Human papillomavirus vaccine administration among women in the Eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey: prevalence and barriers

B. METE, F.A. UTUK, H. DEMIRHINDI, A. INALTEKIN, F. TANIR, E. KARA, E. NAZLICAN

Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines are very effective in preventing HPV infection and related diseases. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of the HPV vaccine administration and barriers to vaccination among women aged 15-49 years.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS: This cross-sectional study was conducted on 401 women aged 15-49 years. The prevalence of women who received the HPV vaccine, their knowledge about HPV in general, HPV screening tests, HPV vaccine and the current HPV vaccination program were evaluated. Barriers to getting the HPV vaccine were questioned.

RESULTS: The mean age of women who had received the HPV vaccine was 30.87±8.89 and the mean age at the first sexual intercourse was 22 years. 3.2% of women received HPV vaccine. The most important factor impeding the vaccination was unawareness of the HPV vaccine and the high cost of the vaccine. If the vaccine was free, most (81.2%) of the participants stated that they would vaccinate themselves and their children (72.8%). The highest lack of information was observed about the vaccination program and vaccinated women were more informed about HPV, HPV screening tests, HPV vaccine and the vaccination program. The increase in the knowledge about the HPV vaccination program increased the probability of getting vaccinated by an odds ratio (OR) of 4.43 times.

CONCLUSIONS: The most important barriers to HPV vaccination were the lack of public funding for vaccines and the lack of information. We recommend increasing educational activities on the HPV vaccination program and public funding of vaccination.

Key Words:

HPV, Vaccine, Routine vaccination, Primary prevention.

Introduction

Almost all cases of cervical cancer are associated with human papillomavirus (HPV). While most HPV infections clear up on their own and do not cause symptoms, persistent infections may lead to cervical cancer in women. Cervical cancer is the fourth most frequently observed cancer in women. An estimated 570,000 women worldwide were diagnosed with cervical cancer in 2018. The 2017 cancer statistics of the Turkish Republic's Ministry of Health reported an incidence of 4.3 per 100,000 for cervical cancer, constituting 2.3% of all cancers in females and an incidence of 5 per 100,000 for HPV-related cancers.

Effective primary prevention (i.e., HPV vaccine) and secondary prevention (i.e., screening and treatment of precancerous lesions) prevent most cases of cervical cancer. It is estimated that cervical cancer could be removed from being a public health problem within one generation if a comprehensive screening and treatment approach was applied¹. Besides screening, the production of HPV vaccines has had a major impact on the prevention of cervical cancer^{2,3}. HPV vaccines are highly effective in preventing HPV infection and related diseases when administered to boys and girls before puberty⁴. The cost-effectiveness of the HPV vaccines decreases significantly after the age of 26^{5,6}. In a study⁷, HPV vaccines have been shown to cause a stronger immunogenic response than the infection itself. Vaccines have been reported to protect against reinfection or reactivation in individuals who are seropositive with a previously cleared infection⁷.

Considering the infrastructure and sources in the country, the ideal preventive practices related to HPV and its consequences in Turkey appear to be screening with the HPV test or Pap-smear (Papanicolaou) test, which is performed every five years, targeting the female population aged between 30 and 65 years (30 and 65 included). The HPV vaccine is not included in the Turkish national vaccination program and the fee for vaccination is paid by the individual who requests to receive the HPV vaccine. The Minister of Health – speaking at the Ministry of Health's Plan and Budget Committee on November 25, 2022, within the scope of the budget negotiations of the Ministry of Health – pointed out a plan that, considering age groups and marital status, intended starting HPV vaccination in a determined age group and then extending it to the other age groups, by adding that limited financial resources were the main reason impeding the inclusion of HPV vaccine in the national vaccination program.

This study aimed to determine the prevalence of HPV vaccination and the barriers to vaccination in 15 to 49 years old women living in the eastern Mediterranean region of Turkey.

Subject and Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted between February and April 2022 by researchers from the Department of Public Health at the Faculty of Medicine of Çukurova University in Adana, Turkey. The population of the study consisted of women aged between 15 and 49 years residing in the Research and Practice Area (RPA) of the Department. In the sample size analysis performed by accepting type 1 error level=0.05, power=80%, effect size= 0.281^8 , the minimum sample size was calculated as 398 and 401 women were reached. The RPA included primary health care institutions (Family Health Centre, Community Health Centre, Healthy Life Centre) in two central districts and one rural district. A face-to-face questionnaire was applied to the participants reached by convenience sampling, and consent to participate was obtained after the necessary explanations. The first part of the questionnaire form included questions about sociodemographic information and those related to reproductive health, and the second scale part consisted of HPV Knowledge Scale.

