.
- 72) ZHANG Q, VAN PALENSTEIN HELDERMAN WH, VAN'T HOF MA, TRUIN GJ. Chlorhexidine varnish for preventing dental caries in children, adolescents and young adults: a systematic review. Eur J Oral Sci 2006; 114: 449-455.
- 73) RYAN S. Chlorhexidine as a canal irrigant: a review. Compend Contin Educ Dent 2010; 31: 338-342.
- 74) FEDOROWICZ Z, ALJUFAIRI H, NASSER M, OUTHOUSE TL, PEDRAZZI V. Mouthrinses for the treatment of halitosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008; (4): CD006701.
- 75) BROWN AT, LARGENT BA, FERRETTI GA, LILLICH TT. Chemical control of plaque-dependent oral diseases: the use of chlorhexidine. Compendium 1986; 7: 719-720, 722-724.