sit

Long non-coding RNA LINP1 promotes the malignant progression of prostate cancer by regulating p53

Key Wo

Lo

H.-F. WU¹, L.-G. REN², J.-Q. XIAO¹, Y. ZHANG¹, X.-W. MAO¹, L.-F. ZHOU³

¹Department of Urology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University Sc Medicine, Hangzhou, China

²Department of Urology, Tongde Hospital of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou, Ch. ³Medical Biotechnology Laboratory, Medical Biotechnology Laboratory of the School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

Abstract. – **OBJECTIVE:** We aim to investigate the expression of long non-coding RNA-LINP1 (IncRNA LINP1) in prostate cancer (PCa) and its potential mechanism.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: The expression of IncRNA LINP1 in 74 pairs of PCa and normal tissues were detected by quantitative Real-time polymerase chain reaction (gRT-P the relationship between its expression a pathological features and prognosis of PC also analyzed. The expression of IncRNA L in the PCa cell line was verified by qRT-P by trai Knockdown of LINP1 was constru fection of small interfering R) in tw PCa cell lines (Lncap and P ologica function of LINP1 was eval d by ounting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay, cold say gration and invasion the potential mech of as explored T-PCR. by Western blot

RESULTS: R results sh higher PCa than th of normal expression tissues. PCa patients with a lower e sion o pre those with higher had a higi expre er stage, lymphatetastasis rate, and ic stasis and dista survival rate. Proliferation, invaove an tastasis in cells transfected with ere re kably decreased than those ed wi gative control (si-NC). Moreons of the key proteins in the OVe athway, including p53, PTEN, Akt p53 s and CD ere remarkably decreased in cells knockdown of LINP1. In addition, a negrelation between LINP1 and p53 was med by rescue experiments.

CONCLUSIONS: Up-regulated LINP1 in PCa was correlated with a higher PCa stage, lymphatic metastasis, distant metastasis, and worse prognosis. Furthermore, LINP1 could promote the proliferative, migratory and invasive abilities of PCa by regulating the p53-signaling pathway.

ding RNA, LINP, 33, Prostate cancer.

oduction

of (PCa) is one of the most common Prost mant tumors of the urinary system. PCa is the of cancer death in the United States, folang cancer and colorectal cancer¹. In China, he incidence of PCa has been rising year by year, which has become one of the most severe tumors that seriously affects the life and health of men². The development and progression of PCa are comprehensively regulated by multiple factors, including the environmental, dietetic and genetic factors^{3, 4}. So far, unclarified pathogenesis of PCa makes difficult the diagnosis and treatment⁵. The detection of serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) is a common clinical method for the diagnosis of PCa, but unfortunately its specificity is low⁶. Although the prostate biopsy is the gold standard for the diagnosis of PCa, its invasive trauma restrains its application in PCa patients⁷. Therefore, it is of great significance to elucidate the underlying mechanism of PCa for further prediction and diagnosis of PCa patients.

With the rapid development of molecular biology and gene diagnosis technology, the long-term interactions between genetic and environmental factors are considered to result in irreversible genetic changes and malignant transformation of cells. It is mainly characterized by the activated oncogenes and the inactivated tumor suppressor genes⁸. These changes eventually lead to the dysfunctional signal transduction involving cell proliferation, apoptosis and differentiation⁹.