Human Papillomavirus Knowledge Scale (HPV-KS)

This scale was developed by Waller et al⁹ in 2013 to measure the knowledge level of individuals about HPV, HPV vaccine and screening tests. The validity and reliability study of the scale was conducted in the Turkish language by Demir et al¹⁰ in 2019. The Turkish adaptation of the HPV-KS consists of 33 questions and 4 sub-factors: Factor 1: general HPV knowledge; Factor 2: HPV screening test knowledge; Factor 3: general HPV vaccination knowledge; Factor 4: knowledge of the current HPV vaccination program. Participants mark each item of the HPV-KS as "Yes", "No" and "Don't know". In the evaluation phase, each correct answer is scored with 1, while incorrect answers and "don't know" statements are scored with 0. The total score to be obtained from the HPV-KS varies between 0 and 33. A higher score indicates a higher level of knowledge about HPV, HPV screening tests and the HPV vaccine.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS v. 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) statistical analyses package software was used for data. Normality was tested with the Kolmogor-ov-Smirnov test. Mann-Whitney U test and binary logistic regression were used to analyse the data. A p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of 401 women who participated in the study was 30.87 ± 8.89 years (min=15 - max=49), with 49.8% of the women being married and 62.3% university graduates. The HPV vaccine prevalence is 3.2%. 21.4% of the participants had undergone a Pap smear screening test. The most prominent factors impeding the vaccination were the lack of information about the vaccine and the high cost of the vaccine. Most participants stated that if the vaccine was free of charge, they would buy the vaccine for themselves (81.2%) and for their children (72.8%) (Table I).

The knowledge levels of the participants about HPV infection, vaccines, vaccination programs and screening were given in Table II. The proportion of participants who said they did not know about these factors varied between 19.2% and 66.8%. The least known subjects were vaccine licenses and the vaccination program itself.

General knowledge, screening test knowledge, vaccine knowledge, knowledge about vaccination program sub-dimensions and the HPV knowledge scale score sums were found to be statistically significantly higher in participants who had received HPV vaccination compared to those who had not received it (Table III).

The binary logistic regression established to predict the probability of HPV vaccination was found to be significant (omnibus test p < 0.001). The independent variables of the model were HPV knowledge scale sub-dimensions, and the dependent variable was HPV vaccination status.

Table I. Sociodemographic and human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine/screening-related characteristics.

	n	%
Marital status		
Married	199	49.8
Single	190	47.5
Other	11	2.7
Education		
Graduate of less than university	151	37.7
University graduate and more	250	62.3
Income		
Minimum wage or less	92	22.9
More than the minimum wage but less than the poverty threshold	142	35.5
More than the poverty threshold	166	41.5
Sexual intercourse experience	222	57.0
Yes	232	57.9
No No Angwar	154	38.4 2.7
A se at first served intervence (mean later dand deviation) [min mean]	$\frac{13}{22.21 \pm 2.7((14.21))}$	5.7
Age at inst sexual intercourse (mean±standard deviation) [min-max]	22.21±3./0 (14-31)	
Knowledge about sexually transmitted diseases	171	12.6
I have little knowledge	171	42.0
I have no idea	51	12.7
Heard about the HPV vaccine	01	12.7
Yes	297	74 1
No	72	18.0
No idea	31	7.7
Got vaccinated with the HPV vaccine		
Yes	13	3.2
No	388	96.8
Reason for not being vaccinated		
I had no knowledge	186	46.4
I find it expensive	126	31.4
I don't trust in the vaccine	8	2.0
I don't think it will be helpful	6	1.5
Ecause of the side effects	0 21	1.5
1 III ullacelaea Other	22	55
Would you get vaccinated if it was free?		
Yes	328	81.8
No	70	17.5
Did you get your child vaccinated with the HPV vaccine?		
Yes	11	2.7
No	390	97.3
Reason for not getting your child vaccinated		
I had no knowledge	99	24.7
I find it expensive	24	6.0
I don't trust in the vaccine	4	1.0
I don't think it will be helpful	6	1.5
Because of the side effects	-	-
1 III ullacciaea Other	14 29	3.3 7.2
Would you get your child vaccinated if it was free?	2)	1.2
Yes	292	72.8
No	109	27.2
Ever tested with Pap-smear		
Yes	86	21.4
No	314	78.3
Pap smear results*		
Negative	68	79.1
ASC-US	1	1.1
CIN-1	5	5.8
I don't know	12	13.9
Total number of participants	401	100.0

