Clinical study of tirofiban compared to low molecular weight heparin in the antithrombotic treatment of progressive pontine infarction

O. ZHOU¹, L.-E. XU¹, L.-L. LIN¹, X.-R. HUANG¹, W.-Z. CHI¹, J. LIN¹, P. LIN²

¹Department of Neurology, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

²Department of Critical Care Medicine, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

Abstract. – **OBJECTIVE:** To investigate the efficacy and safety of tirofiban and low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) in the treatment of patients undergoing acute progressive pontine infarction.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: Patients with acute progressive pontine infarction who were hospitalized in the Neurology Department from June 2021 to June 2023 were included in the study and randomly divided into two groups, namely the experimental group (tirofiban group) and the control group (LMWH group). All patients in both groups were required to receive conventional comprehensive treatment and dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin + clopidogrel at the beginning of admission. The National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score and Barthel Index (BI) were used to evaluate the neurological deficits on the first day of admission, the next day with stroke progression, and at discharge after treatment with tirofiban and LMWH, respectively in the two groups. The modified Rankin Scale was employed to assess prognosis on the 90th day after treatment. Clinical adverse events were followed up for 90 days, comparing the clinical efficacy and safety of the two treatment methods.

RESULTS: There was no statistical significance in NIHSS score and Barthel Index between the tirofiban group and the LMWH group on the first day of admission and the next day with stroke progression (p > 0.05). After stroke progression, tirofiban and LMWH were separately used for treatment in the two groups. We found that the NIHSS score of the tirofiban group was lower than that of the LMWH group, and the Barthel Index score was higher than that of the LMWH group at discharge (p < 0.05). After three months of follow-up, the mRS score of the tirofiban group was dramatically higher than that of the LMWH group (p < 0.05). No significant harmful or adverse reactions, such as bleeding events, were found in the two groups (p > 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS: Tirofiban may be more effective and safer than LMWH in controlling the progression of acute pontine infarction, but

further and large-sample studies are still needed to confirm this finding.

Key Words:

Tirofiban, Low molecular weight heparin, Progressive pontine infarction, Neurological deficit,

Introduction

Progressive stroke is a common clinical stroke subtype of acute cerebral infarction. The incidence rate accounts for approximately 29% to 37% of acute cerebral infarction¹. It is characterized by high disability, mortality and recurrence rate, making it impossible to treat²⁻⁴. However, pontine infarction is more commonly observed in progressive stroke, leading to a higher likelihood of progression and long-term neurological deficits. At present, emergency intravenous thrombolysis within 4.5 hours of symptom onset and arterial bridging thrombectomy are the most effective interventions for acute ischemic stroke³. However, there are currently no particularly effective methods for patients who have missed the opportunity for thrombolysis and are still progressing with conventional treatment. Some studies⁵ have reported that low molecular weight heparin can improve collateral circulation, salvage ischemic penumbra, and alleviate neurological deficits, and it has been widely used in the clinical treatment of cerebral infarction, especially in patients with posterior circulation infarction. However, in recent years, research on the application of a new antiplatelet drug, tirofiban, in the clinical treatment of acute progressive cerebral infarction has gradually increased. This article mainly investigates the efficacy and safety of tirofiban and low molecular weight heparin in the treatment of patients with progressive pontine infarction.

Patients and Methods

Patients

From June 2021 to June 2023, 90 patients who were continuously hospitalized with progressive pontine infarction were included in the study and divided into an experimental group and a control group using a random number table, with 45 cases in each group. In the experimental group, there were 28 males and 17 females, aged 45 to 73, with an average of 61.83 ± 2.32 years old. There were 35 cases of hypertension, 38 cases of hyperlipidemia, 32 cases of diabetes, and 23 smokers etc. In the control group, there were 25 males and 20 females, aged 42 to 72, with an average of 60.43 ± 1.99 years old. There were 33 cases of hypertension, 34 cases of hyperlipidemia, 37 cases of diabetes, and 22 smokers etc.

