# Neurofibromatosis type 1-associated optic pathway gliomas: pathogenesis and emerging treatments

A. AMATO<sup>1</sup>, B.P. IMBIMBO<sup>2</sup>, B. FALSINI<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ophthalmology Unit, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy <sup>2</sup>Department of Research & Development, Chiesi Farmaceutici, Parma, Italy <sup>3</sup>Ophthalmology Unit, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "A. Gemelli" IRCCS/Università Cattolica del S. Cuore, Rome, Italy

**Abstract.** – Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is an autosomal dominant genetic disorder associated with an increased risk of developing a variety of benign and malignant tumors. Fifteen to 20% of children with NF1 are diagnosed with an optic pathway glioma (NF1-OPG) before 7 years of age, and more than half of them experience visual decline. At present, no effective therapy is available for prevention, restoration, or even stabilization of vision loss in subjects affected by NF1-OPG. This paper aims to review the main emerging pharmacological approaches that have been recently assessed in preclinical and clinical settings.

We performed a search of the literature using Embase, PubMed, and Scopus databases to identify articles regarding NF1-OPGs and their treatment up to July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2022. The reference lists of the analyzed articles were also considered a source of literature information. To search and analyze all relevant English articles, the following keywords were used in various combinations: neurofibromatosis type 1, optic pathway glioma, chemotherapy, precision medicine, MEK inhibitors, VEGF, nerve growth factor.

Over the past decade, basic research and the development of genetically engineered mice models of NF1-associated OPG have shed light on the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the disease and inspired animal and human testing of several compounds. A promising line of research is focusing on the inhibition of mTOR, a protein kinase controlling proliferation, protein synthesis rate and cell motility that is highly expressed in neoplastic cells. Several mTOR blockers have been tested in clinical trials, the most recent of which employed oral everolimus with encouraging results. A different strategy aims at restoring cAMP levels in neoplastic astrocytes and non-neoplastic neurons, since reduced intracellular cAMP levels contribute to OPG growth and, more importantly, are the major determinant of NF1-OPG-associated visual decline. So far, however, this approach has only been attempted in preclinical studies. Stroma-directed molecular therapies - seeking to target Nf1 heterozygous brain microglia and retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) are another fascinating field. Microglia-inhibiting strategies have not yet reached clinical trials, but preclinical studies conducted over the last 15 years have provided convincing clues of their potential. The importance of NF1-mutant RGCs in the formation and progression of OPGs also holds promise for clinical translation. The evidence of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF)- Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGFR) signaling hyperactivity in pediatric low-grade gliomas prompted the use of bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody, which was tested in children with low-grade gliomas or OPGs with good clinical results. Neuroprotective agents have also been proposed to preserve and restore RGCs and topical eye administration of nerve growth factor (NGF) has demonstrated encouraging electrophysiological and clinical results in a double-blind, placebo-controlled study.

Traditional chemotherapy in patients with NF1-OPGs does not significantly ameliorate visual function, and its effectiveness in halting tumor growth cannot be considered a satisfactory result. Newer lines of research should be pursued with the goal of stabilizing or improving the vision, rather than reducing tumor volume. The growing understanding of the unique cellular and molecular characteristics of NF1-OPG, coupled with the recent publication of promising clinical studies, raise hope for a shift towards precision medicine and targeted therapies as a first-line treatment.

#### Key Words:

Neurofibromatosis type 1, Optic pathway glioma, Vision loss, Targeted-therapies, Precision medicine.

## Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is an autosomal dominant syndrome with a prevalence of one individual every 3,000, caused by a germline mutation in the neurofibromin 1 (*NF1*) gene<sup>1,2</sup>. *NF1* is a tumor suppressor gene located on chromosome 17, which codes for neurofibromin, a cytoplasmic protein predominantly expressed in neurons, Schwann cells, oligodendrocytes, and leukocytes. People with NF1 have a genetic predisposition to developing tumors in both the central nervous system (CNS) and peripheral nervous system (PNS), including benign (such as neurofibromas) and malignant (such as malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors, or MPNST) neoplasms<sup>3-5</sup>.

Neurofibromin is involved in downregulating the activity of proto-oncogene rat sarcoma  $(RAS)^{6,7}$  and also has other non-*RAS*-mediated functions relevant to *NFI*-related tumor initiation and progression<sup>8</sup>.

Similar to other tumor predisposition syndromes, patients with NF1 are born with a germline mutation in 1 copy of the *NF1* gene, but tumors only arise following a somatic mutation of the other allele, thus leading to the complete loss of neurofibromin in specific and vulnerable cytotypes<sup>9-11</sup>.

CNS tumors developed by children with NF1 are mainly low-grade gliomas (LGGs), and most NF1-LGGs are World Health Organization (WHO) grade 1 pilocytic astrocytomas  $(PAs)^{12}$ , whereas high-grade gliomas (HGGs), the most common of which is glioblastoma (GB), represent approximately 2% of brain tumors in children with NF1<sup>13</sup>. Fifteen to 20% of children with NF1 develop a LGG anywhere along the optic pathway, and 75 to 85% of these NF1-related optic pathway gliomas (NF1-OPGs) are located in the optic nerves or chiasm, while the remaining 15% of them arise in the post-chiasmatic optic pathway (tracts and radiations)<sup>2,14</sup>. Among NF1-associated brain neoplasms, the brainstem is the second most frequently involved site (18% of all cases)<sup>15</sup>. At the same time, the cerebellum, cerebral cortex, and basal ganglia are uncommon locations for pediatric NF1-related LGGs and mainly affect adolescents and adults<sup>16,17</sup>.

In terms of survival, NF1-OPGs have an excellent prognosis, and affected children are unlikely to die from the disease. Furthermore, many patients do not display any symptoms and even symptomatic forms do not necessarily require chemotherapy or any therapy at all<sup>18-21</sup>. These tumors, however, frequently cause visual impairment, which can combine with less common clinical manifestations, such as proptosis and, in the event of tumor infiltration of the hypothalamus, signs and symptoms of the hypothalamic syndrome (e.g., precocious puberty)<sup>22</sup>. Overall, patients with NF1-OPGs developing visual loss or other symptoms are 30 to 50% of the total<sup>2,20,23-25</sup>. Risk factors for visual impairment are age under 2 years<sup>26</sup>, female sex<sup>27</sup>, and tumor involvement of the post-chiasmatic optic pathway<sup>26,28</sup>.

At present, indications for the treatment of pediatric NF1-OPGs are clinical progression (intended as a significant visual decline, whose assessment is problematic in preverbal children with frequent comorbid learning and attention deficits<sup>29</sup>) and/or radiological progression detected by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)<sup>18</sup>.

Because these tumors are not amenable to complete surgical resection due to their critical location<sup>2</sup>, and since radiotherapy in NF1 patients brings about an elevated risk of secondary malignancies<sup>30</sup>, neurocognitive<sup>31</sup> and neuroendocrine disorders<sup>32</sup>, and radiation-induced vasculitis<sup>33</sup>, chemotherapy is the first line of treatment. Among the most frequently employed cytotoxic agents, carboplatin and vincristine are well tolerated and have been found<sup>34</sup> to yield tumor response rates that are higher than those of children without NF1. In contrast, it is important to avoid alkylator agents in hereditary OPGs, as they can contribute to the development of secondary tumors<sup>35</sup>. Although being the best available option among traditional therapies and despite its efficacy in hindering or halting tumor growth<sup>36,37</sup>, chemotherapy is fraught with short- and long-term adverse effects and, most importantly, generally fails to improve or even preserve visual function<sup>20,26,38-42</sup>, which is the main objective in the clinical management of these patients.

## Challenges of Visual Loss Treatment in NF1-OPG

The development of sophisticated technologies and efficient preclinical models [such as genetically engineered mice (GEM) strains], as well as the institution of international cooperation networks (such as the NF Clinical Trial Consortium), has raised the hope of future personalized treatment of NF1-related tumors<sup>43</sup>. At present, however, there are no effective therapeutic options for NF1-OPG-associated vision loss. The development of precision medicine approaches targeting specific molecules involved in NF1-OPG formation, growth, and maintenance and in the associated visual decline requires at least 3 major obstacles to be circumvented.

First, an in-depth understanding of the signaling pathways affected by neurofibromin defect in neoplastic *NF1*-deficient cells and in non-neoplastic *NF1*-mutant cells, most notably microglia and retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), should be achieved.

Second, since NF1 is a heterogeneous disease, the therapeutic results obtained in a patient with specific characteristics might not be replicable in a patient bearing different features. Thus, it is crucial to identify patient subgroups with common biological profiles and, thus, with a higher probability of responding to a particular pharmacological treatment<sup>43</sup>.