ASC-US=Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance observed. CIN=Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia observed (CIN-1=Level in CIN). Pap=Papanicolaou. *Only those who have undergone a Pap smear have been included in the calculation.

		e		
	Correct answer	True (%)	False (%)	Don't Know (%)
HPV can cause cervical cancer	Т	299 (74.6)	7 (1.7)	95 (23.7)
A person could have HPV for many years without knowing it	Т	252 (62.8)	32 (8.0)	117 (29.2)
Having many sexual partners increases the risk of getting HPV	Т	305 (76.1)	19 (4.7)	77 (19.2)
HPV is very rare	F	38 (9.5)	224 (55.9)	139 (34.7)
HPV can be passed on during sexual intercourse	Т	280 (69.8)	29 (7.2)	92 (22.9)
HPV has always visible signs and symptoms	F	48 (12.0)	200 (49.9)	153 (38.2)
Using condoms reduces the risk of getting HPV	Т	255 (63.6)	36 (9.0)	110 (27.4)
HPV can cause HIV (Human immunodeficiency virus)/AIDS	F	101 (25.2)	118 (29.4)	182 (45.4)
(Acquired Immuno deficiency virus)				()
HPV can be passed on by genital skin-to-skin contact	Т	237 (59.1)	35 (8.7)	129 (32.2)
HPV does not contaminate men	F	31 (7.7)	231 (57.6)	139 (34.7)
Having sex at an early age increases the risk of getting HPV	Т	191 (47.6)	55 (13.7)	155 (38.7)
There are many types of HPV	Т	206 (51.4)	21 (5.2)	174 (43.4)
HPV can cause genital warts	Т	240 (59.9)	26 (6.5)	135 (33.7)
HPV can be cured with antibiotics	F	44 (11.0)	164 (40.9)	193 (48.1)
Most sexually active people will be infected with HPV	Т	116 (28.9)	106 (26.4)	179 (44.6)
at some point in their lives				
HPV usually doesn't need any treatment	Т	58 (14.5)	188 (46.9)	155 (38.7)
If a woman tests positive for HPV, she will definitely	F	37 (9.2)	201 (50.1)	163 (40.6)
get cervical cancer				
An HPV test can be done at the same time as a Pap-test	Т	169 (42.1)	30 (7.5)	202 (50.4)
An HPV test can tell you how long you have had an HPV infection	n F	45 (11.2)	143 (35.7)	213 (53.1)
HPV testing is used to indicate if the HPV vaccine is needed	F	72 (18.0)	148 (36.9)	181 (45.1)
When you have an HPV test, you get the results the same day	F	53 (13.2)	121 (30.2)	227 (56.6)
If an HPV test shows that a woman does not have HPV,	Т	142 (35.4)	84 (20.9)	175 (43.6)
her risk of cervical cancer is low			200 (10 0)	154 (42.4)
Girls who have had an HPV vaccine do not need	F	27 (6.7)	200 (49.9)	174 (43.4)
One type of HDV vegeine offers protection against genital worts	Т	167 (41.6)	20 (0 7)	105 (49 6)
The UDV vaccine offer protection against genital waits	I	$\frac{107(41.0)}{50(12.5)}$	$\frac{39(9.7)}{170(44.6)}$	193 (48.0)
transmitted infections	Г	30 (12.3)	1/9 (44.0)	172 (42.9)
Someone who is vaccinated with HPV vaccine does not develop	F	45 (11 2)	177 (44 1)	179 (44 6)
cervical cancer	1	10 (11.2)	1// (11.1)	177 (11.0)
HPV vaccines offer protection against most cervical cancers	Т	213 (53.1)	25 (6.2)	163 (40.6)
The HPV vaccine requires three doses	Т	151 (37.7)	23 (5.7)	227 (56.6)
The HPV vaccines are most effective if given to people	Т	127 (31.7)	61 (15.2)	213 (53.1)
who have never had sex		()		- ()
The HPV vaccine is offered to girls aged 9-13 years	Т	180 (44.9)	34 (8.5)	187 (46.6)
The HPV vaccine is offered to women aged 30-45 years	F	100 (24.9)	49 (12.2)	252 (62.8)
Both HPV vaccines that are available protect against	F	136 (33.9)	24 (6.0)	241 (60.1)
both genital warts and cervical cancer				
HPV vaccine is permitted for males aged 11-26 years	Т	101(25.2)	32(8.0)	268 (66 8)

