## Genetic polymorphism of *RAD51* influences susceptibility to colorectal cancer in Chinese population

## J. ZHONG<sup>1</sup>, S.-Q. LIU<sup>2</sup>, J.-C. TANG<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Chongqing University Jiangjin Hospital, Chongqing, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Chongqing University Jiangjin Hospital, Chongqing, China

J. Zhong and S.-Q. Liu are equal contributors and co-first authors

**Abstract.** – OBJECTIVE: The present study aimed to explore whether *RAD51* polymorphism confers risk to colorectal cancer.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS:** A total of 240 patients with colorectal cancer were selected. 390 healthy people who participated in normal physical examinations during the same period were selected as the control group. The polymorphism of *RAD51* gene was detected by the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method. An updated meta-analysis was also conducted.

**RESULTS:** Meta-analysis found no significant association between the *RAD51* polymorphism and CRC risk (all p>0.05). PCR-RFLP method detected three kinds of genotypes (GG, GC, and CC) in both the colorectal cancer group and the control group. A significant association was only found in GC genotype (p<0.05).

**CONCLUSIONS:** Our results demonstrated that *RAD51* polymorphism has a crucial role in colorectal cancer risk and that GC genotype confers an increased risk of colorectal cancer in the Chinese population. The updated meta-analysis indicates that *RAD51* polymorphism contributes no risk to colorectal cancer.

Key Words:

Colorectal cancer, *RAD51*, Gene polymorphism, Novel marker.

#### Introduction

Colorectal cancer is one of the most common malignant tumors. Statistics<sup>1-3</sup> show that its mortality rate ranks second among malignant tumors in Europe, especially in developed countries, and third after lung cancer and breast cancer. In the last decade, colorectal cancer incidence and mortality presented a rising trend. Relative statistics<sup>4-6</sup> indicate that its incidence rate in women will soon exceed that of stomach cancer, while its mortality rate in men will rank third only after lung cancer and stomach cancer. At present, the pathogenesis of colorectal cancer has not been fully elucidated, but related articles<sup>4-5</sup> have been reported: age, dietary factors, tumor history, genetics, smoking, alcohol consumption, and genetic mutations increase the risk of colorectal cancer. Further studies<sup>5-6</sup> have shown that its occurrence and development are closely related to the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, oncogene mutation, cell proliferation, and apoptosis imbalance.

There are two repair pathways, homologous recombination, and non-homologous terminal junction, but homologous recombination plays a more important role as the main repair pathway after double-strand breakage. Under normal circumstances, homologous recombination can repair the damage in time and resist various internal and external damage factors. It plays a crucial role in maintaining chromosome integrity, the stability of genomes, and the inhibition of cell carcinogenesis. In recent years, the correlation between single nucleotide polymorphisms and malignant tumors has been widely researched, and the relationship between repair gene polymorphisms and malignant tumors has also attracted more and more attention<sup>7-17</sup>. As an important repair gene, it plays a crucial role in the process of homologous recombination. Studies<sup>3-4</sup> have shown that overexpression will lead to an imbalance of recombination repair, resulting in the loss of genome stability and chromosome integrity. This will lead to the occurrence and development of tumors, and even affect the therapeutic effect by reducing the sensitivity of tumor cells to radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and other treatments, thus affecting the survival of patients.

RAD51 gene and single nucleotide polymorphism have been studied<sup>18</sup> as high-risk factors for a variety of tumors, and rs1801320G/C single nucleotide polymorphism located in its 5' end non-coding region has been confirmed<sup>19</sup> to be associated with gene transcription. Over the past decade, numerous studies<sup>18-25</sup> in literature have shown that RAD51 gene polymorphism is related to the development of head and neck tumors and breast tumors. In addition to high expression detected in breast cancer, pancreatic cancer, lung cancer, and head and neck cancer, the high expression has also been confirmed in colorectal cancer tissues<sup>18-20</sup>, but its relationship with RAD51 rs1801320 polymorphism and the development of colorectal cancer is still controversial in China and abroad. To date, the association of RAD51 rs1801320G/C polymorphism with the risk of colorectal cancer in China has not been investigated or reported.