So far, researchers¹⁰ have found that non-coding RNAs (nc-RNAs) were greatly involved in the development and progression of tumors. It is well recognized now that 98% of the human genomes were non-encoding RNAs, of which long non-encoding RNAs (IncRNA) were a class of RNAs with more than 200 nucleotide (nt) in length. It was well recognized in modulating gene expressions at the transcriptional, post-transcriptional and epigenetic level. It was also widely involved in the physiological and pathological processes of organisms^{11, 12}. Recent studies have shown that the expression of lncRNA was unbalanced in multiple tumors, which showed certain tissue specificity. Moreover, lncRNA might promote the proliferative and invasive abilities of tumor cells through a variety of mechanisms, thereby exerting an essential regulatory role in the tumor development¹³. Differentially expressed lncRNAs have been found in many tumor tissues, such as PCa, hepatocellular carcinoma, breast cancer and non-small cell lung cancer¹⁴. However, the molecular mechanism of lncRNA in tumors was still unclear, especially in PCa. In general, IncRNAs might be involved in multiple aspects of gene reg lation, including proliferation, cell cycle, apor differentiation, metastasis and other biologic cesses^{15,16}. Several researches^{17,18} have demonst that lncRNA PCAT1 and lncRNA PCAT7 c promote PCa, whereas lncRNA l lncR1 PCa^{19,2} GAS5 might inhibit the devel Additionally, it was also in IncRNA ed was involved in the Wnt. activation, TGF-B inhibition ithelial mesenchyma pathogenesis sitio of PCa²¹, Howev her supp tudies are still needed for stration. In the nt study. in 74 pairs tumor and the expressi paracancer **AISSU** Ca was detected. We red the biolo ect of LINPlof PCa also er cell vious investigat have suggested that cov hibit the differentiation and metasof or cells, which eventually controlled

in PC

rc

Patients and Methods

We aimed to investigate the

e prognosis and progression of

Patients and PCa Samples

elopm

NP1

• 74 pairs of tumor and paracancerous tissues surgically resected from PCa patients were collected. Based on the 8th Edition of UICC/AJCC Prostate Cancer TNM Staging Standard, all the enrolled patients were pathologically diagnosed as PCa. All PCa patients did not receive any preoperative radiotherapy or chemotherapy. The experiment was approved by the Ethical Cormittee, and patients signed the consent infor

Cell Lines and Reagents

Four human PCa cell lines (P DU-22RV1, Lncap) and the human al prostatic matrix immortalized cell lin rMY vere (USA) obtained from ATCC (M The F-12k medium, 1640 me fetal vine serum (FBS) y op urch Technologies (Carl CA, US ells ted F-12k m were cultured in and/ g 10% fetar bovine or 1640 medi serum (FBS) Logan, UT, USA). Clon Cells were cultured in an or with 5% CO₂ and s numidity at 3

С ansfecti negative ol (si-NC) and siRNA with LI terfere sequence (si-LINP1) were a (Shanghai, China). Cells in purch growth phase were seeded into the loga. well plates until the cell confluence was up Il transfection was performed based on uctions of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitroen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Transfected cells were harvested for quantitative Real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and other functional experiments.

Cell Proliferation Assay

Transfected cells were harvested and seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2×10^3 /mL. Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) solution (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) was added into each well after cell culture for 6 h, 24 h, 48 h and 72 h, respectively. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 2 h in the dark. Absorbance (OD) values at the wavelength of 490 nm were detected by the microplate reader.

Colony Formation Assay

Transfected cells were collected and seeded in 6-well plates at a density of 200/mL for 2-week culture in complete medium. The medium was replaced 1 week later, and then replaced twice in the second week. 2 mL of paraformaldehyde were applied to fix the colonies for 20 min and 0.1% crystal violet solution was added for staining for another 20 min. After washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 3 times, colonies were pictured in a light environment.

Cell Migration and Invasion Assay

48 h after transfection, cells were digested with trypsin and re-suspended in serum-free medium. The cell density was diluted to $2.0 \times 10^{5/2}$ mL. Transwell chambers with or without matrigel were placed in the 24-well plates, respectively. $200 \ \mu L$ of cell suspension were added to the upper compartment, and 500 µL of 1640 medium containing 10% FBS were added to the lower one. After 48 h inoculation, cells were fixed with 4% polyoxymethylene for 30 min at room temperature. After fixation, colonies were stained with crystal violet for 15 min and washed with PBS twice. The inner surface of the basement membrane was carefully cleaned. The membranes were then dried, inverted, and mounted on microscope slides for analysis. The cells were counted from five randomly chosen fields per well.