With regards to these two objectives, the major challenge is the shortage of biospecimens for genomic analysis, since most lesions are not biopsied prior to or following treatment<sup>37</sup>. In addition, there is a lack of human NF1-pilocytic astrocytoma cell lines or patient-derived xenografts (PDXs), due to the low clonogenic nature of these tumors and to the requirement of a permissive microenvironment<sup>2</sup>. GEM models of NF1-associated OPG [i.e., Nfl<sup>flox/mut</sup> glial fibrillary acidic protein-cyclization recombinase (GFAP-Cre) mice] have been developed with the specific purpose of finding ways around these obstacles. Although not perfectly representative of their human counterpart, such models allowed researchers<sup>44</sup> to outline the molecular mechanisms underlying the development and growth of these neoplasms and the associated visual decline, shedding light on a variety of pathogenic aspects and inspiring the design of numerous trials.

Finally, since many NF1-OPGs are asymptomatic, and not all symptomatic forms require treatment, a standardized methodology should be developed to identify patients at risk for vision loss before serious irreversible damage occurs. Consistent with the finding that Nf1flox/ mut GFAP-Cre mice do not show reduced visual acuity until 6 months of age<sup>44</sup>, clinically evident visual impairment in NF1-OPG patients is generally associated with a 30% or more decrease in RGCs count<sup>44,45</sup>. Hence, given the difficult assessment of vision in preverbal children with comorbid attention deficits, efforts should be made to identify reliable biomarkers of impending visual loss<sup>18</sup>.

# Molecular Pathogenesis of NF1-OPGs

Numerous *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies<sup>46-51</sup> have been conducted over the years to reveal the mechanisms by which neurofibromin loss regulates glial cell proliferation and, more generally, the cellular and molecular pathogenesis of NF1-OPG. The results of these studies made it possible to define a multifactorial model of pediatric gliomagenesis, wherein tumor formation requires a number of factors, including activation of cellular growth pathways, signals from the tumor microenvironment, involvement of specific cell types in specific cerebral regions, the patient's genetic profile<sup>7,43</sup>, etc., thus explaining why only a minority of children with NF1 develop an OPG.

# Neurofibromin and Downstream Signaling Cascades

Neurofibromin is a large cytosolic protein (220-250 kDa) containing a 300-amino-acid guanosin triphosphate (GTP)ase activating protein-related domain (GAP-related domain, or GRD) involved in downregulating the activity of proto-oncogene RAS by accelerating the conversion of RAS-GTP to its inactive GDP-bound form<sup>52,53</sup>. Neurofibromin can also inhibit RAS-dependent growth independently of its GTPase-accelerating function<sup>54</sup>. Normally, following the interaction of a growth factor with its tyrosin-kinase or G protein-coupled receptor, RAS-GDP (inactive form) is converted to RAS-GTP (active form) by a guanine nucleotide exchange factor<sup>2</sup>. Once RAS is converted to the GTP-bound form, its downstream signaling pathways are activated.

In NF1, due to neurofibromin loss (in neoplastic cells) or heterozygosity (in non-neoplastic cells), three main pathways are dysregulated and involved in the genesis of OPGs and OPG-associated visual loss<sup>2</sup>, thus constituting ideal targets for precision medicine approaches.

First, in neoplastic *NF1*-deficient astrocytes, RAS-GTP activates phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K), which in turn, phosphorylates and activates protein kinase-B (also known as AKT), a serine/threonine-specific protein kinase playing a key role in multiple cellular processes, including cell proliferation, partly through the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) complex. Both AKT and mTOR undergo increased phosphorylation and activation in human and murine NF1- associated CNS neoplasms<sup>2,48,49</sup>. Second, activated RAS binds to the rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma (RAF) kinase molecule, triggering the activation of the whole RAS-RAF-mitogen activated kinase (MEK)-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling pathway, which is dysregulated in sporadic LGGs, as well<sup>49,55,56</sup>.

Notably, these two pathways converge toward the activation of mTOR, a protein kinase controlling proliferation, protein synthesis rate, and cell motility in astrocytes<sup>57</sup>, even though the RAS-MEK-ERK pathway can control cell growth in an mTOR-independent fashion<sup>58</sup>. These findings indicate mTOR as a potential target for both NF1- associated and sporadic PAs<sup>7</sup>.

Third, RAS activation reduces cAMP generation in Nfl-deficient astrocytes through a downstream effector pathway involving intermediates that converge on adenylyl cyclase, the enzyme responsible for the synthesis of cAMP<sup>59,60</sup>. The decrease in cAMP levels is relevant not only for Nfl-deficient neoplastic cells but also for Nfl-mutant non-neoplastic RGC neurons. CNS neurons are extremely vulnerable to reduced NF1 gene expression: in vitro, Nf1+/- CNS neurons (hippocampal neurons and RGC neurons) show reduced growth cone areas and neurite lengths and increased apoptosis compared to their wild-type counterparts; in vivo, GEM modeling NF1-associated OPGs show axonal damage in the retroorbital optic nerve proximal to the site of glioma formation and augmented Nf1<sup>+/-</sup> RGC apoptosis<sup>61</sup>. This abnormal phenotype results from impaired neurofibromin-mediated cAMP generation. Moreover, in CNS neurons, the neurofibromin/ cAMP homeostasis operates in a RAS-dependent manner through the activation of atypical protein kinase C zeta (PCKz), rather than through the activation of the MEK/ERK or AKT/mTOR effector pathways, leading to GRK2-mediated G<sub>as</sub> inactivation<sup>62</sup>.

Collectively, these findings indicate that reduced cAMP intracellular levels mediate opposite effects in neoplastic (*Nf1*-deficient) astrocytes (increased survival response) and in non-neoplastic *Nf1*-mutant RGCs [decreased survival, with consequent thinning of retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) and decline of visual acuity in mice]<sup>2</sup>.

The RAS-regulatory domain, however, only comprises 10% of neurofibromin's entire coding sequence<sup>63</sup>. It has been recently shown<sup>64</sup> that this protein has numerous binding domains and previously undescribed conformational states, ar-

guing that this molecule mediates other RAS-independent functions. Among these newly reported properties, neurofibromin regulation of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channels is one of the most relevant for optic gliomagenesis. Indeed, neurons from heterozygous Nfl-mutant mice are hyperexcitable at baseline compared to their wild-type counterparts and uniquely sensitive to electrical activity<sup>65,66</sup>. The mechanisms underlying Nf1-mutant neuronal hyperexcitability have yet to be fully understood. However, Nf1+/- mice exhibit increased gamma amino butirric acid (GABA)ergic interneuron excitability, resulting from decreased HCN channel activity<sup>67</sup>, which in turn results in increased midkine secretion and downstream activation of the neuron-immune-cancer cell axis<sup>65</sup>, as described later in this article.

# Role of Non-Neoplastic Cells in the Tumor Microenvironment

GEM strains provided evidence<sup>44,46</sup> of a tight relationship between neurofibromin-deficient (*Nf1<sup>-/-</sup>*) neoplastic glial cells and neurofibromin heterozygous (*Nf1<sup>+/-</sup>*) non-neoplastic stromal cells in the tumor microenvironment.

As previously described, optic gliomagenesis in mice and humans requires the biallelic inactivation of the *NF1* gene in GFAP-positive neuroglial progenitors. Since *Nf1* knock-out (KO) mice (*Nf1*<sup>-/-</sup>) die at an embryonic stage<sup>68,69</sup>, experimental models harboring a conditional inactivation of the *NF1* gene in this specific cytotype were created by leveraging LoxP-Cre technologies<sup>70</sup>. The surprising evidence<sup>71</sup> that the complete loss of neurofibromin in GFAP-positive neuroglial progenitors, although causing a hyper-proliferative astrocyte response, is insufficient for OPG formation argues that additional factors derived from the surrounding *NF1*-heterozygous brain are necessary for tumorigenesis.

It has been observed that  $NFI^{+/-}$  mice undergoing biallelic inactivation of the NFI gene in GFAP-positive neuroglial progenitors during embryogenesis [the so-called Nf1flox/mutGFAPCre mice or NF1<sup>+/-</sup> GFAPcellKO (GFAPCKO) mice or fetal microchimeric cell (FMC) mice] develop OPGs most of the time<sup>46,51</sup>. However, additional preclinical findings<sup>46</sup> demonstrate that *Nf1* optic gliomagenesis only occurs if *Nf1*-deficient astrocytes receive growth-promoting signals from an *Nf1*-heterozygous tumor microenvironment in the surrounding brain.

## The Role of Microglia

Microglial cells make up to 30-50% of tumor mass in human NF1-OPGs<sup>72</sup>. These cells belong to the mononuclear phagocyte system and are involved in the maintenance of cerebral homeostasis through the promotion of neuronal survival, enhancement of synaptic transmission, and synthesis of neurotrophins<sup>73-76</sup>. In addition, microglia can also produce chemokines, growth factors, and inflammatory mediators<sup>77,78</sup> with relevance to two aspects of murine optic gliomagenesis.