## Patients and Methods

## Study Subjects

The experimental group consisted of 240 patients who were pathologically diagnosed with colorectal cancer in the Chongqing University Jiangjin Hospital without receiving any preoperative treatment, while the control group consisted of healthy people who underwent physical examination in the hospital during the same period. This experiment, after receiving the consent of patients or their families, recorded in detail: basic situation, past history, family history, smoking history, drinking history, etc. Smoking was defined as smoking a cigarette a day for a year and drinking alcohol as drinking white wine at least once a week for a month. All subjects signed written informed consent, completed the epidemiological investigation, and voluntarily provided a 5 ml peripheral blood sample. All samples and research programs were approved by the Ethics Committee of Chongqing University Jiangjin Hospital.

## DNA Extraction and Gene Polymorphism Detection

5 ml venous blood was extracted from all subjects on an empty stomach and frozen at

-20°C for use. The *RAD51* polymorphism was investigated by the polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method, and the traditional phenol-chloroform extraction method was used to extract genomic DNA. Primer sequences of *RAD51* codon 135 are 5'-CACCTAACTG-GCATCTTCACTT-3' and 5'-ACAGGATAAG-GAGCAGGGTT-3'.

- PCR anti-reaction line: 50 ng genomic DNA in 20 μl, 12.5 pmol/μl each primer, 0.1 mmol/L every single nucleotide, 1.8 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.0 U Taq enzyme, 1×PCR reaction buffer.
- PCR reaction conditions: pre-denaturation for 5 min; 40 cycles at 95°C 30 s, annealing 45 s, 72°C 60 s; extend for 6 min at 72°C. All PCR products were incubated with endonuclease at 37°C and tested for 100 min on 3.0% agarose gel 80 V electrophoresis. The enzyme digestion products were analyzed by electrophoresisand ethidium bromide staining to determine the genotypes. In this study, two people interpreted the genotypes respectively by blind method and retested the genotypes of the samples with inconsistent interpretation.

## Literature Source

English and Chinese studies from PubMed, Cochrane, Embase, China Biomedicine Network, China National Knowledge Network, Wanfang and VIP database were carefully searched and reviewed. The retrieval span is from the establishment of the database to January 28, 2023. "*RAD51*", "single nucleotide polymorphism" and "colorectal cancer" were the search terms.

## Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All the eligible studies must conform to all these conditions: (a) the reported literature which evaluated the association between *RAD51* rs1801320 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk; b) embracing sufficient data or information to obtain OR and 95% CI. Studies were excluded when conformed to one of these conditions: (a) not a case-control study on humans; (b) insufficient data to obtain OR and 95% CI.

#### Data Extraction and Methodological Ouality Assessment

Two authors were responsible for the search, review, and evaluation of all data and information, which includes the author's name, publication year, sample size, genotype number of case

Basic information	ו	Control (N = 390)	Colorectal cancer (N = 240)	р
Age		$38.4 \pm 8.9$	$36.9 \pm 11.3$	0.28
Sex	Male	242	155	0.42
	Female	148	85	
Smoking status	Yes	215	135	0.41
	No	175	107	
Alcohol consumption	Yes	158	83	0.53
	No	232	157	
BMI	$BMI < 18.5 \text{ kg}//m^2$	125	35	0.09
	$BMI \ge 18.5 \ kg//m^2$	265	205	

Table I. The participants' characteristics of both the colorectal cancer group and control group.

BMI, body mass index.

and control, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) score was also applied to evaluate the literature quality according to the above information<sup>26</sup>.

#### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was based on SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All measurement data was shown by  $(\pm S)$  and *t*-test was applied to compare two independent samples. c<sup>2</sup> test was used for enumeration data. OR together with 95% CI were counted to judge the association between risk factors and colorectal cancer risk. p < 0.05 suggested that the present difference was statistically significant. OR value, 95% CI, Q-statistic and  $I^2$  statistics were applied to obtain the corresponding association power and heterogeneity degree<sup>27-29</sup>. Sensitive analysis and publication bias were based on the previous meta-analysis<sup>30</sup>. The current meta-analysis was conducted and reported based on Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) 2009 checklist. The PROSPERO registration number was 20220184.

#### Results

#### General Information of Study Subjects

Table I shows the details of general information. No significant difference was observed between the colorectal cancer group and the control group in the above information which was referred to in Methods (p>0.05).

# Genotyping and Allele Distribution of RAD51 rs1801320 Polymorphism

The PCR-RFLP method detected three kinds of genotypes (GG, GC, and CC) in both the colorectal cancer group and control group. The significant association was only found in GC genotype. OR (95% CI): 1.98 (1.09-3.59) (p<0.05). The detailed information is shown in Table II.

