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Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: The outbreak of coronavirus disease (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has resulted in the death of up to 5 million people worldwide, with a mortality rate of approximately 2%. Wearing masks, maintaining social distance, tracking, and isolating close contacts are not sufficient to control the epidemic. The effectiveness of vaccines is affected by the willingness of people to be vaccinated. Therefore, in this review, we aimed to examine the efficacy of different types of vaccines in reducing hospitalization rates, disease severity, and mortality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We searched five databases (Embase, PubMed, Cochrane, EBSCO, and CEPS) for related research on September 3, 2021. We used a random-effects model for analysis.

RESULTS: Seven studies were identified, involving 1,366,700 participants (689,967 participants in the vaccinated group and 676,733 participants in the non-vaccinated group). There were 292 significant incidents (56 in the vaccinated group and 236 in the non-vaccinated group) with a risk ratio of 0.12 and a 95% confidence interval of 0.040-0.363. Compared with no vaccine, all types of vaccines can effectively prevent the rate of severe illness.

CONCLUSIONS: We evaluated whether different brands of vaccines or types of COVID-19 vaccines could prevent the risk of severe illness after diagnosis. The analysis showed that all types of vaccines can effectively prevent severe disease. Implementing epidemic prevention guidelines and obtaining vaccines in different countries can improve vaccine protection and reduce COVID-19-related deaths worldwide.
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Introduction

In 2021, the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) caused the death of at least 5 million people worldwide, with a mortality rate of approximately 2%². In some countries, control measures such as using masks, maintaining social distance, screening of symptomatic people, tracing contacts, and quarantine have yielded promising results. However, these measures are subject to cooperation between the state and the public³.

The above measures are not sufficient to completely control the spread of the pandemic, and the development of vaccines cannot be delayed. As of June 2021, various vaccines have been developed by multiple countries. After a series of efficacy and safety assessments, vaccinations have been carried out in several countries. Based on the published results of some large clinical trials, Moderna and Pfizer vaccines have the highest effective rates, with a protection rate reaching 90%⁴.

The majority of people believe that the higher the effectiveness of the vaccine, the better it is; however, the purpose of the vaccine itself is to reduce hospitalization, severe illness, and mortality. The time and location of vaccine-related clinical trials will indirectly affect the effectiveness of vaccines, but this does not represent the quality of the vaccine itself.

Therefore, we aimed to examine the clinical benefits of vaccines in reducing severe illness and mortality through a literature search and comprehensive analysis.

Materials and Methods

Design and Search Strategy

By September 3, 2021, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of random-
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ized controlled trials and observational studies available on EBSCO, PubMed, Embase, The Cochrane Library, and CEPS. The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were COVID-19, vaccine, severe COVID-19, and mortality. Studies had to provide data on the incidence of proven severe COVID-19 infections (such as nucleic acid testing by polymerase chain reaction). We manually searched articles from the reference lists. This systematic review was performed following the methodological approach described in the Cochrane Handbook Version 6.2 for the systematic review of interventions. This method is explained according to the PRISMA-P statement (recommended report items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols) (Supplementary File 1). This systematic review protocol was registered at the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews database (CRD42021269803).

**Literature Selection**

Two independent researchers reviewed studies and evaluated their eligibility. If the study was a clinical trial using the same vaccine (e.g., Phase 1, Phase 2, and Phase 3), the final phase study was selected for analysis. Articles reporting incomplete data on severe COVID-19 or mortality were excluded.

**Data Extraction and Study Quality**

Two independent reviewers extracted the data in duplicate. The country, study design, age, sex, vaccine type, and dose were included in the extracted information. The original number of cases, severity of COVID-19, and deaths were recorded accurately.

Two independent reviewers independently assessed the quality of the study methodologies. For randomized controlled trials, we followed the revised means for determining the risk of bias in randomized trials (RoB 2). The cohort study followed the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Rating Scale (NOS). If the results were inconsistent, we discussed the two reports and made a joint decision.

**Statistical Analysis**

A meta-analysis of ratios (95% confidence interval [CI]) was performed for mortality in patients with severe COVID-19 or SARS-CoV-2 infection. The risk ratio (RR) was calculated to identify the difference in severe COVID-19 or mortality with and without the vaccine. The pooled results are displayed in a forest plot (Figure 1). Heterogeneity was characterized using the appropriate $p$-values and $I^2$ statistics: 0-49%, 50-74%, and 75-100% represent mild, moderate, and severe heterogeneity, respectively. No potential publication bias was used because the number of articles was less than 10. Statistical analysis was performed using meta-analysis software (Comprehensive Meta-Analysis V3, Biostat, NJ, USA).

![Figure 1. The risk ratio of receiving the vaccine and not receiving the vaccine to develop severe COVID-19.](image-url)
Results

For the initial assessment, we identified 157 records in the PubMed (n = 68), Embase (n = 56), Cochrane Library (n = 0), EBSCO (n = 31), and CEPS (n = 2) databases, with only seven articles included in the full-text review (Figure 2). Seven studies involving 1,366,700 participants were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis, of which 689,967 were vaccinated and 676,733 were not. There were 292 confirmed COVID-19 severe cases, 56 of which were from the vaccinated group and 236 from the non-vaccinated group. Of the patients, 65.3% were men, and the average age was 47.6 years. Table I describes the characteristics of each article's research design, and Table II describes the characteristics of the included studies. The quality of the randomized clinical trial articles was evaluated using RoB 2 and that of cohort studies was evaluated using the NOS (Figure 3; Table III). Most of the seven studies included young and middle-aged patients, with an average age range of 31-52 years, with males accounting for approximately 38%-55% (in one of the studies, males accounted for 84%).