Elevated microglia numbers represent one of the earliest events in the natural history of murine NF1-OPG and may be observed even before evident tumor formation in the optic nerves of *Nf1*<sup>+/-</sup> GFAPCKO mice<sup>44,79</sup>. It has been hypothesized that *Nf1*-deficient glial neoplastic cells release soluble molecules (i.e., stromagens) that recruit or activate *Nf1*-heterozygous microglia, which in turn produces factors promoting tumor proliferation (i.e., gliomagens)<sup>80-83</sup>.

Recent studies<sup>84,85</sup> have focused on the role of two gliomagens, namely the Ccl5 chemokine and the CXCL12 growth factor, both emerging as potential targets for stromal-directed molecular therapies. Second, microglia are involved in NF1-OPG-associated RGC death. Specifically, two mechanisms (one intrinsic – or cell autonomous – and one extrinsic – or cell non-autonomous) have been identified to underlie OPG-associated loss of *Nf1*-heterozygous RGCs, with such loss starting as a tumor-induced axonal dysfunction and culminating in death by apoptosis<sup>59</sup>.

The intrinsic mechanism, as previously described, consists of a unique vulnerability of CNS *Nf1*-mutant neurons by virtue of their altered neurofibromin expression and consequent reduction in cAMP intracellular levels<sup>61</sup>.

The extrinsic mechanism involves microglia and provides insight into the predilection of NF1-OPG-associated visual decline for girls. Gonadal estradiol binds to the estrogen receptor b (ERb) expressed by microglial cells and stimulates them to produce neurotoxin cytokines, able to damage RGC axons<sup>27,44,86</sup>.

# The Role of Neurons

Recent studies<sup>66</sup> have shown that microglial cells are not the only cytotype involved in the tumor-stroma interactions that promote optic gliomagenesis. Preclinical studies<sup>66</sup> have demonstrated that also central nervous system neurons (i.e., RGCs) may support neoplastic growth by secreting paracrine factors necessary for tumor initiation and progression in an NF1 mutationand neuronal activity-dependent manner.

Specifically, a neuronal cell surface protein (NLGN3) and a neurite growth-promoting factor (midkine), both released in a RAS-independent fashion, have been called into question (Figure 1). NLGN3 is a synaptic adhesion protein expressed by oligodendrocyte precursor cells and neurons, whose ectodomain is cleaved by A Disintegrin and Metalloproteinase 10 (ADAM10)<sup>87</sup>. This enzyme, mainly secreted by neurons, is activity-dependent: in the context of the baseline hyperexcitability of  $Nf1^{+/-}$  neurons<sup>8</sup>, light-evoked stimulation of RGCs during a susceptible interval in  $Nf1^{OPG}$  mice post-natal life results in an increased secretion of ADAM10 and, therefore,



**Figure 1.** Flowchart summarizing the recently described molecular events occurring in Nf1-mutant RGCs and leading to NF1-OPG initiation and/or progression.

in an increased NLGN3 shedding<sup>66</sup>. The latter event seems to be crucial for both the initiation and the progression of murine OPGs, consistent with previous studies<sup>87-89</sup> in xenografts models demonstrating the role of this molecule in the progression of high-grade gliomas (HGGs). For reasons yet to be clarified, Arginige 1809 Cysteine (Arg1809Cys) Nfl-conditional mutant mice which, just like patients with the cytidine-to-thymidine R1809C germline NF1 gene mutation<sup>90,91</sup>, never develop OPGs, do not exhibit increased expression of the ADAM10 transcript and consequently do not undergo increased NL-GN3 shedding<sup>65</sup>. These finding underscores both the importance of NLGN3 in optic gliomagenesis and the mutation-dependence of NF1-OPGs formation. Similarly, in a recent study, *Nfl<sup>OPG</sup>* mice that reared in the dark from 6 to 16 weeks did not develop OPGs, whereas this tumor formed in all Nflopg mice raised in regular light cycles<sup>66</sup>. Taken together, these results raise the possibility that neuronal activity-triggered NLGN3 shedding into the tumor microenvironment drives the initiation and promotes the maintenance of NF1-OPGs.

The second important OPG trophic molecule is midkine, whose secretion depends on the baseline hyperexcitability exhibited by *Nf1*-mutant RGCs<sup>66</sup>, caused by HCN channel dysregulation<sup>67</sup>. Indeed, HCN channel targeting using its agonist lamotrigin decreased firing rates *in vitro*, while also reducing midkine (Mdk) RNA and protein levels and blocking Nf1-OPG progression, but not initiation, *in vivo* (i.e., in OPG-bearing *Nf1*<sup>ff</sup> <sup>neo</sup>; hGFAP-Cre mice)<sup>66</sup>.

Studies on human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived CNS neurons revealed that, similarly to NLGN3, midkine expression is increased in neurons harboring *Nf1* mutations that are found in *NF1* patients who develop OPGs, but not in *Nf1*<sup>+/-</sup> neurons with the Arg1809Cys mutation<sup>92</sup>.

Midkine is part of the so-called neuron-immune-cancer cell axis. In murine models of Nf1-OPGs, Nf1-mutant neurons secrete midkine to stimulate T-cell C-C Motif Chemokine Ligand 4 (*Ccl4*) expression, which induces microglial elaboration of Ccl5, an obligate OPG growth factor<sup>93</sup>. Moreover, *Nf1*<sup>+/1809</sup> mice do not develop OPGs, even though their T-cells and microglia are able to secrete Ccl4 in response to midkine and Ccl5 in response to Ccl4, respectively, thus suggesting<sup>66</sup> that the Arg1809Cy mutation operates at the level of the neuron. Collectively, the above findings reveal that tumor-causing *NF1* mutations in RGCs regulate the production of paracrine factors through both visual experience-evoked neuronal activity and HCN channel dysregulation-mediated baseline neuronal hyperexcitability. In this model of tumorigenesis, NF1-OPG initiation relies on light-induced RGC activation and consequent NLGN3 shedding, whereas NF1-OPG progression requires both NLGN3 shedding and HCN channel-dependent baseline neuronal hyperexcitability with consequent midkine production.

# Determinants of Disease Heterogeneity

NF1-OPG is a disease of heterogeneity<sup>43</sup>, meaning that each NF1 patient harbors a unique combination of diversely assorted variables, which influence the probability of developing the tumor and the risk of experiencing tumor-associated visual loss.

The identification of subgroups of patients with similar characteristics is crucial for both risk assessment purposes and for the development of precision medicine approaches aimed at targeting different phenotypes of the same disease.

Many of the determinants of disease heterogeneity were first identified using GEM strains. In addition to age, gender and tumor location (as previously described), other variables have recently been taken into account<sup>5</sup>.

Several studies<sup>94-97</sup> leveraging human cell lines or iPSCs derived from NF1-patients and mice strains engineered to harbor specific mutations demonstrated that different *Nf1* gene germline mutations yield different effects, both in terms of neurofibromin levels and function and in terms of the tumor phenotype. Further studies are required to identify predictive phenotype-genotype correlations, relevant for tumor-development risk assessment and for therapeutic purposes. Importantly, since the *NF1* gene germline mutation is likely to impact non-neoplastic cells as well (e.g., RGCs), such phenotype-genotype correlations will hopefully also be useful for stratifying the risk of experiencing vision loss<sup>43</sup>.

Genomic modifiers have gained interest as potential determinants of disease heterogeneity. The first evidence that genomic modifiers play a role in gliomagenesis came from a study<sup>98</sup> on NPcis mice (NF1 GEM strains harboring heterozygous mutations in both the *Nf1* and *p53* genes), which revealed that *Nf1*<sup>+/-</sup> *p53*<sup>+/-</sup> mice maintained on a 129 mice substrain 129S4sv/Jae (129) background exhibited a low frequency of glioma formation, while  $NfI^{+/-}$ ; p53<sup>+/-</sup> mice maintained on a substrain C57BL/6J (B6) background even developed HGGs. More recently, the first glioma-modifier locus was identified in humans, as it was shown<sup>99</sup> that single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in adenylate cyclase 8 (AC8) increases the risk of glioma formation in females while decreasing it in males.

Although most patients with hereditary OPGs only harbor the *NF1* gene inactivation<sup>99</sup>, recent data<sup>100</sup> suggest that some of them might present additional genetic alterations, such as the fusion event between proteins KIAA1549:BRAF (typical of sporadic OPGs) or *PTEN* monoallelic mutations. Studies<sup>43</sup> on GEM harboring these genetic alterations reveal a differential behavior in terms of mass volume and proliferation, as well as a diversity in the activation of the signaling pathways involved in cellular growth, suggesting that additional targeted therapies might be needed in these subgroups of NF1 patients.

## From Animal Studies to Clinical Trials: Difficulties and Limitations

Despite the progress achieved in the field of cellular and molecular biology and the possibility of testing drugs in animal models, at present, no effective treatment is available for the majority of NF1-related tumors.