Inclusion Criteria

(1) 18 years \leq Age \leq 75 years; (2) Patients who met the diagnostic criteria for acute pontine infarction in the 2018 Chinese Guidelines for Ischemic Cerebral Infarction, accompanied by varying degrees of neurological deficits and progressively worsening symptoms: within seven days of admission, the maximum NIHSS score increases by ≥ 2 points from the initial value or by ≥ 1 point in exercise. All patients underwent brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI, including DWI) and computed tomography angiography (CTA). (3) Patients who refused to undergo intravascular thrombolysis or endovascular treatment or miss the thrombolytic therapeutic time window. (4) All the enrolled patients were informed of this study according to the Declaration of Helsinki, and they signed the informed consent upon the review by the Ethics Committee of Ruian People's Hospital.

Exclusion Criteria

(1) Patients allergic to the experimental drug; (2) Patients with mental disorders; (3) Patients who recently had a history of severe trauma or major surgery; (4) Patients with severe comorbidities, such as tumors, acute heart failure, liver and kidney failure, etc; (5) Platelet count less than 100×10^{9} /l; (6) Patients with cardiogenic stroke triggers such as atrial fibrillation and sick sinus syndrome.

Treatment Methods

On the day of admission, all the enrolled patients had been routinely administrated with aspirin [Bayer Healthcare (China) Co., Ltd., H20171021] 100 mg and clopidogrel [Sanofi (Hangzhou, China)

Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., J20180029] 300 mg. The next day after admission, the patients' condition was aggravated, and dual-antiplatelet therapy was stopped. The patients were randomly divided into an experimental group, which received tirofiban [Grandpharma (China) Co., Ltd., H20041165] therapy (intravenous micro-pump injection, initial dose of 0.4 µg/kg•min, 30 minutes later, at the speed of 0.1 μ g/kg•min, continuous application time \leq 72 hours) and control group, which received the therapy of LMWH (5 days of LMWH treatment, 85-100 IU/ kg, once every 12 hours or different doses selected based on body weight). When the two therapeutic methods were accomplished, the treatment plan was adjusted to conventional dual-antiplatelet therapy, with aspirin 100 mg+clopidogrel 75 mg (3 weeks for small artery lesions, then followed by aspirin 100 mg or clopidogrel 75 mg antiplatelet therapy alone; 3 months for large atherosclerosis lesions).

Observation Indicators

NIHSS score and Barthel Index were assessed on the day of admission, the day after progression, and at discharge. A modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score was conducted to assess the clinical prognosis at 90 days follow-up after discharge. The higher BI score and lower mRS score indicated the stronger ability of the patient to live independently. The main adverse reactions were observed and recorded after treatment in both groups, such as intracranial hemorrhage, gastrointestinal bleeding, urinary tract bleeding, and oral bleeding.

Statistics Analysis

All categorical variables are summarized as numbers and frequency (%), and the Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test was conducted to detect differences between groups. For continuous variables, mean with standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR) are presented to summarize data, and between-group comparisons were performed via independent samples 2-tailed *t*-test or Mann-Whitney U test. Statistical analyses were processed and executed by SPSS 27.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) with a significance level of p < 0.05.

Results

Comparison of Baseline Characteristics Between the Two Groups

The baseline characteristics of the two groups were not statistically significantly different but comparable (Table I, p > 0.05).

Table I. Baseline cha	aracteristics between	the two groups.
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------

Parameters	Tirofiban group	LMWH group	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	61.83 ± 2.32	60.43 ± 1.99	0.455
Gender (Male/Female, n, %)	28 (62.22%)	25 (55.56%)	0.520
	17 (37.78%)	20 (44.44%)	
Weight	63.00 ± 1.71	63.34 ± 1.57	0.702
Height	165.52 ± 1.73	163.98 ± 1.78	0.196
Hypertension, (n, %)	35 (77.78%)	33 (73.33%)	0.624
Hyperlipidemia, (n, %)	38 (84.44%)	34 (75.56%)	0.292
Diabetes Mellitus, (n, %)	32 (71.11%)	37 (82.22%)	0.213
Smoking, (n, %)	23 (51.11%)	22 (48.89%)	0.833
Platelet count	171.15 ± 9.38	184.65 ± 13.67	0.220

Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH).