Table II. Distribution of responses given to human papillomavirus (HPV) Knowledge Scale items.

Among the variables included in the model, the level of knowledge about the HPV vaccination program was found to be important, and each 1.49 unit increase in the score in this subscale increased the probability of vaccination by 4.43 times (Table IV).

Discussion

There are two existing vaccines against HPV infection in Turkey: Cervarix[®] (GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals S.A., Belgium) and Gardasil[®] (Merck & Co., Inc., USA). Both vaccines prevent HPV

	HPV vaccinatio	on - Median (IQR)	
Scale sub-dimension	Νο	Yes	P
HPV general information	8 (8)	12 (3.5)	0.004
HPV screening test information	2 (4)	4 (3.5)	0.037
HPV vaccine information	2 (4)	5 (2.5)	0.004
Knowledge of the HPV vaccination program	1 (3)	5 (2)	<0.001
Total	13.5 (17)	26 (8)	<0.001

Table III. Comparison of human papillomavirus (HPV) Knowledge Scale dimensions according to vaccination status.

IQR=interquartile range.

Table IV. Logistic regression analysis of prediction of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination.

	В	Р	O.R.	95% C.I. for OR	
				Lower	Upper
HPV general information	-0.048	0.736	0.953	0.722	1.259
HPV screening test information	-0.396	0.100	0.673	0.419	1.080
HPV vaccine information	0.125	0.671	1.133	0.638	2.013
Knowledge of the HPV vaccination program	1.490	<0.001	4.437	2.219	8.873
Constant	-6.525	<0.001	0.001		

O.R.=Odds Ratio. C.I.=Confidence Interval. B=Beta Coefficient.

types 16 and 18. Gardasil also prevents HPV types 6 and 11. The HPV vaccine is recommended for women aged 9 to 26 years and for men aged 13 to 21 years. Many countries¹¹ have introduced publicly funded HPV immunization programs since 2006. However, the immunization coverage rate remains low in some countries and the coverage rate is higher in more developed regions compared to less developed regions¹¹. An HPV vaccination coverage of 70% in women has been accepted as the threshold for optimum cost-effectiveness¹². A meta-analysis¹³ showed that a vaccine coverage of at least 50% provided a reduction of 68% in HPV types 16 and 18 prevalence and a reduction of 61% in anogenital warts prevalence. In our country, HPV vaccines are not included in the free routine national vaccination program. In our study, it was found that the rate of women who received HPV vaccination was 3.2%, and the most prominent barriers to vaccination were the cost of the vaccine (not financed by the public resource) and the lack of information about both HPV infection and vaccine/vaccination programs. In a study¹⁴ conducted in Thailand, the rate of HPV vaccination was found to be 1.9% and 30.3% of the participants expressed their intention to be vaccinated.