#### Literature Search

PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram (Figure 1) shows the flow diagram of the present meta-analysis search process. There were nine studies<sup>31-39</sup> in the literature included in the meta-analysis altogether. Main data and information of all studies are listed in Table III. In total

	Contr	ol group (N = 390)	Colorectal ca	ncer group (N = 240)	OP	
rs1801320	N	Percentage (%)	N	Percentage (%)	(95% CI)ª	$p^{a}$
GG GC	192 156	49.2 40.0	84 135	30.0 35.0	1.00 <sup>REF</sup> 1.98 (1.09-3.59)	0.024
CC G C	42 540 240	10.8 69.2 30.8	21 303 177	35.0 63.1 36.9	1.14 (0.42-3.14) 1.00 <sup>REF</sup> 1.31(0.87-1.99)	0.795 0.197

**Table II.** Comparison of genotype and allele frequency between colorectal cancer group and control group.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidential index. <sup>a</sup>Adjusted for sex and age by logistic regression model.





six studies<sup>31-32,34,36,38-39</sup> were from the Caucasian population; three studies<sup>33,35,37</sup> were from the Asian population. The results of NOS are shown in Table IV.

### Allele and Genotype-Wide Meta-Analysis

No positive findings were found between RAD51 rs1801320 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk by allele contrast (C vs. G: OR=0.97, 95% CI=0.54-1.73, p=0.910, Table V and Figure 2), homozygote comparison (CC vs. GG: OR=0.77, 95% CI=0.27-2.18, p=0.623, Table V and Figure 3), heterozygote comparison (GC vs. GG: OR=0.82, 95%CI=0.42-1.64, p=0.581, Table V and Figure 4), recessive genetic model (CC vs. GG/GC: OR=0.85, 95% CI=0.25-2.83, *p*=0.788, Table V and Figure 5), and dominate genetic model (CC/GC vs. GG: OR=0.93, 95% CI=0.57-1.53, p=0.783, Table V and Figure 6). The main results between interleukin (IL)-8 rs4073 polymorphism and sepsis risk are shown in Table V.

#### Discussion

In recent years, with the continuous improvement of people's living standards and the changes in diet structure, the morbidity and mortality of malignant tumors are rising, and this has become one of the main causes of human death. However, the pathogenesis of malignant tumors is not clear at present. Studies<sup>40-44</sup> have found that its occurrence and development is a multi-factor, multistep, multi-stage process, which is related to the mutation and inactivation of tumor suppressor genes and the activation and overexpression of oncogenes. When the regulation of cell differentiation and growth is out of control, the inductor group becomes cancerous due to mutation and abnormal growth. Although tumor pathogenesis has been deeply understood and its diagnosis and treatment have made great progress, incidence, and death rates are still high; therefore, the study of tumors has been one of the research hotspots for scholars. With the further deepening

## Genetic polymorphism of RAD51 influences susceptibility to colorectal cancer in Chinese population

Literature	Ethnics	Genotyping	otyping Source of Sample		nple HWE	NOS	Genotype frequency (case)		Genotype frequency (control)		Year		
	(country)	methods	control	size	conformity		GG	GC	сс	GG	GC	сс	
Wiśniewska-Jarosińska et al <sup>38</sup>	Caucasian (Poland)	PCR-RFLP	РВ	100/236	Yes	8	61	36	3	169	44	23	2009
Krupa et al <sup>36</sup>	Caucasian (Poland)	PCR-RFLP	PB	100/100	Yes	8	61	36	3	36	35	29	2011
Gil et al <sup>34</sup>	Caucasian (Poland)	PCR-RFLP	PB	320/320	Yes	9	100	29	4	73	27	0	2011
Romanowicz- Makowska et al <sup>32</sup>	Caucasian (Poland)	PCR-RFLP	PB	116/94	Yes	8	51	26	213	91	164	65	2012
Mucha et al <sup>39</sup>	Caucasian (Poland)	PCR-RFLP	РВ	200/200	Yes	8	161	34	5	157	37	6	2012
Nissar et al <sup>37</sup>	Asian (India)	PCR-RFLP	PB	100/120	Yes	9	25	56	19	60	25	35	2014
Cetinkunar et al <sup>31</sup>	Caucasian (Turkey)	PCR-RFLP	РВ	71/86	Yes	9	39	11	21	21	54	11	2015
Yazdanpanahi et al <sup>35</sup>	Asian (Iran)	PCR-RFLP	PB	100/100	Yes	9	72	27	1	69	26	5	2018
Hridy et al <sup>33</sup>	Asian (Bangladesh)	PCR-RFLP	PB	200/200	Yes	9	7	61	117	5	43	115	2020

 Table III. Main characteristics of all case-control studies included in meta-analysis.