Comparison of NIHSS Score, Barthel Index and mRS Scores Between the Two Groups

Before the drug intervention, the differences in the NIHSS scores and Barthel Index of the two groups were not statistically significant (p > 0.05). After treatment, the NIHSS score of the experimental group was lower than that of the control group, and the Barthel Index was higher than that of the control group. The difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05). The proportion of patients with mRS scores < 3 in the experimental group after three months of follow-up was 82.22%, significantly higher than the control group's 55.56% (p < 0.05). Therefore, we could infer that the application of tirofiban more evidently alleviated neurological deficits and improved the disease outcomes for patients (Table II).

Comparison of Occurrence of Adverse Events Between the Two Groups

Both groups of patients did not experience serious adverse reactions, such as cerebral hemorrhage, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, urinary tract bleeding, oral bleeding or events that required blood transfusion during treatment and within 90 days after treatment, confirming a very high level of safety, as shown in Table III (p > 0.05).

Discussion

Pontine infarction is the most common type of posterior circulation infarction⁴, which has a complex anatomical structure, diverse stroke symptoms and signs, and is difficult to diagnose and treat in early clinical practice. Progressive cerebral stroke currently lacks a clear definition⁶, with a higher disability rate and mortality rate than those of non-progressive cerebral stroke, and most patients have varying degrees of neurological deficit symptoms^{7,8}. By the time medical attention is sought, the window for thrombolysis has passed, hindering the recovery of daily abilities. Currently, it is believed that the main cause of progressive pontine infarction is atherosclerotic changes in the vertebral-basilar artery and its

Table II.	Comparison of NIHS	S score, Barthel I	ndex and mRS s	scores between	the two groups.

Tirofiban group	LMWH group	<i>p</i> -value
3.26 ± 0.22	3.28 ± 0.20	0.796
7.06 ± 0.24	7.30 ± 0.18	0.079
2.39 ± 0.18	5.39 ± 0.19	< 0.001ª
67.12 ± 3.89	66.86 ± 3.59	0.886
33.05 ± 2.34	32.78 ± 2.24	0.895
82.78 ± 2.02	52.10 ± 2.16	< 0.001 ^b
37 (82, 22%)	25 (55 56%)	1.000°
8 (17.78%)	20 (44.44%)	2.000
	$3.26 \pm 0.22 7.06 \pm 0.24 2.39 \pm 0.18 67.12 \pm 3.89 33.05 \pm 2.34 82.78 \pm 2.02 37 (82.22%)$	3.26 ± 0.22 3.28 ± 0.20 7.06 ± 0.24 7.30 ± 0.18 2.39 ± 0.18 5.39 ± 0.19 67.12 ± 3.89 66.86 ± 3.59 33.05 ± 2.34 32.78 ± 2.24 82.78 ± 2.02 52.10 ± 2.16 37 (82.22%) 25 (55.56%)

Compared with the control group, ${}^{ab}p < 0.05$; ${}^{c}p > 0.05$. Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH), National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), modified Rankin Scale (mRS).

Parameters	Tirofiban group	LMWH group	<i>p</i> -value
Adverse reaction			
Intracranial hemorrhage	0	0	
Gastrointestinal bleeding	0	1	
Urinary tract bleeding	0	1	
Oral bleeding	1	0	
Adverse reaction incidence	4.44%	6.67%	0.645 ^d

Table III. Comparison of occurrence of adverse events between the two groups.