To a large extent, this is due to the difficulties with which animal studies are transposed to the clinical setting, resulting from the multiple differences between GEM models from humans<sup>43</sup>, such as the CNS anatomy, the histological features of human PAs, and the diversity of the studied populations. Indeed, human patients represent a variegated population in terms of age, gender, tumor location, and *NF1* germline mutation, whereas preclinical studies are conducted on a uniform genetic cohort.

Moreover, in murine models, histological samples are always available and homogeneous, as opposed to human neoplastic samples, which are scant and heterogeneous, making it difficult to assess drug bioavailability, including brain penetration, and neoplastic target inhibition<sup>43</sup>. Consequently, researchers<sup>43</sup> base their evaluation mainly on tumor volume changes, that are not necessarily predictive of visual outcomes<sup>26</sup>, and on visual improvements, that might require months or years to appear<sup>18,40,43</sup>. Therefore, results obtained in animal studies are not always interpretable and are even less applicable to human patients.

## On the Road to Precision Medicine

Despite the lack of effective treatment options addressing the unique features of NF1-OPGs, significant progress has been made in the field of molecular and cellular biology, opening the way to promising drug design studies.

Clinical trials adopting tumor growth-halting pharmacologic strategies yielded disappointing results. Moreover, tumor shrinkage cannot be considered the priority endpoint in the management of NF1-OPGs for several reasons. First, tumor expansion in hereditary OPGs tends to naturally decline after 7 years of age<sup>101</sup>. Second, patients rarely die as a direct consequence of tumor growth. Third, tumor-related visual loss does not primarily reflect a compressive optic neuropathy but rather results from cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic mechanisms triggered by impaired neurofibromin function. Radiological outcomes are, therefore, not closely related to visual outcomes<sup>26</sup>.

These considerations suggest that reducing or stabilizing the volume of the mass is therapeutically suboptimal in NF1-OPGs and that future research efforts should focus on the molecular mechanisms underlying tumor-associated visual decline, in order to develop effective and safe neuroprotective strategies.

Herein, we review the main emerging pharmacological approaches recently assessed in pre-clinical and clinical settings (Figure 2, Table I).

# RAS Effector Inhibition

Since neurofibromin acts as a RAS-GT-Pase-activating protein, initial targeted therapies for NF1-associated tumors leveraged RAS inhibitors. Specifically, farnesyltransferase inhibitors blocking isoprenylation (necessary for RAS membrane tethering), although showing promising results in animal studies<sup>102</sup>, yielded limited success in patients with NF1-related plexiform neurofibromas<sup>103</sup>, thus prompting researchers to focus on other molecules in the RAS signaling pathways. Mounting evidence<sup>102,103</sup> suggests that inhibition of the RAS downstream effector pathways reduces tumor growth and, to a lesser extent, improves vision or prevents further visual



Figure 2. Scheme of the main molecular pathways dysregulated in NF1-OPGs and of the associated potential therapeutic targets.

decline. So far, however, these therapies have largely failed to guarantee a durable effect following their interruption.

## Inhibition of mTOR-Converging Pathways

Recent studies<sup>104</sup> revealed that blocking the PI3K/AKT pathway (by means of PI3K inhibitor, BMK120, and AKT-inhibitor, MK2206) and the MEK/ERK pathway (by means of MEK inhibitor, PD0325901) reduces Nf1-deficient astrocyte proliferation to wild-type levels *in vitro* and decreases optic nerve volume and glioma proliferation *in vivo* (Nf1-OPG mice). In addition, both PI3K and MEK inhibition improved retinal dysfunction in *Nf1*<sup>+/-</sup> GFAPCKO mice, suggesting<sup>104</sup> a complementary role for these pathways in NF1-OPG-related visual impairment, in addition to RAS-dependent reduced cAMP generation.

Consistently with the convergence of signaling pathways on mTOR and with the high levels of mTOR expressed by neoplastic cells<sup>48</sup>, rapamy-cin-mediated inhibition of mTOR in cultures of

*Nf1*-deficient astrocytes abrogated proliferation and motility phenotypes<sup>48,57</sup>. However, decreased tumor proliferation was also observed in rapamycin-treated *Nf1*<sup>+/-</sup> GFAPCKO mice, the failure of low-dose regimens to increase tumor cell apoptosis and guarantee a sustained effect *in vivo* suggests<sup>101</sup> a tumoristatic rather than a tumoricidal effect of rapamycin.

These encouraging results paved the way for clinical studies with mTOR inhibitors. In a recent trial<sup>105</sup>, 19 patients (8 with NF1) received erlotinib and rapamycin for recurrent LGGs failing to respond to conventional treatment. While this 2-drug regimen was well tolerated, no objective responses were documented in patients with sporadic LGGs, while only one NF1 patient had a partial response (PR), defined as a  $\geq$ 50% reduction in the bi-directional measurement of the tumor. Moreover, only two patients (both with NF1) maintained stable disease for more than 1 year after completion of treatment.

Shortly after the rapamycin-erlotinib trial, other attempts were made to manage pediatric LGGs with non-conventional agents.

Tested molecule(s)	Pharmacological class	Trial phase and Identifier	Study population	Primary endpoint	Main results
Erlotinib + Rapamycin	mTOR inhibitor	Phase I NCT00901849	19 patients with recurrent LGG, 8 with NF1-LGG	Radiographic and clinical evaluation for one year	No objective response, except for 1 NF1 patient who had PR
Everolimus	mTOR inhibitor	Phase II NCT01158651	23 patients with radiologic- progressive, NF1-LGG and prior treatment with a carboplatin- containing chemotherapy	Objective response rates (CR, PR, SD assessed by MRI) at 48 weeks	68% of patients exhibited some tumor response (shrinkage of arrest of tumor growth); of these, 66% remained free of progression.
Sorafenib	Multikinase inhibitor	Phase II NCT01338857	11 patients with recurrent LGG, 3 with NF1-LGG	Objective response rates (CR, PR, SD assessed by MRI)	Acceleration in tumor growth within 3 treatment cycles in >80% of patients
Selumetinib	MEK inhibitor	Phase I NCT01089101 Phase II (ongoing) NCT01089101	38 patients with progressive LGG, 5 with NF1-LGG Patients assigned to 6 strata. Stratum 3 included 25 patients with NF1-LGG	RP2D and DLTs Objective response rates (CR, PR, SD assessed by MRI)	4/5 NF1 patients had some tumor response, but none exhibited PR 10/25 patients in stratum 3 (40%) achieved PR with a 2-year PFS of 96±4%. Only 1 patient progressed while on treatment.
Bevacizumab + irinotecan	Angiogenesis inhibitor	Phase II NCT00381797	10 children with multiply recurrent LGG, 3 with NF1-LGG	Objective response rates (CR, PR, SD assessed by MRI) sustained for ≥ 8 weeks	3/3 NF1 patients exhibited an objective response by MRI, 2/3 NF1 patients showed some clinical improvement
Nerve Growth Factor (eye drops)	Neuroprotective agent	Phase I CHF6467-OPG Phase II CHF6467-OPG	5 children with advanced optic 18 OPG patients with stable disease and severe visual loss, 13 with NF1 (10 patients received NGF eye drops, 8 patients received placebo)	Median VEP amplitude nerve atrophy due to OPG, 3 with NF1-OPG BCVA, Goldman perimeter VF size, PhNR (amplitude and latency), VEP (amplitude and latency), RNFL thickness (assessed by OCT)	Progressive increase in median VEP amplitude Significant improvements in PhNR amplitude at 180 days, PhNR latency at 15 days, and VEP amplitude at 30 days; 3 patients experienced a significant VF enlargement

**Table I.** Summary of the most relevant clinical trials in patients with NF1-OPGs.

LGGs = low-grade gliomas; NF1 = neurofibromatosis type 1; PR = partial response; CR = complete response; SD = stable disease; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; RP2D = recommended phase II dose; DLTs = dose-limiting toxicities; PFS = progression-free survival; OPG = optic pathway glioma; VEP = visual evoked potential; BCVA = best-corrected visual acuity; VF = visual field; PhNR = photopic negative response; RNFL = retinal nerve fiber layer thickness; OCT = optical coherence tomography.

In a multicenter, prospective, open-label, phase II clinical trial<sup>106</sup>, 11 patients with progressive or recurrent LGGs (3 with NF1) who had failed at least 1 regimen of chemotherapy were treated with sorafenib, a multikinase inhibitor targeting vi-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (BRAF), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDG-FR), and protooncogene receptor tyrosine kinase (c-kit), which had yielded promising results in preclinical trials. However, since more than 80% of patients (irrespective of their NF1 or BRAF status) experienced an acceleration in tumor growth within 3 treatment cycles, the study was terminated early. Subsequent in vitro studies<sup>107</sup> suggested that the observed effect was due to the paradoxical activation of ERK.