PB: Population-based; HB: Hospital-based; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; RFLP: Restricted Fragment Length Polymorphism; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Score.

Literature	Selection of enrolled study subjects	Between-group comparability	Exposure outcomes and factors	Total
Wiśniewska-Jarosińska et al <sup>38</sup>	3	2	3	8
Krupa et al <sup>36</sup>	3	2	2	7
Gil et al <sup>34</sup>	4	3	2	9
Romanowicz-Makowska et al <sup>32</sup>	2	2	3	7
Mucha et al <sup>39</sup>	3	2	3	8
Nissar et al <sup>37</sup>	2	3	2	7
Cetinkunar et al <sup>31</sup>	3	2	2	7
Yazdanpanahi et al <sup>35</sup>	2	3	2	7
Hridy et al <sup>33</sup>	3	3	2	8
Average	2.8	2.4	2.3	7.5

Table IV. Quality assessment of the seven case-control studies according to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

Table V. Meta-analysis of the RAD51 rs1801320G/C polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk.

			Test of association				Test of heteroger		
Comparison	Population	N	OR	95% CI	Р	Mode	χ²	р	l <sup>2</sup>
C vs. G	Overall	6	0.97	0.54-1.73	0.910	Random	149.32	0	94.6
	Caucasian	3	1.01	0.43-2.33	0.013	Random	132.13	0	96.2
	Asian	2	0.90	0.56-1.42	0.641	Random	7.08	0.029	71.7
CC vs. GG	Overall	6	0.77	0.27-2.18	0.623	Random	73.56	0	89.1
	Caucasian	3	0.87	0.19-3.97	0.861	Random	64.10	0	92.2
	Asian	2	0.78	0.32 -1.89	0.580	Random	3.32	0.190	39.7
GC vs. GG	Overall	6	0.82	0.42-1.64	0.581	Random	83.47	0	90.4
	Caucasian	3	0.57	0.27-1.23	0.154	Random	49.80	0	90.0
	Asian	2	1.82	0.53-6.21	0.338	Random	14.43	0.001	86.1
CC vs. GC/GG	Overall	6	0.85	0.25-2.83	0.788	Random	164.29	0	95.1
	Caucasian	3	1.20	0.22-6.48	0.003	Random	91.71	0	94.5
	Asian	2	0.58	0.40-0.83	0.011	Fixed	1.06	0.589	0
CC/GC vs. GG	Overall	6	0.93	0.57-1.53	0.783	Random	54.82	0	85.4
	Caucasian	3	0.80	0.44-1.47	0.476	Random	40.75	0	87.7
	Asian	2	1.31	0.50-3.41	0.586	Random	10.23	0.006	80.5

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.



**Figure 2.** Forest plot for the associations between *RAD51* gene rs1801320 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk through allele contrast (C *vs.* G). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.



**Figure 3.** Forest plot for the associations between *RAD51* gene rs1801320 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk through homozygote comparison (CC *vs.* GG). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

of the research on the pathogenesis of cancer, the correlation between the polymorphism of mononucleotide and the malignant tumor gradually becomes the hot point of the research. Among these polymorphic genes, the correlation between the polymorphism of repair genes and the tumor receives more and more attention. The genome instability is one of the main reasons for tumor

	Odds Ratio	%
group and literature	(95% CI)	Weigh
Caucisian		
Maria(2009)	- 2.27 (1.34, 3.85	) 11.67
Renata(2011)	0.61 (0.33, 1.13	) 11.37
Justyna(2011)	0.78 (0.43, 1.44	) 11.43
Hanna(2012) —	0.28 (0.17, 0.48	) 11.65
Bartosz(2012)	0.90 (0.54, 1.50	) 11.72
Suleyman(2015) 🛛 💻	0.11 (0.05, 0.25	) 10.57
Subgroup, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 90.0%, p = 0.000)	0.57 (0.27, 1.23	) 68.42
Asian		
Saniya(2014) —	<b>5.38 (2.77, 10.4</b>	4) 11.23
Nasrin(2018) —	1.00 (0.53, 1.87	) 11.34
Anika(2020)	1.01 (0.30, 3.41	) 9.02
Subgroup, DL (I <sup>2</sup> = 86.1%, p = 0.001)	1.82 (0.53, 6.21	) 31.58
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.117		
Overall, DL (l <sup>2</sup> = 90.4%, p = 0.000)	0.82 (0.42, 1.64	) 100.00
	I	

**Figure 4.** Forest plot for the associations between *RAD51* gene rs1801320 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk through heterozygosis comparison (GC *vs.* GG). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.