Compared with the control group, ${}^{d}p > 0.05$. Low molecular weight heparin (LMWH).

branches. Research by Yamamoto et al⁹ suggests that there are three pathogenesis mechanisms of pontine infarction: (1) Atherosclerotic plaques occur at the origin of the perforating arteries derived from the basal artery, and unstable plaques cause secondary hemorrhage accompanied by thrombus formation¹⁰; (2) Ischemia of perforating arteries caused by the main lesion of the basal artery, leading to infarction when compensation is insufficient; (3) Formation of atherosclerotic plaques at the junction of the basal artery and perforating arteries. Therefore, based on different pathogenesis mechanisms, domestic and foreign research divides pontine infarction into three different types, namely large-artery occlusive disease (LAOD), basilar artery branching disease (BABD), and small artery disease (SAD). Among them, BABD is more common in clinical practice, with about 60% of pontine infarctions being pontine perforating disease, also known as paramedian pontine artery infarction¹¹, which is more likely to fluctuate and worsen.

Pontine infarction often has atypical clinical symptoms, and patients may only show dizziness or vertigo, nausea, vomiting, and instability while walking, which are easily overlooked in the early stage. And it is very likely to develop stroke progression¹². At present, it is believed that stroke progression is mainly caused by platelet activation or the formation of platelet-coagulation fibrin clots secondary to thrombosis. Clinically, it is found that about 80% of the patients have missed the best clinical treatment time when they come for treatment, and at this time, they often adopt drug treatment plans such as strengthening antiplatelet, strengthening statin, scavenging free radicals, combining anticoagulation or fluid resuscitation. A number of studies by Sun et al⁵ in China have proposed that the single use of aspirin or clopidogrel combined with low molecular weight heparin to treat progressive cerebral stroke can significantly improve the early neurological

deterioration (END) of patients and the clinical efficacy is better than the dual antiplatelet treatment of aspirin and clopidogrel. However, there are also studies with similarly different views. For example, Takeda et al¹³ found that the combination of anticoagulant and antiplatelet drugs cannot prevent the progression of acute symptoms but is beneficial to improving the prognosis of patients. Therefore, the combined drug treatment of progressive stroke is currently widely adopted¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

Low molecular weight heparin is prepared by depolymerization of ordinary heparin; it has anti-factor Xa, is anticoagulant, promotes fibrinolytic and increasing endothelial cell antithrombotic ability^{17,18}, and has been widely used in the treatment of cerebral infarction, especially posterior circulation infarction. Some studies¹⁹ have shown that low molecular weight heparin can increase blood perfusion, reduce the area of cerebral infarction, and thus alleviate ischemia of infarcted brain tissue. However, tirofiban, as a new type of antiplatelet drug, has been widely used in the treatment of heart diseases such as coronary heart disease²⁰, and its application in ischemic cerebrovascular disease has become a research hotspot in recent years. It is a small molecule non-peptide tyrosine derivative, has selective competitive inhibition of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptors, has a significant dose dependence, and can effectively block the chain reaction of platelet aggregation. The drug takes effect quickly and has an antiplatelet aggregation effect within 5 min after intravenous injection. The drug's peak time is < 30 min and the drug's half-life is short, thus reducing the risk of bleeding²¹; compared with low molecular weight, heparin is a better choice. Some studies^{22,23} have found that, on the basis of routine cerebral infarction treatment, the use of tirofiban is better than low molecular weight heparin, with similar safety. For acute cerebral infarction patients who have not undergone intravenous thrombolysis because of the exceeding time window, tirofiban can effectively reduce neurological deficits and improve daily living ability. Similarly, research by Liu et al²⁴ believes that, whether it is large artery atherosclerosis or perforating artery disease leading to acute progressive cerebral infarction, tirofiban has a good effect and does not increase the risk of bleeding.