To bypass this effect, attention was shifted to MEK inhibitors. A phase I trial<sup>108</sup> with orally-available MEK1/2 inhibitor selumetinib (AZD62DD) enrolled 5 patients with NF1-associated recurrent or refractory LGG, 4 of whom had some tumor response, but none exhibited PR ( $\geq$ 50% reduction in the tumor) to the treatment. The phase II trial assigned patients to 6 strata based on histology, *BRAF* aberration, and NF1 to allow correlation with tumor response and progression-free survival (PFS). Stratum 3 included 25 patients with NF1-LGG, 10 of whom (40%) achieved PR with a 2-year PFS of 96±4%. Only 1 patient progressed while on treatment<sup>109</sup>.

The most recent mTOR-targeting clinical trials employed everolimus, an oral derivative of rapamycin that acts on neoplastic cells directly, by inhibiting neoplastic growth and proliferation, and indirectly, by down-regulating factors involved in tumor vascularity, such as the tumor cell hypoxia-inducible factor 1 and VEGF. The phase II study<sup>110</sup> investigated the safety and efficacy of daily oral everolimus on radiographically progressive NF1-associated pediatric LGGs previously treated with chemotherapy. Everolimus resulted in disease stability or shrinkage at 48 months (primary endpoint) in 68% of the patients enrolled in the trial and was well-tolerated, even though functional end-points were not collected.

## *c-AMP-Elevating Strategies*

Since mounting evidence<sup>60,61</sup> indicates that reduced intracellular cAMP levels contribute to OPG growth and, more importantly, are the major determinant of NF1-OPG-associated visual decline, cAMP-elevating agents have been leveraged in preclinical studies. cAMP-restoring strategies (either with an adenylyl cyclase activator, forskolin, or with a phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, rolipram), have been shown<sup>61</sup> to reverse the phenotypical alterations observed in *Nf1*-mutant CNS neurons to wild-type levels *in vitro*, while attenuating RGC apoptosis and inhibiting OPG growth *in vivo*<sup>60</sup>.

Moreover, lovastatin, a negative RAS regulator active on both the mTOR-converging signaling pathways and RAS-cAMP-mediated RGC survival<sup>62</sup>, has been used in 12-week-old NF1-OPG mice with the aim of instituting treatment before 30% RGC loss occurred. While the effect on tumor proliferation and volume was limited in time, a long-lasting preservation of RGC numbers and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness was observed, suggesting that there is a therapeutically relevant interval during which the adoption of neuroprotective strategies prevents further damage to the visual pathway<sup>44</sup>.

# *Toward Stroma-Directed Molecular Therapies*

#### Microglia

As tumor formation and tumor-associated vision loss require a permissive NF1<sup>+/-</sup> cellular microenvironment, a fascinating line of research is looking at microglia to design stromal-directed molecular therapies. Microglia-inhibiting strategies have not yet reached clinical trials, but preclinical studies conducted over the last 15 years have provided exciting clues regarding their great potential<sup>84,86,111-114</sup>. Many complementary approaches have been employed in these proof-of-principle studies. One of the first successful attempts leveraged a Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) inhibitor, SP600125, to block the corresponding pathway that has been found<sup>111</sup> to be hyperactive in *Nfl*-mutant microglia, but not in Nfl-deficient astrocytes: JNK blockade of Nfl-mutant microglia ameliorated its increased proliferation and motility phenotypes in vitro and reduced OPG proliferation in vivo. Consistently, ganciclovir-mediated ablation of brain microglia in integrin CD11b-TK transgenic mice<sup>114</sup> and genetic reduction of optic nerve microglia in FMC mice by means of impaired Cx3cr1 (chemokine receptor driving microglia migration) expression<sup>113</sup> reduced tumor proliferation and delayed tumor formation, respectively, while treatment with Ccl5 neutralizing antibodies even improved NF1-associated retinal dysfunction *in vivo*<sup>84</sup>.

Microglia is thought<sup>86</sup> to be responsible for the sexually dimorphic visual loss observed in murine models, as suggested by the fact that female NF1-OPG mice have 3-fold more microglia than their male counterparts and that minocycline inhibition of these cells decreases RGC apoptosis in vivo. Moreover, pharmacologic inhibition of microglial ERb function by means of selective estrogen receptor antagonist PHTPP reduced both proliferating Ki-67<sup>+</sup> cells and RGC apoptotic TUNEL<sup>+</sup> cells in female NF1-OPG mice<sup>86</sup>. While this finding<sup>86</sup> establishes the ERb-driven activation of microglia as a key determinant in NF1-OPG sexually dimorphic visual loss in mice, further investigation is needed to explain the corresponding gender predilection in pediatric patients.

## Neurons

The importance of NF1-mutant RGCs in the formation and progression of OPGs has great potential for clinical translation. Over the last years, the ADAM10-NLGN3 axis and the neuron-immune-cancer cell axis have emerged as attractive therapeutic targets. Recently, researchers<sup>87</sup> have demonstrated that treatment of Nf1+/- mice with GI254023X (a specific and brain-penetrant inhibitor of ADAM10) reduces NLGN3 shedding in the optic nerve. In addition, it phenocopies the effects of NLGN3 loss or dark-rearing in Nf1-OPGs. Indeed, optic nerve volumes and proliferation in Nf1<sup>OPG</sup> mice treated with GI254023X are indistinguishable from those found in wild-type mice<sup>66</sup>. Furthermore, the efficacy of lamotrigine in blocking NfI-OPG progression in vivo establishes the HCN channel - and the downstream midkine-Ccl4-Ccl5 pathway - as a targetable regulator of neuronal activity-dependent tumor growth for the treatment of childhood NF1-OPGs<sup>65</sup>.

# Angiogenesis Inhibitors

The evidence<sup>115</sup> of VEGF-VEGFR signaling hyperactivity in pediatric LGGs and the encouraging results yielded in HGG adult patients treated with bevacizumab<sup>116-118</sup>, an anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody, were the rationale for the administration of bevacizumab and irinotecan in 10 children with multiply-recurrent LGGs (3 with NF1) lacking other treatment options. All three patients with NF1 exhibited an objective response assessed by MRI (2 partial responses and 1 minor response), and 2 of them showed some sort of clinical improvement (including increased vision in 1 patient)<sup>119</sup>.

In a recent case series<sup>120</sup>, 4 pediatric patients with OPG (2 with NF1) already treated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy received treatment with bevacizumab (alone or combined with irinotecan) for progressive visual acuity or visual field loss. All 4 patients showed a radiologic response (decreased in size and enhancement by MRI) and experienced marked visual improvement, with near-complete visual field restoration in 1 of the 2 NF1 patients. These impressive results on OPG-mediated visual loss may be ascribed to a combined effect on tumor expansion and tumor-associated inflammatory edema. However, caution is required prior to administering bevacizumab, since transient leukoencephalopathy, proteinuria, and hypertension are reported adverse events<sup>119,120</sup>.

## Nerve Growth Factor (NGF)

Since the leading cause of morbidity in children with OPGs is progressive and largely irreversible visual loss, future efforts should shift towards neuroprotection and neuroregeneration of the retina and the optic pathway.

Nerve growth factor (NGF) is a neurotrophin that acts on peripheral and central neurons, as well as on non-neuronal cells<sup>71,121,122</sup>. The therapeutic potential of NGF has been proposed for a number of non-ocular neurological conditions, including Alzheimer's disease<sup>123</sup> and sensitive neuropathy associated with diabetes<sup>124</sup>, and HIV infection<sup>125</sup>. More recently, it has been proposed<sup>126,127</sup> to use NGF topically. The rationale for the use of topical NGF in OPGs comes from studies<sup>126,127</sup> showing that, when applied to the conjunctiva, this molecule reaches the retina, the optic pathway, and the cerebral cortex, demonstrating biological activity in these regions.

In 2011, Falsini et al<sup>128</sup> evaluated the effects of topical NGF in 5 children with advanced optic nerve atrophy due to LGGs and showed that, compared to the untreated controls, there was a progressive increase in visual evoked potential (VEP) amplitude (primary end-point) peaking at 90 days post-treatment and declining at 180 days, though still remaining above the baseline level. These promising results led to a randomized, double-blind, phase II clinical trial<sup>129</sup> in 18 OPG patients with stable disease and severe visual loss. Patients were evaluated by testing visual acuity, visual field, VEPs, optic coherence tomography

(OCT), electroretinographic photopic negative response (PhNR), negative-going wave following the b-wave of the cone electroretinogram driven by RGCs, and MRI before and after treatment at 15, 30, 90, and 180 days. Treatment with NGF led to statistically significant improvements in PhNR amplitude at 180 days, PhNR latency at 15 days, and VEP amplitude at 30 days, and 3 NGF-treated patients experienced a significant visual field enlargement<sup>129</sup>.