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)

We used TRIzol kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to extract total RNA of the PCa cell lines and tissues. The extracted total RNA y reversely transcribed to complementary Deg bose Nucleic Acid (cDNA) by using the P. cript RT Reagent (TaKaRa, Otsu, Shiga, Ja qRT-PCR was performed following the inst tions of the SYBR[®] Premix Ex (TaKał Otsu, Shiga, Japan) and Step eal-tim PCR system (Applied Bio er City лs, stud CA, USA). Primers used lows: LINP1: forward: CACAGAG-AGAAGAAC-3', e: 5'-CCT-GAGCTACCCA actin: 1 GGCACCCA AT-3', 5'-GCTreve GAA-3'. The ABI Step GATCCAC One softwa data analysis and the vas pression wa ented by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$. relativ

ern he rected cells were lysed with lysate 1 ice 9 min. After centrifuged at 4°C 5 min, the total concentration of th as determined by a bicinchoniny kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). ic acid Total provins were extracted and separated by dium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide ectrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk for 1 h, followed by the incubation of primary antibody overnight. Membranes were then incubated with

the secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 h. Immunoreactive bands were exposed by enhanced chemiluminescence method. Primary antibodies used in this research were β -catenic c-myc, cyclin D1 and Tublinin. In addition corresponding secondary antibodies used used study were anti-mouse and anti-rabbit. A antibodies were purchased from Consignal Technology (CST, Danvers, MA, 1997).

Statistical Analysis

We used Statistical Produce ice S tions (SPSS, IBM, Α ık, Software for all st al analys monk, NY, US/ quantitative were represented a *t*-test was used for continuous va est or the Fisher's Jes. exact method was applic ategorical vari-Ca patients was ables rvival time a by the Kaplan-Meier method, and the eva di nce betwe irves was compared by the was considered statistically L k test. p< $(\alpha=0)$ sig

Results

n Was Overexpressed n PCa Tissues and Cell Lines

LINP1 expressions in 74 pairs of PCa and paracancerous tissues and PCa cell lines were detected by qRT-PCR. Results showed that LINP1 expressions in PCa tissues were remarkably increased compared with those of paracancerous tissues (Figure 1A, B). Moreover, LINP1 was up-regulated in PCa cells in comparison with the human normal prostatic matrix immortalized cell line (WPMY-1), and the difference was statistically significant (Figure 1C). Particularly, the expression of LINP1 was the highest in PC-3 and Lncap cells, which were selected for the following *in vitro* experiments.

LINP1 Expression was Correlated with Clinical Stage, Lymphatic Metastasis, Distance Metastasis and Overall Survival of PCa Patients

According to the expression level of LINP1 in 74 pairs of PCa and paracancerous tissues, PCa patients were assigned into the high LINP1 expression group and the low LINP1 expression group. The χ^2 -test was performed to analyze the relationship between LINP1 expression and age, sex, clinical stage, lymphatic metastasis and dis-



Figure 1. A-B, The expressions of LINP1in 74 pairs of tissues. **C**, Expression levels of LINP1 in 4 PCa cell Kaplan-Meier survival curves of PCa patients based on nificantly worse prognosis. Representative data was exp

issues were s. Experimentation of the paracancerous 145, 22RV1, Encap) and normal prostate cell (WPMY-1). **D**, PCa patients with higher expression of LINP1 had a signal free structure of the paracancerous of the paracancerous (*p<0.05, **p<0.01).

tant metastasis of the PCa patient Table I, up-regulated LINP is por related with clinical stage is that and distant metastasis who ated with age, sex and tumor site in PCa patients. In addition, follow-up data were collected to indicate the relationship between the expression of LINP1 and the prognosis of PCa patients.

Table I. Association	WILL WILL	with enneopathologic characteristics of prostate cancer.		
Parameter	Number of cases —	LINP1 expression		<i>p</i> -value
		Low (%)	High(%)	
A ars)				0.343
	31	20	11	
<60	43	23	20	
				0.146
	36	24	12	
	38	19	19	
T stag.				0.008
T1-T2	42	30	12	
TTA T	32	13	19	
sh node metastasis				0.033
No	44	30	14	
Yes	30	13	17	
Distance metastasis				0.029
No	59	38	21	
Yes	15	5	10	

hown i

ely cor-

The Kaplan-Meier survival curve indicated that up-regulated LINP1 was significantly related to the poor prognosis of PCa. The prognosis was worse in those patients with higher LINP1 expression (p<0.05, Figure 1D). Our data revealed that LINP1 might be a new biological marker for predicting the prognosis of PCa.