**Figure 5.** Forest plot for the associations between *RAD51* gene rs1801320 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk through recessive genetic model (CC *vs.* GC/GG). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

occurrence and development. As an important repair factor, *RAD51* plays an important role in maintaining genome stability and chromatids completion. Its expression level is low in normal

human cells, but studies<sup>18,19</sup> have shown that it has high expression levels in a variety of malignant tumors, and this abnormal expression is speculated to be closely related to the development of



**Figure 6.** Forest plot for the associations between *RAD51* gene rs1801320 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk through dominant genetic model (CC *vs.* GC/GG). OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

tumors. The relationship between high expression and malignant tumors has attracted more and more attention from scholars. The RAD51 polymorphism has been a hot topic in recent years. In the literature on endometrial cancer in Polish women, the polymorphism of gene locus was positively correlated with endometrial cancer<sup>38</sup>. More importantly, several recent reports<sup>31-33</sup> have shown that polymorphism plays an important role in the development of colorectal cancer, and other studies<sup>36,38</sup> have found that genotypes can reduce the risk of colorectal cancer in Poland, but colorectal cancer grading and staging are not associated with RAD51 gene polymorphism. As far as we know, this is the first study that investigates this association in the Chinese population. Our results demonstrate that RAD51 polymorphism has a crucial role in colorectal cancer risk and GC genotype confers an increased risk of colorectal cancer in the Chinese population. The updated meta-analysis indicates that *RAD51* polymorphism contributes no risk to colorectal cancer. All the above results suggest that different races or populations have different genetic polymorphisms and backgrounds. Although China belongs to Asia, Chinese Han people have different genetic backgrounds from other Asian countries, such as Iran, India, Japan, Korea, and Bangladesh.

#### Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that *RAD51* polymorphism has a crucial role in colorectal cancer risk and GC genotype confers an increased risk of colorectal cancer in the Chinese population. The updated meta-analysis indicates that *RAD51* polymorphism contributes no risk to colorectal cancer.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank for Dr. Debing Xiang for his support for this study.

#### Availability of Data and Materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

#### Authors' Contribution

JT conceived the study design; JT conceived the content concept; JZ and SL performed the data collection, extraction and analyzed the data. JZ and SL interpreted and reviewed the data and drafts. JT reviewed the final draft. All authors were involved in the literature search, writing the paper and had final approval of the submitted and published versions.

#### Funding

The study was supported by Key clinical subject construction program of Chongqing.

#### **Ethics Approval**

All samples and research programs were approved by the Ethics Committee of Chongqing University Jiangjin Hospital (Approval No. KY2022008).

#### **Informed Consent**

All patients, control subjects and their family members provided informed consent.

#### References

- Daly MC, Paquette IM. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) and SEER-Medicare Databases: Use in Clinical Research for Improving Colorectal Cancer Outcomes. Clin Colon Rectal Surg 2019; 32: 61-68.
- Ren Y, Shi G, Jiang P, Meng Q. MicroRNA-761 is downregulated in colorectal cancer and regulates tumor progression by targeting Rab3D. Exp Ther Med 2019; 17: 1841-1846.
- Ng L, Wan TM, Man JH, Chow AK, Iyer D, Chen G, Yau TC, Lo OS, Foo DC, Poon JT, Leung WK, Pang RW, Law WL. Identification of serum miR-139-3p as a non-invasive biomarker for colorectal cancer. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 27393-27400.
- Feng Y, Dong YW, Song YN, Xiao JH, Guo XY, Jiang WL, Lu LG. MicroRNA?449a is a potential predictor of colitis-associated colorectal cancer progression. Oncol Rep 2018; 40: 1684-1694.
- Li C, Yan G, Yin L, Liu T, Li C, Wang L. Prognostic roles of microRNA 143 and microRNA 145 in colorectal cancer: A meta-analysis. Int J Biol Markers 2019; 34: 6-14.
- Asano H, Kojima K, Ogino N, Fukano H, Ohara Y, Shinozuka N. Postoperative recurrence and risk factors of colorectal cancer perforation. Int J Colorectal Dis 2017; 32: 419-424.
- 7) Chen X, Wang Z, Yan Y, Li P, Yang Z, Qin L, Mo W. XRCC3 C18067T polymorphism contributes a decreased risk to both basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma: evidence from a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2014; 9: e84195.