The barriers to HPV vaccination were reported as cost (52.2%) and the perception that it was not needed due to low-risk behaviour (45.1%)¹⁴. In a study conducted in Lebanon¹⁵, 36.5% of the participants stated that they had never heard of the vaccine before and 16.5% had received the HPV vaccine. The level of knowledge about HPV was found to be low-medium. In a study conducted in Jordan¹⁶, 62.7% of the participants had heard of HPV and 48.7% of them knew about the availability of HPV vaccines; 3.6% had received the HPV vaccine and the overall willingness to receive the HPV vaccine was 75.0% if provided free of charge. The most common reason for HPV vaccine refusal is the perceived low risk of HPV infection. In a study conducted in China¹⁷, the vaccination rate of women was found to be 3.5%; 65.7% of the participants were willing to be vaccinated against HPV. High vaccine cost (50.8%), concern about side effects (46.3%) and lack of sexual life (43.4%) were found to be the main reasons for reluctance. It was reported that the level of knowledge about HPV was effective in the willingness to be vaccinated. In a study¹⁸ of 7,335 university students, female students generally had better knowledge and attitudes towards HPV, while male students reported a higher probability of contracting HPV compared to female students. Knowledge and sexual education were found to be indicators of willingness to receive HPV vaccination for both sexes. The factors affecting willingness for HPV vaccination were payment for optional vaccination for male students, while for female students it was high income, having cancer in relatives or friends, having had sexual experience before and having received information about HPV vaccination¹⁸. It was reported that providing more active education - to improve the knowledge and attitudes of university students about HPV and HPV vaccine and inclusion of HPV vaccine in the National Immunisation Programme at the recommended ages for both men and women - would be the most cost-effective way to prevent HPV infection.

In the study conducted by Hsu et al¹⁹, it was found that more than 50% of female university students were aware of HPV and HPV vaccines, and 63% of the students intended to receive the HPV vaccine. Age, family history of gynaecologic cancer, personal history of gynaecologic visits, sexual experience, and awareness of HPV and HPV vaccines were demographic factors predicting HPV vaccination. Personal susceptibility to the disease, perception of disease severity, characteristics of HPV, and cost and availability of the vaccine were found to be "health belief factors" predicting HPV vaccination. In a study²⁰ conducted in South India, 59.7% of the students had heard of the HPV vaccine, and 65.2% were planning to receive the vaccine. Participants older than 22 years were found to be less likely to accept the vaccine compared to younger participants. Medical students, students who reported alcohol use and those with moderate knowledge scores were found to have a higher intention to receive the vaccine²⁰. In a study²¹ conducted on Indonesian urban residents, the factors predicting better practices in HPV vaccination were female sex, being employed, high knowledge scores and having a positive attitude. Having received one vaccine dose and intention to be vaccinated are significantly affected by good knowledge, attitude, and behaviour. In our study, HPV vaccination rates were found to be quite low and the lack of information about vaccination and public financing of vaccines were found to be the biggest barriers to not getting vaccinated²¹. In a study²² conducted in Nigeria, only 17.7% of students had heard about HPV infection and 14.4% had heard about the HPV vaccine. In general,

only 11.1% knew that genital HPV infection can cause cervical cancer. Of the students who had heard of the HPV vaccine, 46.2% knew that it aimed to protect against cervical cancer and 57.7% stated that they wanted to be vaccinated²². In a study²³ conducted in South Korea, the HPV vaccination rate was found to be 26% and vaccination rates were found to be higher in young, single, highly educated women. Xenaki et al²⁴ conducted a study on educators and health professionals and found that 74.8% of them did not receive the HPV vaccine, despite 94.1% of participants having a positive attitude towards the vaccine.

Our study revealed that an increase in knowledge about the vaccination program was associated with an increase in vaccination behaviour. Moreover, women who received the HPV vaccine tended to have greater knowledge about prevention concepts such as HPV, HPV screening, and vaccination. We believe that increasing the level of knowledge of women on this subject and providing the vaccine free of charge by public bodies will provide a significant increase in vaccination rates.

Globally, by the end of 2008, a quarter of high-income and upper-middle-income countries had implemented national HPV vaccination programs, but no such initiatives were observed in low- and lower-middle-income countries. By 2014, only 1.1% of 10 to 20-year-old girls in all 84 low- and lower-middle-income countries had been vaccinated with one or more doses of HPV vaccine and approximately 70% of cervical cancers occurred in countries without national HPV vaccination programmes¹¹. HPV vaccination coverage appears as a problem in low-income countries and lack of information and cost are the biggest barriers to the vaccination. In recent years, more than 80 countries have implemented national HPV vaccination programs. The majority of these countries are high or upper-middle-income countries. Barriers to HPV vaccination remain heaviest in countries with the highest burden of cervical cancer and the greatest need for the vaccine. Innovation and global leadership are needed to increase and sustain introductions in low-income and lower-middle-income countries²⁵. The limitation of this study is that it was conducted in a single centre.