Knockdown of LINP1 Inhibited Proliferative Ability

To explore the function of LINP1 in the proliferative ability of PCa cells, we successfully constructed the LINP1 knockdown cell model (Figure 2A, 2B). Proliferative ability of cells transfected with si-NC and si-LINP1was detected by CCK-8 assay, respectively. Our data illustrated that the proliferative ability of cells transfected with si-LINP1 was significantly inhibited comparing to those transfected with si-NC (Figure 2C, 2D). Similar results were obtained from the colony formation assay (Figure 2E, 2F).

Knockdown of LINP1 Inhibited Migratory and Invasive Abilities

We then investigated if LINP1 could at the migratory and invasive ability of PCa Migration results demonstrated that the nuof PCa cells crossing the membrane of the Tiswell chamber was significantly bloced at LINP1 knockdown (Figure 3/1000 gestin that LINP1 inhibited the minimum on the y. Invasion results were in accounce with findings (Figure 3C, 3D).

Knockdown o' the Activati Pathway

1 Inhis 153 Signalı

To eluc d mechanism of LIN-, the oting cell p Plin **p** tion, migration and inv we detected the tein expressions of kt and CDK2 in the p53 signal-TEM path after knockdown of LINP1. Lower level the PTEN, Akt and CDK2 ved after LINP1 knockdown, vere pl igure 4A). Moreover, the mRNA exce nd protection of p53 in 74 pairs of PCa rel paracuncerous tissues, as well as PCa cell and pro re also detected by qRT-PCR and Westot, respectively. The data showed that the p53 expressions in PCa tissues were remarkably lower than those of paracancerous tissues (Figure 4B). Lower LINP1 expression was found in PCa cells in comparison with WPMY-1 cells (Figure 4C). In addition, we observed that the mRNA

and protein expressions of LINP1 were negatively correlated with p53 expression in PC-3 and Lncap cells (Figure 4D).

P53 Modulated LINP1 Expression in Human Prostate Cancer Cells

The above findings suggested th NP1 remarkably upregulated after p5 ckdown in Led t PCa cells. Therefore, we hype here IN might be an interaction beta d p53 In this study, 74 pairs of PCa parac 1. Th cerous tissues were se Ca tissu LINP1 and p53 in nal qRT-PCR. tissues were det gative correlation bet and p53 was observed show (Figure 4D). ure 5, the p53 expression in PCa cells was ably lower than that g MY-1 cells. ermore, we cona small interference of p53 (si-p53), and stri th isfection ency of si-p53 was detected restingly, the inhibited inby tern blot. d met s of PC-3 cells by LINP1 vas ersed by si-p53. knoc

Discussion

PCa is one of the most common malignant tumors worldwide. In recent years, the incidence and mortality of PCa have been increasing gradually in China, whereas its early diagnosis rate of PCa in our country was extremely low. Moreover, most of the PCa patients have already developed to the middle or late stage when they were first diagnosed^{2,16}. Genetic factors, diet, risky lifestyle and precancerous lesions were closely related to the development of PCa. Over 50% of the clinical PCa patients have presented micro-metastasis before radical surgery, which was one of the direct cause of postoperative metastasis and recurrence^{3,4}. The explorations of early diagnosis, metastasis, recurrence and adjuvant treatment of postoperative advanced PCa have been well recognized^{6,7}. Researches have illustrated that lncRNAs exerted a crucial role in many diseases, including malignant tumor. There were many differentially expressed IncRNAs identified in PCa, which might be of great importance in the diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of PCa patients²²⁻²⁴. Therefore, exploration of the effect of these differentially expressed lncRNAs on PCa might help improve the prognosis of PCa patients.



Figure 2. A-B, Transfection efficiency of LINP1 knockdown in PC-3 and Lncap cell lines was verified by qRT-PCR. **C-D**, Cell proliferation of PC-3 and Lncap cells after LINP1 knockdown. E, F, The cell colony formation in PC-3 and Lncap cells after LINP1 knockdown. Representative data was expressed as mean \pm SD values (*p<0.05).