- Qin LY, Chen X, Li P, Yang Z, Mo WN. Association between the XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism and cervical cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014; 14: 6703-6707.
- Wang Z, Chen X, Liu B, Li S, Liu M, Xue H. Quantitative assessment of the associations between DNA repair gene XRCC3 Thr241Met polymorphism and gastric cancer. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 1589-1598.
- Yan Y, Chen X, Li T, Li M, Liang H. Association of OGG1 Ser326Cys polymorphism and pancreatic cancer susceptibility: evidence from a meta-analysis. Tumour Biol 2014; 35: 2397-2402.
- Chen X, Yan Y, Li P, Yang Z, Qin L, Mo W. Association of GSTP1 -313A/G polymorphisms and endometriosis risk: a meta-analysis of case-control studies. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2013; 171: 362-367.
- 12) Chen X, Mo W, Peng Q, Su X. Lack of association between Fas rs180082 polymorphism and risk of cervical cancer: an update by meta-analysis. BMC Med Genet 2013; 14: 71.
- Si D, Yao Y, Chen X, Qiu J. Ethnicity-stratified analysis of the association between P53 rs1042522 polymorphism and women HPV infection: A meta-analysis. Microb Pathog 2021; 161: 105099.
- Jin X, Wu Y, Yin S, Chen X, Zhang Y. Association between the IL-10 and IL-6 polymorphisms and brucellosis susceptibility: a meta-analysis. BMC Med Genet 2020; 21: 63.
- Jin X, Yin S, Zhang Y, Chen X. Association between TLR2 Arg677Trp polymorphism and tuberculosis susceptibility: A meta-analysis. Microb Pathog 2020; 144: 104173.
- Jin X, Yin S, Zhang Y, Chen X. Association between TLR2 + 2477G/A polymorphism and bacterial meningitis: a meta-analysis. Epidemiol Infect 2018; 146: 642-647.
- 17) Chen X, Jiang M, Zhao RK, Gu GH. Quantitative Assessment of the Association between ABC Polymorphisms and Osteosarcoma Response: a Meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015; 16: 4659-4664.
- 18) Zhang BB, Wang DG, Xuan C, Sun GL, Deng KF. Genetic 135G/C polymorphism of RAD51 gene and risk of cancer: a meta-analysis of 28,956 cases and 28,372 controls. Fam Cancer 2014; 13: 515-526.
- 19) Zhao M, Chen P, Dong Y, Zhu X, Zhang X. Relationship between Rad51 G135C and G172T variants and the susceptibility to cancer: a meta-analysis involving 54 case-control studies. PLoS One 2014; 9: e87259.
- Kong F, Wu J, Hu L, Du Y, Pan Y. Association between RAD51 polymorphisms and susceptibility of head and neck cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015; 8: 6412-6419.
- Lu J, Wang LE, Xiong P, Sturgis EM, Spitz MR, Wei Q. 172G>T variant in the 5' untranslated region of DNA repair gene RAD51 reduces risk of

squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck and interacts with a P53 codon 72 variant. Carcinogenesis 2007; 28: 988-994.