In both these exploratory studies<sup>128,129</sup> no effect was reported on tumor growth. Moreover, treatment was well tolerated in all patients, with no ocular adverse events, other than a short-lasting mild periocular burning in a few of them.

## Conclusions

Since NF1-OPGs are not amenable to complete resection, their treatment is based on non-surgical strategies. The risk of developing secondary malignancies, radio-induced vasculitis, and neurocognitive and neuroendocrine impairments greatly limits the role of radiotherapy in NF1 pediatric oncologic patients, whereas chemotherapy has a better risk-benefit profile in this setting. Traditional chemotherapy, however, does not significantly ameliorate visual function, and its effectiveness on halting tumor growth cannot be considered a satisfactory result in the management of these patients.

Newer lines of research should therefore be pursued with the goal of stabilizing or improving the vision, rather than the tumor volume, of these patients. Future clinical trials in pediatric patients with low-grade gliomas should plan recruitment stratification and statistical analysis by *NF1* status. In addition, these clinical studies should include vision function endpoints as a distinct outcome measure of efficacy.

The growing understanding of the unique cellular and molecular characteristics of NF1-OPG, coupled with the recent publication of promising clinical studies, raise cautious hopes that further progress will be made to break down the barriers that still stand in the way of precision medicine and that targeted therapies will indeed become a first-line treatment option for NF1-OPG in the near future.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

#### Funding

This research did not receive funding or grants.

#### Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: Alessia Amato, Bruno Pietro Imbimbo, Benedetto Falsini. Methodology: Alessia Amato, Bruno Pietro Imbimbo, Benedetto Falsini. Writing-original draft: Alessia Amato. Writing-review and editing: Bruno Pietro Imbimbo, Benedetto Falsini.

#### **Ethics Approval**

Not applicable.

**Informed Consent** Not applicable.

#### ORCID ID

Alessia Amato: 0000-0002-9515-7363 Bruno Pietro Imbimbo: 0000-0002-0327-7262 Benedetto Falsini: 0000-0002-3569-4968.

#### References

- 1) Friedman JM. Epidemiology of neurofibromatosis type 1. Am J Med Genet 1999; 89: 1-6.
- Khatua S, Gutmann DH, Packer RJ. Neurofibromatosis type 1 and optic pathway glioma: Molecular interplay and therapeutic insights. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2018; 65: e26838.
- Boyd KP, Korf BR, Theos A. Neurofibromatosis type 1. J Am Acad Dermatol 2009; 61: 1-14.
- Jett K, Friedman JM. Clinical and genetic aspects of neurofibromatosis 1. Genet Med 2010; 12: 1-11.
- Upadhyaya M. Neurofibromatosis type 1: diagnosis and recent advances. Expert Opin Med Diagn 2010; 4: 307-322.
- Basu TN, Gutmann DH, Fletcher JA, Glover TW, Collins FS, Downward J. Aberrant regulation of ras proteins in malignant tumour cells from type 1 neurofibromatosis patients. Nature 1992; 356: 713-715.
- Chen YH, Gutmann DH. The molecular and cell biology of pediatric low-grade gliomas. Oncogene 2014; 33: 2019-2026.
- Anastasaki C, Orozco P, Gutmann DH. RAS and beyond: the many faces of the neurofibromatosis type 1 protein. Dis Model Mech 2022; 15: dmm049362.
- Gutmann DH, Donahoe J, Brown T, James CD, Perry A. Loss of neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1) gene expression in NF1-associated pilocytic astrocytomas. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2000; 26: 361-367.

- Jouhilahti EM, Peltonen S, Heape AM, Peltonen J. The pathoetiology of neurofibromatosis 1. Am J Pathol 2011; 178: 1932-1939.
- Laycock-van Spyk S, Thomas N, Cooper DN, Upadhyaya M. Neurofibromatosis type 1-associated tumours: their somatic mutational spectrum and pathogenesis. Hum Genomics 2011; 5: 623-690.
- 12) Guillamo JS, Creange A, Kalifa C, Grill J, Rodriguez D, Doz F, Barbarot S, Zerah M, Sanson M, Bastuji-Garin S, Wolkenstein P, Reseau NFF. Prognostic factors of CNS tumours in Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1): a retrospective study of 104 patients. Brain 2003; 126: 152-160.
- Theeler BJ, Ellezam B, Yust-Katz S, Slopis JM, Loghin ME, de Groot JF. Prolonged survival in adult neurofibromatosis type I patients with recurrent high-grade gliomas treated with bevacizumab. J Neurol 2014; 261: 1559-1564.
- Listernick R, Charrow J, Greenwald MJ, Esterly NB. Optic gliomas in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. J Pediatr 1989; 114: 788-792.
- 15) Mahdi J, Shah AC, Sato A, Morris SM, McKinstry RC, Listernick R, Packer RJ, Fisher MJ, Gutmann DH. A multi-institutional study of brainstem gliomas in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. Neurology 2017; 88: 1584-1589.
- 16) Gutmann DH, Rasmussen SA, Wolkenstein P, MacCollin MM, Guha A, Inskip PD, North KN, Poyhonen M, Birch PH, Friedman JM. Gliomas presenting after age 10 in individuals with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1). Neurology 2002; 59: 759-761.
- Vinchon M, Soto-Ares G, Ruchoux MM, Dhellemmes P. Cerebellar gliomas in children with NF1: pathology and surgery. Childs Nerv Syst 2000; 16: 417-420.
- 18) de Blank PMK, Fisher MJ, Liu GT, Gutmann DH, Listernick R, Ferner RE, Avery RA. Optic Pathway Gliomas in Neurofibromatosis Type 1: An Update: Surveillance, Treatment Indications, and Biomarkers of Vision. J Neuroophthalmol 2017; 37 Suppl 1: S23-S32.
- King A, Listernick R, Charrow J, Piersall L, Gutmann DH. Optic pathway gliomas in neurofibromatosis type 1: the effect of presenting symptoms on outcome. Am J Med Genet A 2003; 122A: 95-99.
- Listernick R, Ferner RE, Liu GT, Gutmann DH. Optic pathway gliomas in neurofibromatosis-1: controversies and recommendations. Ann Neurol 2007; 61: 189-198.
- Thiagalingam S, Flaherty M, Billson F, North K. Neurofibromatosis type 1 and optic pathway gliomas: follow-up of 54 patients. Ophthalmology 2004; 111: 568-577.
- 22) Hernaiz Driever P, von Hornstein S, Pietsch T, Kortmann R, Warmuth-Metz M, Emser A, Gnekow AK. Natural history and management of low-grade glioma in NF-1 children. J Neurooncol 2010; 100: 199-207.

- 23) Blanchard G, Lafforgue MP, Lion-Francois L, Kemlin I, Rodriguez D, Castelnau P, Carneiro M, Meyer P, Rivier F, Barbarot S, Chaix Y, network NFF. Systematic MRI in NF1 children under six years of age for the diagnosis of optic pathway gliomas. Study and outcome of a French cohort. Eur J Paediatr Neurol 2016; 20: 275-281.
- 24) Fisher MJ, Avery RA, Allen JC, Ardern-Holmes SL, Bilaniuk LT, Ferner RE, Gutmann DH, Listernick R, Martin S, Ullrich NJ, Liu GT, Collaboration REI. Functional outcome measures for NF1-associated optic pathway glioma clinical trials. Neurology 2013; 81 (Suppl 1): S15-S24.
- 25) Prada CE, Hufnagel RB, Hummel TR, Lovell AM, Hopkin RJ, Saal HM, Schorry EK. The Use of Magnetic Resonance Imaging Screening for Optic Pathway Gliomas in Children with Neurofibromatosis Type 1. J Pediatr 2015; 167: 851-856.
- 26) Fisher MJ, Loguidice M, Gutmann DH, Listernick R, Ferner RE, Ullrich NJ, Packer RJ, Tabori U, Hoffman RO, Ardern-Holmes SL, Hummel TR, Hargrave DR, Bouffet E, Charrow J, Bilaniuk LT, Balcer LJ, Liu GT. Visual outcomes in children with neurofibromatosis type 1-associated optic pathway glioma following chemotherapy: a multicenter retrospective analysis. Neuro Oncol 2012; 14: 790-797.
- Diggs-Andrews KA, Brown JA, Gianino SM, Rubin JB, Wozniak DF, Gutmann DH. Sex Is a major determinant of neuronal dysfunction in neurofibromatosis type 1. Ann Neurol 2014; 75: 309-316.
- 28) Balcer LJ, Liu GT, Heller G, Bilaniuk L, Volpe NJ, Galetta SL, Molloy PT, Phillips PC, Janss AJ, Vaughn S, Maguire MG. Visual loss in children with neurofibromatosis type 1 and optic pathway gliomas: relation to tumor location by magnetic resonance imaging. Am J Ophthalmol 2001; 131: 442-445.
- 29) Hyman SL, Shores A, North KN. The nature and frequency of cognitive deficits in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. Neurology 2005; 65: 1037-1044.
- 30) Sharif S, Ferner R, Birch JM, Gillespie JE, Gattamaneni HR, Baser ME, Evans DG. Second primary tumors in neurofibromatosis 1 patients treated for optic glioma: substantial risks after radiotherapy. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 2570-2575.
- Armstrong GT, Conklin HM, Huang S, Srivastava D, Sanford R, Ellison DW, Merchant TE, Hudson MM, Hoehn ME, Robison LL, Gajjar A, Morris EB. Survival and long-term health and cognitive outcomes after low-grade glioma. Neuro Oncol 2011; 13: 223-234.
- 32) Shalitin S, Gal M, Goshen Y, Cohen I, Yaniv I, Phillip M. Endocrine outcome in long-term survivors of childhood brain tumors. Horm Res Paediatr 2011; 76: 113-122.
- 33) Grill J, Couanet D, Cappelli C, Habrand JL, Rodriguez D, Sainte-Rose C, Kalifa C. Radiation-induced cerebral vasculopathy in children with neurofibromatosis and optic pathway glioma. Ann Neurol 1999; 45: 393-396.