Conclusions

According to the results of this study, the rate of HPV vaccination among women aged 15 to 49

years was very low, and the lack of information on vaccines and vaccination programs and the cost of vaccination were the biggest barriers to vaccination. We recommend that more emphasis should be placed on educational activities to increase knowledge and awareness about HPV vaccination programs and that the HPV vaccine be included in the free national vaccination program.

Funding

None.

Ethics Approval

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee for Non-Interventional Studies of the Faculty of Medicine, Cukurova University, Adana, Türkiye (February 4th, 2022, and Decree No. 119).

Informed Consent

All participants signed informed consent for inclusion.

Availability of Data and Materials

The data of the study are available from the first and corresponding author.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest in this study.

Funding

None.

Authors' Contributions

Burak Mete; conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data; drafting the article, making critical revisions. Fatma Atun Utuk; conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis, making critical revisions. Ayşe Inaltekin; conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis, making critical revisions. Hakan Demirhindi; design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis, making critical revisions. Ferdi Tanir; conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis, making critical revisions. Ertan Kara; conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis, making critical revisions. Ersin Nazlican; conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis, making critical revisions.

Acknowledgements

We thank intern doctors for acting in the filling of questionnaires.

ORCID ID

Burak Mete: 0000-0002-0780-6176 Fatma Atun Utuk: 0000-0001-8804-1058 Hakan Demirhindi: 0000-0001-7475-2406 Ferdi Tanir: 0000-0001-7408-8533 Ertan Kara: 0000-0003-2486-8683 Ersin Nazlican: 0000-0002-1460-1996 Ayşe Inaltekin: 0000-0001-5670-6369

References

- World Health Organization. Available at: https:// www.who.int/health-topics/cervical-cancer#tab=tab_1
- Kaleab TT, Eleni TT, Abiyu AA, Mekibib KT. Knowledge and utilization of screening for cervical cancer among female in Ethiopia: a systemic review and meta-analysis. WCRJ 2021; 8: e1844.
- Schiller JT, Lowy DR. Understanding and learning from the success of prophylactic human papillomavirus vaccines. Nat Rev Microbiol 2012; 10: 681-692.
- 4) Paavonen J, Naud P, Salmerón J, Wheeler CM, Chow SN, Apter D, Kitchener H, Castellsague X, Teixeira JC, Skinner SR, Hedrick J, Jaisamrarn U, Limson G, Garland S, Szarewski A, Romanowski B, Aoki FY, Schwarz TF, Poppe WA, Bosch FX, Jenkins D, Hardt K, Zahaf T, Descamps D, Struyf F, Lehtinen M, Dubin G; HPV PATRICIA Study Group. Efficacy of human papillomavirus (HPV)-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine against cervical infection and precancer caused by oncogenic HPV types (PATRICIA): final analysis of a double-blind, randomised study in young women. Lancet 2009; 374: 301-314.
- Meites E, Szilagyi PG, Chesson HW, Unger ER, Romero JR, Markowitz LE. Human Papillomavirus Vaccination for Adults: Updated Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2019; 68: 698-702.
- Soutter WP, Sasieni P, Panoskaltsis T. Long-term risk of invasive cervical cancer after treatment of squamous cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. Int J Cancer 2006; 118: 2048-2055.
- 7) Harro CD, Pang YY, Roden RB, Hildesheim A, Wang Z, Reynolds MJ, Mast TC, Robinson R, Murphy BR, Karron RA, Dillner J, Schiller JT, Lowy DR. Safety and immunogenicity trial in adult volunteers of a human papillomavirus 16 L1 virus-like particle vaccine. J Natl Cancer Inst 2001; 93: 284-292.