Limitations

However, some potential limitations need to be considered in this study. First, it has a small sample size. Second, it is a single-center study with a short follow-up time. Therefore, subsequent large-sample randomized controlled studies or cooperation with multiple centers are still needed. In summary, it is important to seek the best treatment options for different types of infarction.

Conclusions

This study is based on the CHANCE research guidelines established by Professor Wang Yon-gjun²⁵, and it is found that the use of tirofiban treatment based on routine dual anti-treatment can more effectively inhibit platelet aggregation, prevent thrombus formation, and promote patient's neurological function recovery, which is a good choice for some patients who missed early treatment²⁶. Tirofiban has a strong antiplatelet aggregation effect, and it is hoped that it will have a more prominent performance in the treatment of acute cerebral infarction in the future.

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Ethics Approval

This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical regulations of the Declaration of Helsinki. The experiment has been approved by the Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (approval number: YJ2024022; approval date: February 15, 2024).

ORCID ID

Ping Lin: 0009-0004-9816-0244

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Q. Zhou edited the manuscript and performed the experiment. L.-E. Xu and L.-L. Lin collected data. X.-R. Huang, W.-Z. Chi, and J. Lin processed the data and the statistics. Q. Zhou and P. Lin designed the research, provided critical comments, and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

No funding was received.

Data Availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- Krarup LH, Sandset EC, Sandset PM, Berge E. D-dimer levels and stroke progression in patients with acute ischemic stroke and atrial fibrillation. Acta Neurol Scand 2011; 124: 40-44.
- Li Y, Liu Z, Li Y, Tan T, Bai X, Liu M, Wang S, Tang Y. Research Progress of Neurovascular Units in Ischemic Stroke. Chinese Journal of Geriatric Heart Brain and Vessel Disease 2019; 21: 217-220.
- Li J, Zhang C. Efficacy analysis of high-dose atorvastatin calcium combined with tirofiban in the treatment of acute progressive cerebral infarction. Chinese Journal of Practical Nervous Diseases 2020; 23: 789-792. Available at: http://dx. doi.org/10.12083/SYSJ.2020.09.125.
- 4) Chen W, Yi T, Chen Y, Zhang M, Wu Z, Wu Y, Chen B, Guo T, Wu C, Yang M, Chen X, Shi Y. Assessment of bilateral cerebral peduncular infarction: Magnetic resonance imaging, clinical features, and prognosis. J Neurol Sci 2015; 357: 131-135.
- Sun X, Kou G, Zhang S, Dong Y, He T. Clinical efficacy of different treatment on progressive branch atherosclerosis disease. Journal of Clinical and Pathological Research 2017; 37: 1190-1194. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j. issn.2095-6959.2017.06.019.
- Saia V, Pantoni L. Progressive stroke in pontine infarction. Acta Neurol Scand 2009; 120: 213-215.
- Lucke-Wold BP, Turner RC, Logsdon AF, Simpkins JW, Alkon DL, Smith KE, Chen YW, Tan ZJ,Huber JD, Rosen CL. Common mechanisms of Alzheimer's disease and ischemic stroke: the role of protein kinase C in the progression of age related neurodegeneration. J Alzheimers Dis 2015; 43: 711-724.
- Ortega E, Garcia J.J, Bote M.E, Martin-Cordero L, Escalante Y, Saavedra JM, Northoff H, Giraldo E.Exercise in fibromyalgia and related inflamatory disorders:known effects and unkno wn chances. Exerc Immunol Rev 2009; 15: 42-44.
- Yamamoto Y, Ohara T, Hamanaka M, Hosomi A, Tamura A, Akiguchi I. Characteristics of in-

tracranial branch atheromatous disease and its association with progressive motor deficits. J Neurol Sci 2011; 304: 78-82.