- 34) Ater JL, Xia C, Mazewski CM, Booth TN, Freyer DR, Packer RJ, Sposto R, Vezina G, Pollack IF. Nonrandomized comparison of neurofibromatosis type 1 and non-neurofibromatosis type 1 children who received carboplatin and vincristine for progressive low-grade glioma: A report from the Children's Oncology Group. Cancer 2016; 122: 1928-1936.
- 35) Mahgoub N, Taylor BR, Le Beau MM, Gratiot M, Carlson KM, Atwater SK, Jacks T, Shannon KM. Myeloid malignancies induced by alkylating agents in Nf1 mice. Blood 1999; 93: 3617-3623.
- 36) Packer RJ, Ater J, Allen J, Phillips P, Geyer R, Nicholson HS, Jakacki R, Kurczynski E, Needle M, Finlay J, Reaman G, Boyett JM. Carboplatin and vincristine chemotherapy for children with newly diagnosed progressive low-grade gliomas. J Neurosurg 1997; 86: 747-754.
- Perilongo G. Considerations on the role of chemotherapy and modern radiotherapy in the treatment of childhood low grade glioma. J Neurooncol 2005; 75: 301-307.
- 38) Dalla Via P, Opocher E, Pinello ML, Calderone M, Viscardi E, Clementi M, Battistella PA, Laverda AM, Da Dalt L, Perilongo G. Visual outcome of a cohort of children with neurofibromatosis type 1 and optic pathway glioma followed by a pediatric neuro-oncology program. Neuro Oncol 2007; 9: 430-437.
- 39) Dodgshun AJ, Elder JE, Hansford JR, Sullivan MJ. Long-term visual outcome after chemotherapy for optic pathway glioma in children: Site and age are strongly predictive. Cancer 2015; 121: 4190-4196.
- 40) Kalin-Hajdu E, Decarie JC, Marzouki M, Carret AS, Ospina LH. Visual acuity of children treated with chemotherapy for optic pathway gliomas. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014; 61: 223-227.
- Moreno L, Bautista F, Ashley S, Duncan C, Zacharoulis S. Does chemotherapy affect the visual outcome in children with optic pathway glioma? A systematic review of the evidence. Eur J Cancer 2010; 46: 2253-2259.
- 42) Shofty B, Ben-Sira L, Freedman S, Yalon M, Dvir R, Weintraub M, Toledano H, Constantini S, Kesler A. Visual outcome following chemotherapy for progressive optic pathway gliomas. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2011; 57: 481-485.
- 43) Gutmann DH. Eliminating barriers to personalized medicine: learning from neurofibromatosis type 1. Neurology 2014; 83: 463-471.
- 44) Toonen JA, Ma Y, Gutmann DH. Defining the temporal course of murine neurofibromatosis-1 optic gliomagenesis reveals a therapeutic window to attenuate retinal dysfunction. Neuro Oncol 2017; 19: 808-819.
- 45) Kerrigan-Baumrind LA, Quigley HA, Pease ME, Kerrigan DF, Mitchell RS. Number of ganglion cells in glaucoma eyes compared with threshold visual field tests in the same persons. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2000; 41: 741-748.

- 46) Bajenaru ML, Hernandez MR, Perry A, Zhu Y, Parada LF, Garbow JR, Gutmann DH. Optic nerve glioma in mice requires astrocyte Nf1 gene inactivation and Nf1 brain heterozygosity. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 8573-8577.
- 47) Bollag G, Clapp DW, Shih S, Adler F, Zhang YY, Thompson P, Lange BJ, Freedman MH, McCormick F, Jacks T, Shannon K. Loss of NF1 results in activation of the Ras signaling pathway and leads to aberrant growth in haematopoietic cells. Nat Genet 1996; 12: 144-148.
- 48) Dasgupta B, Yi Y, Chen DY, Weber JD, Gutmann DH. Proteomic analysis reveals hyperactivation of the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway in neurofibromatosis 1-associated human and mouse brain tumors. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 2755-2760.
- 49) Lau N, Feldkamp MM, Roncari L, Loehr AH, Shannon P, Gutmann DH, Guha A. Loss of neurofibromin is associated with activation of RAS/ MAPK and PI3-K/AKT signaling in a neurofibromatosis 1 astrocytoma. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2000; 59: 759-767.
- 50) Lee DY, Gianino SM, Gutmann DH. Innate neural stem cell heterogeneity determines the patterning of glioma formation in children. Cancer Cell 2012; 22: 131-138.
- 51) Zhu Y, Harada T, Liu L, Lush ME, Guignard F, Harada C, Burns DK, Bajenaru ML, Gutmann DH, Parada LF. Inactivation of NF1 in CNS causes increased glial progenitor proliferation and optic glioma formation. Development 2005; 132: 5577-5588.
- 52) Ballester R, Marchuk D, Boguski M, Saulino A, Letcher R, Wigler M, Collins F. The NF1 locus encodes a protein functionally related to mammalian GAP and yeast IRA proteins. Cell 1990; 63: 851-859.
- 53) Xu GF, Lin B, Tanaka K, Dunn D, Wood D, Gesteland R, White R, Weiss R, Tamanoi F. The catalytic domain of the neurofibromatosis type 1 gene product stimulates ras GTPase and complements ira mutants of S. cerevisiae. Cell 1990; 63: 835-841.
- 54) Johnson MR, DeClue JE, Felzmann S, Vass WC, Xu G, White R, Lowy DR. Neurofibromin can inhibit Ras-dependent growth by a mechanism independent of its GTPase-accelerating function. Mol Cell Biol 1994; 14: 641-645.
- 55) Donovan S, See W, Bonifas J, Stokoe D, Shannon KM. Hyperactivation of protein kinase B and ERK have discrete effects on survival, proliferation, and cytokine expression in Nf1-deficient myeloid cells. Cancer Cell 2002; 2: 507-514.
- 56) See WL, Tan IL, Mukherjee J, Nicolaides T, Pieper RO. Sensitivity of glioblastomas to clinically available MEK inhibitors is defined by neurofibromin 1 deficiency. Cancer Res 2012; 72: 3350-3359.
- 57) Sandsmark DK, Zhang H, Hegedus B, Pelletier CL, Weber JD, Gutmann DH. Nucleophosmin

mediates mammalian target of rapamycin-dependent actin cytoskeleton dynamics and proliferation in neurofibromin-deficient astrocytes. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 4790-4799.