3532

- Karasu AFG, Adanir I, Aydin S, Ilhan GK, Oflu T. Nurses' knowledge and opinions on HPV vaccination: a cross-sectional study from Istanbul. Journal of Cancer Education 2019; 34: 98-104.
- Waller J, Ostini R, Marlow LA, McCaffery K, Zimet G. Validation of a measure of knowledge about human papillomavirus (HPV) using item response theory and classical test theory. Prev Med 2013; 56: 35-40.
- 10) Demir F. Validity and reliability of the Turkish version of Human. Papilloma Virus Knowledge Scale. University of Health Sciences, Gülhane Institute of Health Sciences, Public Health Nursing, Master Thesis 2019.
- 11) Bruni L, Diaz M, Barrionuevo-Rosas L, Herrero R, Bray F, Bosch FX, de Sanjosé S, Castellsagué X. Global estimates of human papillomavirus vaccination coverage by region and income level: a pooled analysis. Lancet Glob Health 2016; 4: 453-463.
- 12) Canfell K, Chesson H, Kulasingam SL, Berkhof J, Diaz M, Kim JJ. Modeling preventative strategies against human papillomavirus-related disease in developed countries. Vaccine 2012; 30: 157-167.
- 13) Drolet M, Bénard É, Boily MC, Ali H, Baandrup L, Bauer H, Beddows S, Brisson J, Brotherton JM, Cummings T, Donovan B, Fairley CK, Flagg EW, Johnson AM, Kahn JA, Kavanagh K, Kjaer SK, Kliewer EV, Lemieux-Mellouki P, Markowitz L, Mboup A, Mesher D, Niccolai L, Oliphant J, Pollock KG, Soldan K, Sonnenberg P, Tabrizi SN, Tanton C, Brisson M. Population-level impact and herd effects following human papillomavirus vaccination programmes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2015; 15: 565-580.
- 14) Chanprasertpinyo W, Rerkswattavorn C. Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine status and knowledge of students at a university in rural Thailand. Heliyon 2020; 6: e04625.
- 15) Dany M, Chidiac A, Nassar AH. Human papillomavirus vaccination: assessing knowledge, attitudes, and intentions of college female students in Lebanon, a developing country. Vaccine 2015; 33: 1001-1007.
- 16) Sallam M, Al-Mahzoum K, Eid H, Assaf AM, Abdaljaleel M, Al-Abbadi M, Mahafzah A. Attitude towards HPV Vaccination and the Intention to Get Vaccinated among Female University Students in Health Schools in Jordan. Vaccines 2021; 9: 1432.

- 17) Chen H, Zhou J, Huang Q, Si MY, Su XY, Li J. Status of Human Papillomavirus Vaccination and Knowledge, Attitudes, and Influencing Factors towards Human Papillomavirus and Its Vaccines among University Students in Western China. Zhongguo Yi Xue Ke Xue Yuan Xue Bao 2021; 43: 545-550.
- 18) Dai Z, Si M, Su X, Wang W, Zhang X, Gu X, Ma L, Li J, Zhang S, Ren Z, Qiao Y. Willingness to human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination and influencing factors among male and female university students in China. J Med Virol 2022; 94: 2776-2786.
- 19) Hsu YY, Fetzer SJ, Hsu KF, Chang YY, Huang CP, Chou CY. Intention to obtain human papillomavirus vaccination among Taiwanese undergraduate women. Sex Transm Dis 2009; 36: 686-692.
- 20) Shetty S, Prabhu S, Shetty V, Shetty AK. Knowledge, attitudes and factors associated with acceptability of human papillomavirus vaccination among undergraduate medical, dental and nursing students in South India. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2019; 15: 1656-1665.
- 21) Winarto H, Habiburrahman M, Dorothea M, Wijaya A, Nuryanto KH, Kusuma F, Utami TW, Anggraeni TD. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices among Indonesian urban communities regarding HPV infection, cervical cancer, and HPV vaccination. PLoS One 2022; 17: e0266139.
- 22) Makwe CC, Anorlu RI, Odeyemi KA. Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and vaccines: knowledge, attitude and perception among female students at the University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria. J Epidemiol Glob Health 2012; 2: 199-206.
- 23) Seong J, Ryou S, Yoo M, Lee J, Kim K, Jee Y, Cho CH, Kim SM, Hong SR, Jeong DH, Lee WC, Park JS, Kim TJ, Kee MK. Status of HPV vaccination among HPV-infected women aged 20-60 years with abnormal cervical cytology in South Korea: a multicenter, retrospective study. J Gynecol Oncol 2020; 3: e4.
- 24) Xenaki D, Plotas P, Michail G, Poulas K, Jelastopulu E. Knowledge, behaviours and attitudes for human papillomavirus (HPV) prevention among educators and health professionals in Greece. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2020; 24: 7745-7752.
- 25) Gallagher KE, LaMontagne DS, Watson-Jones D. Status of HPV vaccine introduction and barriers to country uptake. Vaccine 2018; 36: 4761-4767.