Table I. Characteristics of each study design.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Nation</th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Vaccine dose</th>
<th>Confirmatory test</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voysey et al</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2020/4/23-2020/11/4</td>
<td>The United Kingdom, Brazil, South Africa, Argentina</td>
<td>ChAdOx1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadoff et al</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2020/9/21-2021/1/22</td>
<td>Brazil, Mexico, Peru, South Africa, Argentina</td>
<td>Ad26.COV2.s</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polack et al</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2020/7/27-2020/1/14</td>
<td>United States, 1 Argentina, 2 Brazil, 4 South Africa</td>
<td>BNT162b2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhi et al</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2020/6/24-2020/11/9</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>ChAdOx1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Kaabi et al</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>2020/7/16-2020/12/31</td>
<td>The United Arab Emirates and Bahrain</td>
<td>WIV04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dagan et al</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Cohort Study</td>
<td>2020/12/20-2021/2/1</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>BNT162b2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RCT, randomized controlled trial; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.
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We found seven studies reporting the severe COVID-19 event rate, ranging from 0% to 0.01% in a total of 689,967 vaccinated patients, and from 0.02% to 0.21% in a total of 676,733 non-vaccinated patients. One study was not included in the meta-analysis because neither the vaccinated nor the non-vaccinated groups had a serious COVID-19 event. Meta-analysis of these studies...

### Table II. Characteristics of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Nation</th>
<th>Vaccine and Placebo</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male, %</th>
<th>Ethnicity (White, %)</th>
<th>BMI ≥ 30.0, %</th>
<th>Severe COVID-19, n</th>
<th>Total case, n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voysey</td>
<td>The United Kingdom, Brazil, South Africa</td>
<td>ChAdOx1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MenACWY or Saline</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sadoff</td>
<td>Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, Peru, South Africa, and the United States</td>
<td>Ad26.COV2.s</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polack</td>
<td>United States, Argentina, Brazil, 4 South Africa, 6 Germany, and 9 Turkey</td>
<td>BNT162b2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madhi</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>ChAdOx1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baden</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>mRNA1273</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Kaabil</td>
<td>The United Arab Emirates and Bahrain</td>
<td>WIV04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27 (mean)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alum-only</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27 (mean)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dagan</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>BNT162b2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>596618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Vaccine</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>596618</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index. Age is expressed as mean. Al Kaabi et al. did not provide the proportion of body mass index greater than 30% and only provided the average body mass index. Madhi et al. did not perform meta-analysis because neither group had patients with severe COVID-19.

**Figure 3.** Bias tool risk, quality assessment of included randomized controlled trials using RoB 2.0.
showed that the risk ratio of the vaccinated group vs. the non-vaccinated was 0.12 (95% CI: 0.040-0.363; \( p = 0.0003 < 0.05 \); Figure 1), with significant differences (I\(^2\) = 44.2%).

**Discussion**

The results of this comprehensive analysis showed that irrespective of what vaccine or brand of vaccine is administered, there are significant differences in the number of severely ill hospitalized patients between the vaccinated and non-vaccinated groups. Allergic reactions to most vaccines are due to excipients and are treatable and manageable\(^1\). The safety of commercially available COVID-19 vaccines has been demonstrated in two meta-analyses\(^12,13\). As the epidemic continues, the number of people vaccinated globally continues to increase. Some large-scale observational studies have also shown that vaccinations, regardless of the type of vaccine, can reduce the chance of infection, minimize the number of severely ill hospitalized patients after illness, and reduce the medical burden\(^14-16\).

A previous study showed that for every 10% increase in vaccine coverage, the mortality rate could be reduced by 7.6%\(^17\). According to the open database, as of November 30, 2021, the cumulative number of confirmed diagnoses worldwide has reached 261,978,819, with a death toll of 5,205,121, and case fatality rate of approximately 2%\(^18\). In most countries, COVID-19 vaccination is a public service, and the efficiency of government plays a vital role. Moreover, countries with more developed transportation have a higher rate of disease transmission than those with less developed transportation\(^19\). In addition to increasing vaccine coverage as quickly as possible to achieve herd immunity\(^19\), the implementation of pandemic prevention policies and people’s compliance with measures, such as wearing masks, maintaining social distance, screening for symptomatic people, and returning contact measures such as tracking and quarantine, also play an essential role in lowering the number of cases\(^3,20,21\).

This study had some limitations. First, most of the studies included were on the original strain of the virus early in the COVID-19 pandemic. Mutant virus strains such as Delta and Omicron that have been subsequently discovered by the World Health Organization may require further research to confirm whether vaccines have the same effect in preventing severe disease\(^22\). Second, each country has different public health policies, epidemic prevention policies, and transportation development levels, which may indirectly affect the speed of virus transmission. Third, the studies did not mention the number of patients who recovered and the extent of subsequent complications. Finally, there is no research on the use of mixed vaccines to prevent severe illness.

**Conclusions**

In this study, we evaluated whether different brands or types of COVID-19 vaccines could prevent the risk of severe illness after diagnosis. Analysis showed that all types of vaccines can effectively prevent the occurrence of severe diseases. Implementing pandemic prevention policies in various countries and procuring vaccines will help improve vaccine coverage to reduce the number of deaths from COVID-19 worldwide.
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