Figure 3. A-B, PC-3 cells transfected with si-LINP1 disculs transfected with si-LINP1 displayed a significant as mean \pm SD values (**p<0.01).

a significant, and invasion capacity. **C-D**, Lncap

We explored the expression in PC and its potential mechanis validat irst, ed the expression of LD 74 and paracancerous tissues that up-regulated I ely correlatwas ed with the tur ge, lym etastasis, distant metast poor progne PCa pa-NP1 might play an oncotients, sugg genic role r clarify the biological la. I f LINP1 in ve constructed the functi for the follown si-L vitro assays. CCKy formation assay, migration and ay all suggested that LINP1 could le dev nent and progression of PCa specific molecular mechanism eve Ce

p53 uning pathway is a crucial signaline pathway related to tumorigenesis²⁵, and p53 in has been found to be associated with 50% of human tumors. At present, p53 target therapy combined with radiotherapy has been applied in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and cervical cancer²⁶, indicating that p53 is expected to serve as a therapeutic biomarker²⁷.

was

In the present study, the *in vitro* experiments have proved that there was a negative interaction between LINP1 and p53. Further in-depth explorations in the biological function of p53 were still urgently needed for precisely diagnosing tumors.

In summary, to investigate whether LINP1 promoted the development of PCa by regulating p53, we detected the expressions of key proteins in the p53 signaling pathway after knockdown of LINP1, including p53, PTEN, Akt and CDK2. We demonstrated that the expression levels of the above proteins were remarkably decreased after LINP1 knockdown, suggesting a negative regulatory relationship between LINP1 and p53.

Conclusions

We showed that up-regulated LINP1 in PCa was positively correlated with the tumor stage, lymphatic metastasis and distant metastasis and poor prognosis of PCa patients. In addition, LINP1 might promote the malignant progression of PCa by regulating the p53 signaling pathway.





e expression \mathbf{A} as verified by qRT-PCR in co-transfected cell lines. **B**, Western blot was used to verify the in co-transfector cell lines. **C-D**, The roles of LINP1 and p53 in the regulation of PCa cell migration and invasion transwell assay. Representative data was expressed as mean \pm SD values (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01). , The expression n of p⁵³ in co-transfect xamir

2

tive

Acknowledgements

Granted by the Public Welfare Technology Application Research Project of Zhejiang Province, China (LG-F18H040010).

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

- 1) SIEGEL RL, MILLER KD, JEMAL A. Cancer Statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017; 67: 7-30.
- 2) CHEN W, ZHENG R, BAADE PD, ZHANG S, ZENG H, BRAY F, JEMAL A, YU XO, HE J. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2016; 66: 115-132.
- 3) GRONBERG H. Prostate cancer epidemiology. Lancet 2003; 361: 859-864.
- 4) DISCACCIATI A, WOLK A. Lifestyle and dietary factors in prostate cancer prevention. Recent Results Cancer Res 2014; 202: 27-37.
- 5) HORIGUCHI M, UNO H, WEI LJ. Evaluating noninferiority with clinically interpretable statistics for the PROSELICA study to assess treatment efficacy of a reduced dose of cabazitaxel for trea metastatic prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 202 825-826.
- 6) GUO T, WANG XX, FU H, TANG YC, MENG BO CH. Early diagnostic role of PSA combined 155 detection in prostate cancer Eur Rev Pharmacol Sci 2018; 22: 1615
- 7) CHEUNG C, PATEL HD, LANDIS HAN N Targeted antimicrobial pr ansrecta axis ultrasound-guided prog iopsy surveillance: effect on 2018; 36: 157-158 8) WRIGHT M, BEATY JENT C
- lar markers r. Surg Ch for colorectal Am 2017; 97: 683-70
- 9) MAHASNE F, JAMAL E. Nolecular bioagnosis, effective treatmarke ar progno me prectal cancer: current an s. Exp Mol P 7; 102: 475-483. SS. Long noncoding √A. Soleimani M, N
 - ancer: a new paradigm. Cancer Res NA a 3965-3981. 201
 - , Fan LF, LU JL, MA BJ, KAN QC, ZHAO an oesophageal cancer-specifnstruc ork based on miRNA, IncRNA, and ession data. World J Gastroenterol 23-34.
- 2) ZHANG , WANG J, GHOSHAL T, WILKINS D, MO YY, CHEN OU Y. LncRNA gene signatures for prediction oreast cancer intrinsic subtypes and prognosis. Genes (Basel) 2018; 9: 65.
- 13) Shao P, Tang L, Li P, Xu Y, Qin C, Cao Q, Ju X, MENG X, LV Q, LI J, ZHANG W, YIN C. Application of a vasculature model and standardization of the renal hilar approach in laparoscopic partial ne-