- Fisher CM, Caplan LR. Basilar artery branch occlusion: a cause of pontine infarction. Neurology 1971; 21: 900-905.
- 11) Field TS, Benavente OR. Penetrating artery territory pontine infarction. Rev Neurol Dis 2011; 8: 30-38.
- Duan S, Zhang S, Li L, Ren C, Xie J. Carotid artery intima-media thickness associated with prognosis of intracranial branch atheromatous disease. Int J Neurosci 2017; 127: 361-367.
- 13) Takeda H, Takagi M, Yamamoto Y, J-BAD Investigators. Branch atheromatous disease: how do we analyze its pathophysiology and treat it to prevent the progression of neurological sym ptoms?. Rinsho Shinkeigaku 2010; 50: 921-924.
- 14) Yamamoto Y, Ohara T, Ishii R,Tanaka E, Murai T, Morii F, Tamura A, Oohara R. Acombined treatment for acute larger lacunar-type infarction. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2011; 20: 387-394.
- Yamamoto Y. Concept, pathophysiology and treatment for branch atheromatous disease. Rinsho Shinkeigaku 2014; 54: 289-297.
- 16) Yamamoto Y, Nagakane Y, Makino M, Ohara T, Koizumi T, Makita N, Akiguchi L. Aggressive antiplatelet treatment for acute branch atheromatous disease type infarcts: a 12-year prospective study. Int J Stroke 2014; 9: E8.
- 17) Liu X.Clinical Effects of Low Molecular Weight Heparin Combined with Lovastatin in the Treatment of Carotid Arteriosclerosis.China Modern Medcine, 2014; 21: 72-74.
- Gong R, Qi J, Ji Y, Chu X. Clinical Observation of Simvastatin Combined with Low Molecular Weight Heparin in the Treatment of CerebralInfarction. Hebei Medical Journal 2012; 34: 3284-3286.
- 19) Broderick PA, Kolodny EH. Biosensors for brain trauma and dual laser doppler flowmetry: enoxaparin

simultaneously reduces strokeinduced dopamine and blood flow while enhancing serotonin and blood flow in motor neurons of brain, in vivo.Sensors (Basel) 2011; 11: 138-161.

- 20) Stegnerd D, Deppermann C, Kraft P, Morowski M, Kleinschnitz C, Stoll G, Nieswandt B. Munc13-4-mediated secretion isessential for infarct progression but not intracranial hemostasis in acute stroke. J Thromb Haemost 2013; 11: 1430-1433.
- Shi P. Effect of local administration of tirofiban via arterial catheter on local blood flow and neural function in patients with acute ischemic cerebral infarction with extended thrombolytictime window. Chinese Journal of Practical Medicine 2019; 46: 104-106. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-266X.2019.17.012.
- 22) He M, Wang T, Gao F, Tian S, Ma X, Cui H, Dong G. Observations on the Efficacy of Tilofiban in the Treatment of Progressive Ischemic Stroke. Chinese Journal of Practical Nervous Diseases 2018; 21: 2249-2253.
- 23) Luo Y, Yang Y, Xie Y, Yuan Z, Li X, Li J. Therapeutic effect of preoperative tirofibanon patients with acute ischemic stroke with mechanical thrombectomy within 6-24 hours. Interv Neuroradiol 2019; 25: 705-709.
- 24) Liu S, Xin L, Ma Y, Yang H. The application effect of tirofiban in the treatment of progressive cerebral infarction and its impact on patients' serum inflammatory factors and platelet function. Shandong Medical Journal 2019; 59: 44-46. DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-266X.2019.17.012.
- 25) Wang Y, Wang Y, Zhao X, Liu L, Wang D, Wang C, Wang C, Li H, Meng X, Cui L, Jia J, Dong Q, Xu A, Zeng J, Li Y, Wang Z, Xia H, Johnston SC; CHANCE Investigators. Clopidogrel with aspirin in acute minor stroke or transient ischemic attack. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 11-19.
- 26) Du N, Wang L, Liu Y, Yin X, Zhao J, Yang L. Effect of tirofiban in treating patients with progressive ischemic stroke. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2022; 26: 2098-2105.