- 22) Gresner P, Gromadzinska J, Polanska K, Twardowska E, Jurewicz J, Wasowicz W. Genetic variability of Xrcc3 and Rad51 modulates the risk of head and neck cancer. Gene 2012; 504: 166-174.
- 23) Kayani MA, Khan S, Baig RM, Mahjabeen I. Association of RAD 51 135 G/C, 172 G/T and XRCC3 Thr241Met gene polymorphisms with increased risk of head and neck cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2014; 15: 10457-10462.
- 24) Pratesi N, Mangoni M, Mancini I, Paiar F, Simi L, Livi L, Cassani S, Buglione M, Grisanti S, Almici C, Polli C, Saieva C, Magrini SM, Biti G, Pazzagli M, Orlando C. Association between single nucleotide polymorphisms in the XRCC1 and RAD51 genes and clinical radiosensitivity in head and neck cancer. Radiother Oncol 2011; 99: 356-361.
- 25) Werbrouck J, De Ruyck K, Duprez F, Veldeman L, Claes K, Van Eijkeren M, Boterberg T, Willems P, Vral A, De Neve W, Thierens H. Acute normal tissue reactions in head-and-neck cancer patients treated with IMRT: influence of dose and association with genetic polymorphisms in DNA DSB repair genes. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009; 73: 1187-1195.
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 2010; 25: 603-605.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557-560.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1986; 7: 177-188.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959; 22: 719-748.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994; 50: 1088-1101.
- 31) Cetinkunar S, Gok I, Celep RB, Ilhan D, Erdem H, Bilgin BC, Aktimur R. The effect of polymorphism in DNA repair genes RAD51 and XRCC2 in colorectal cancer in Turkish population. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015; 8: 2649-2655.
- 32) Romanowicz-Makowska H, Samulak D, Michalska M, Sporny S, Langner E, Dziki A, Sychowski R, Smolarz B. RAD51 gene polymorphisms and sporadic colorectal cancer risk in Poland. Pol J Pathol 2012; 63: 193-198.
- 33) Hridy AU, Shabnaz S, Asaduzzaman MD, Shahriar M, Bhuiyan MA, Islam MS, Hossen S, Emran TB. Genetic Variations of RAD51 and XRCC2 Genes Increase the Risk of Colorectal Cancer in Bangladeshi Population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2020; 21: 1445-1451.
- Gil J, Ramsey D, Stembalska A, Karpinski P, Pesz KA, Laczmanska I, Leszczynski P, Grzebi-

eniak Z, Sasiadek MM. The C/A polymorphism in intron 11 of the XPC gene plays a crucial role in the modulation of an individual's susceptibility to sporadic colorectal cancer. Mol Biol Rep 2012; 39: 527-534.

- 35) Yazdanpanahi N, Salehi R, Kamali S. RAD51 135G>C polymorphism and risk of sporadic colorectal cancer in Iranian population. J Cancer Res Ther 2018; 14: 614-618.
- 36) Krupa R, Sliwinski T, Wisniewska-Jarosinska M, Chojnacki J, Wasylecka M, Dziki L, Morawiec J, Blasiak J. Polymorphisms in RAD51, XRCC2 and XRCC3 genes of the homologous recombination repair in colorectal cancer--a case control study. Mol Biol Rep 2011; 38: 2849-2854.
- 37) Nissar S, Baba SM, Akhtar T, Rasool R, Shah ZA, Sameer AS. RAD51 G135C gene polymorphism and risk of colorectal cancer in Kashmir. Eur J Cancer Prev 2014; 23: 264-268.
- 38) Wiśniewska-Jarosińska M, Sliwińfski T, Krupa R, Stec-Michalska K, Chojnacki J, Błasiak J. Rola polimorfizmu genu RAD51 u chorych z rakiem jelita grubego w subpopulacji polskiej [The role of RAD 51 gene polymorphism in patients with colorectal cancer in the Polish subpopulation]. Pol Merkur Lekarski 2009; 26: 455-457.
- Mucha B, Przybyłowska-Sygut K, Dziki L, Dziki A, Sygut A, Majsterek I. Lack of association

between the 135G/C RAD51 gene polymorphism and the risk of colorectal cancer among Polish population. Pol Przegl Chir 2012; 84: 358-362

- 40) Chen X, Qin L, Li P, Mo W. Cyfip1 is downregulated in acute lymphoblastic leukemia and may be a potential biomarker in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Tumour Biol 2016; 37: 9285-9288.
- Chen X, Zhang H, Li P, Yang Z, Qin L, Mo W. Gene expression of WWOX, FHIT and p73 in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Oncol Lett 2013; 6: 963-969.
- 42) Chen X, Li P, Yang Z, Mo WN. Expression of fragile histidine triad (FHIT) and WW-domain oxidoreductase gene (WWOX) in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2013; 14: 165-171.
- 43) Chen X, Su X, Lin M, Fu B, Zhou C, Ling C, Qian Z, Yao Y. Expression of miR-192-5p in colon cancer serum and its relationship with clinicopathologic features. Am J Transl Res 2021; 13: 9371-9376.
- 44) Chen X, Xu J, Zhu Q, Ren Y, Zhao L. Polymyxin B resistance rates in carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates and a comparison between Etest((R)) and broth microdilution methods of antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Exp Ther Med 2020; 20: 762-769.