phrectomy for precise segmental artery clamping. Eur Urol 2013; 63: 1072-1081.

- 14) KOPP F, MENDELL JT. Functional classification and experimental dissection of long noncoding RNA Cell 2018; 172: 393-407.
- 15) QIN C, WANG W, WANG SQ, CAO Q, WANG Z. LI J, FENG NH, HUA LX, YIN CJ, ZHANG W. A method for the treatment of urethral fistula 1: 900 pospadias repair. Asian J Androl 2012
- 16) MITOBE Y, TAKAYAMA KI, HORIE-ING As. Prostate cancer-associated Cancer Lett 2018; 418: 159-166.
- .g RN/ 17) LIU Q, WU Y, XIAO J, ZOU J. on (PCA prostate cancer-associated tumo induces poor progno nd p esis by inhibiting 4-5p i 4 I J89lung (NSCLC). ci Monit 20 6098.
- 18) HUANG J, CHEN W, ZHON Y. Long noncoding γ PC s as an oncogene in osteosarcoma by red 1 levels. Biochem Bio s Commun 2 5: 2622-2629.
- J Y, YAO Y, SONG Y. X, ZHU Q, LI Q, 19) growth arrest-specific transcript 5 (GAS5): a otal tumor ressor long noncoding RNA in nan cance nour Biol 2016; 37: 1437-1444. X, Ruan NG X, ZHAO W, JIANG Q, JIANG C, , ZHU Y, XIA S, XU D. Long intrag RNA lincRNA-p21 suppresses g of human prostate cancer. Cell Prodeve

lif 2017; 50: e12318.

- ZHU X, LIU W, RUAN T, TAO K. Hedgehog and pathway in colorectal cancer: function, mechanism, and therapy. Onco Targets Ther 2017; 10: 3249-3259.
- 22) MA W, CHEN X, DING L, MA J, JING W, LAN T, SATTAR H, WEI Y, ZHOU F, YUAN Y. The prognostic value of long noncoding RNAs in prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 57755-57765.
- 23) HE JH, HAN ZP, ZOU MX, WANG L, LV YB, ZHOU JB, CAO MR, LI YG. Analyzing the LncRNA, miRNA, and mRNA regulatory network in prostate cancer with bioinformatics software. J Comput Biol 2018; 25: 146-157.
- 24) MISAWA A, TAKAYAMA KI, INOUE S. Long non-coding RNAs and prostate cancer. Cancer Sci 2017; 108: 2107-2114.
- 25) AL-KURAISHY HM, AL-GAREEB AI, AL-BUHADILLY AK. P53 gene (NY-CO-13) levels in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia: the role of imatinib and nilotinib. Diseases 2018; 6: 13.
- 26) Zydowicz-Machtel P, Swiatkowska A, Popenda L, GORSKA A, CIESIOLKA J. Variants of the 5'-terminal region of p53 mRNA influence the ribosomal scanning and translation efficiency. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 1533.
- 27) GUO KY, HAN L, LI X, YANG AV, LU J, GUAN S, LI H, YU Y, ZHAO Y, YANG J, ZHANG H. Novel proteasome inhibitor delanzomib sensitizes cervical cancer cells to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis via stabilizing tumor suppressor proteins in the p53 pathway. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 114123-114135.