- 58) Chen YH, McGowan LD, Cimino PJ, Dahiya S, Leonard JR, Lee DY, Gutmann DH. Mouse lowgrade gliomas contain cancer stem cells with unique molecular and functional properties. Cell Rep 2015; 10: 1899-1912.
- 59) Freret ME, Gutmann DH. Insights into optic pathway glioma vision loss from mouse models of neurofibromatosis type 1. J Neurosci Res 2019; 97: 45-56.
- 60) Warrington NM, Gianino SM, Jackson E, Goldhoff P, Garbow JR, Piwnica-Worms D, Gutmann DH, Rubin JB. Cyclic AMP suppression is sufficient to induce gliomagenesis in a mouse model of neurofibromatosis-1. Cancer Res 2010; 70: 5717-5727.
- Brown JA, Gianino SM, Gutmann DH. Defective cAMP generation underlies the sensitivity of CNS neurons to neurofibromatosis-1 heterozygosity. J Neurosci 2010; 30: 5579-5589.
- 62) Anastasaki C, Gutmann DH. Neuronal NF1/RAS regulation of cyclic AMP requires atypical PKC activation. Hum Mol Genet 2014; 23: 6712-6721.
- 63) Scheffzek K, Ahmadian MR, Wiesmuller L, Kabsch W, Stege P, Schmitz F, Wittinghofer A. Structural analysis of the GAP-related domain from neurofibromin and its implications. EMBO J 1998; 17: 4313-4327.
- 64) Naschberger A, Baradaran R, Rupp B, Carroni M. The structure of neurofibromin isoform 2 reveals different functional states. Nature 2021; 599: 315-319.
- 65) Anastasaki C, Mo J, Chen JK, Chatterjee J, Pan Y, Scheaffer SM, Cobb O, Monje M, Le LQ, Gutmann DH. Neuronal hyperexcitability drives central and peripheral nervous system tumor progression in models of neurofibromatosis-1. Nat Commun 2022; 13: 2785.
- 66) Pan Y, Hysinger JD, Barron T, Schindler NF, Cobb O, Guo X, Yalcin B, Anastasaki C, Mulinyawe SB, Ponnuswami A, Scheaffer S, Ma Y, Chang KC, Xia X, Toonen JA, Lennon JJ, Gibson EM, Huguenard JR, Liau LM, Goldberg JL, Monje M, Gutmann DH. NF1 mutation drives neuronal activity-dependent initiation of optic glioma. Nature 2021; 594: 277-282.
- 67) Omrani A, van der Vaart T, Mientjes E, van Woerden GM, Hojjati MR, Li KW, Gutmann DH, Levelt CN, Smit AB, Silva AJ, Kushner SA, Elgersma Y. HCN channels are a novel therapeutic target for cognitive dysfunction in Neurofibromatosis type 1. Mol Psychiatry 2015; 20: 1311-1321.
- 68) Brannan CI, Perkins AS, Vogel KS, Ratner N, Nordlund ML, Reid SW, Buchberg AM, Jenkins NA, Parada LF, Copeland NG. Targeted disruption of the neurofibromatosis type-1 gene leads to developmental abnormalities in heart and various neural crest-derived tissues. Genes Dev 1994; 8: 1019-1029.

- 69) Jacks T, Shih TS, Schmitt EM, Bronson RT, Bernards A, Weinberg RA. Tumour predisposition in mice heterozygous for a targeted mutation in Nf1. Nat Genet 1994; 7: 353-361.
- 70) Zhu Y, Romero MI, Ghosh P, Ye Z, Charnay P, Rushing EJ, Marth JD, Parada LF. Ablation of NF1 function in neurons induces abnormal development of cerebral cortex and reactive gliosis in the brain. Genes Dev 2001; 15: 859-876.
- 71) Bajenaru ML, Zhu Y, Hedrick NM, Donahoe J, Parada LF, Gutmann DH. Astrocyte-specific inactivation of the neurofibromatosis 1 gene (NF1) is insufficient for astrocytoma formation. Mol Cell Biol 2002; 22: 5100-5113.
- 72) Gutmann DH, McLellan MD, Hussain I, Wallis JW, Fulton LL, Fulton RS, Magrini V, Demeter R, Wylie T, Kandoth C, Leonard JR, Guha A, Miller CA, Ding L, Mardis ER. Somatic neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) inactivation characterizes NF1-associated pilocytic astrocytoma. Genome Res 2013; 23: 431-439.
- 73) Coull JA, Beggs S, Boudreau D, Boivin D, Tsuda M, Inoue K, Gravel C, Salter MW, De Koninck Y. BDNF from microglia causes the shift in neuronal anion gradient underlying neuropathic pain. Nature 2005; 438: 1017-1021.
- 74) Elkabes S, DiCicco-Bloom EM, Black IB. Brain microglia/macrophages express neurotrophins that selectively regulate microglial proliferation and function. J Neurosci 1996; 16: 2508-2521.
- 75) Marin-Teva JL, Dusart I, Colin C, Gervais A, van Rooijen N, Mallat M. Microglia promote the death of developing Purkinje cells. Neuron 2004; 41: 535-547.
- 76) Roumier A, Bechade C, Poncer JC, Smalla KH, Tomasello E, Vivier E, Gundelfinger ED, Triller A, Bessis A. Impaired synaptic function in the microglial KARAP/DAP12-deficient mouse. J Neurosci 2004; 24: 11421-11428.
- 77) Calabrese C, Poppleton H, Kocak M, Hogg TL, Fuller C, Hamner B, Oh EY, Gaber MW, Finklestein D, Allen M, Frank A, Bayazitov IT, Zakharenko SS, Gajjar A, Davidoff A, Gilbertson RJ. A perivascular niche for brain tumor stem cells. Cancer Cell 2007; 11: 69-82.
- Hanisch UK. Microglia as a source and target of cytokines. Glia 2002; 40: 140-155.
- 79) Bajenaru ML, Garbow JR, Perry A, Hernandez MR, Gutmann DH. Natural history of neurofibromatosis 1-associated optic nerve glioma in mice. Ann Neurol 2005; 57: 119-127.
- Kostianovsky AM, Maier LM, Anderson RC, Bruce JN, Anderson DE. Astrocytic regulation of human monocytic/microglial activation. J Immunol 2008; 181: 5425-5432.
- 81) Markovic DS, Vinnakota K, Chirasani S, Synowitz M, Raguet H, Stock K, Sliwa M, Lehmann S, Kalin R, van Rooijen N, Holmbeck K, Heppner FL, Kiwit J, Matyash V, Lehnardt S, Kaminska B, Glass R, Kettenmann H. Gliomas induce and exploit mi-

croglial MT1-MMP expression for tumor expansion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009; 106: 12530-12535.

- 82) Platten M, Kretz A, Naumann U, Aulwurm S, Egashira K, Isenmann S, Weller M. Monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 increases microglial infiltration and aggressiveness of gliomas. Ann Neurol 2003; 54: 388-392.
- 83) Wesolowska A, Kwiatkowska A, Slomnicki L, Dembinski M, Master A, Sliwa M, Franciszkiewicz K, Chouaib S, Kaminska B. Microglia-derived TGF-beta as an important regulator of glioblastoma invasion--an inhibition of TGF-beta-dependent effects by shRNA against human TGF-beta type II receptor. Oncogene 2008; 27: 918-930.
- 84) Solga AC, Pong WW, Kim KY, Cimino PJ, Toonen JA, Walker J, Wylie T, Magrini V, Griffith M, Griffith OL, Ly A, Ellisman MH, Mardis ER, Gutmann DH. RNA Sequencing of Tumor-Associated Microglia Reveals Ccl5 as a Stromal Chemokine Critical for Neurofibromatosis-1 Glioma Growth. Neoplasia 2015; 17: 776-788.
- 85) Warrington NM, Woerner BM, Daginakatte GC, Dasgupta B, Perry A, Gutmann DH, Rubin JB. Spatiotemporal differences in CXCL12 expression and cyclic AMP underlie the unique pattern of optic glioma growth in neurofibromatosis type 1. Cancer Res 2007; 67: 8588-8595.
- 86) Toonen JA, Solga AC, Ma Y, Gutmann DH. Estrogen activation of microglia underlies the sexually dimorphic differences in Nf1 optic glioma-induced retinal pathology. J Exp Med 2017; 214: 17-25.
- 87) Venkatesh HS, Tam LT, Woo PJ, Lennon J, Nagaraja S, Gillespie SM, Ni J, Duveau DY, Morris PJ, Zhao JJ, Thomas CJ, Monje M. Targeting neuronal activity-regulated neuroligin-3 dependency in high-grade glioma. Nature 2017; 549: 533-537.
- 88) Venkatesh HS, Johung TB, Caretti V, Noll A, Tang Y, Nagaraja S, Gibson EM, Mount CW, Polepalli J, Mitra SS, Woo PJ, Malenka RC, Vogel H, Bredel M, Mallick P, Monje M. Neuronal Activity Promotes Glioma Growth through Neuroligin-3 Secretion. Cell 2015; 161: 803-816.
- 89) Venkatesh HS, Morishita W, Geraghty AC, Silverbush D, Gillespie SM, Arzt M, Tam LT, Espenel C, Ponnuswami A, Ni L, Woo PJ, Taylor KR, Agarwal A, Regev A, Brang D, Vogel H, Hervey-Jumper S, Bergles DE, Suva ML, Malenka RC, Monje M. Electrical and synaptic integration of glioma into neural circuits. Nature 2019; 573: 539-545.
- 90) Koczkowska M, Chen Y, Callens T, Gomes A, Sharp A, Johnson S, Hsiao MC, Chen Z, Balasubramanian M, Barnett CP, Becker TA, Ben-Shachar S, Bertola DR, Blakeley JO, Burkitt-Wright EMM, Callaway A, Crenshaw M, Cunha KS, Cunningham M, D'Agostino MD, Dahan K, De Luca A, Destree A, Dhamija R, Eoli M, Evans DGR, Galvin-Parton P, George-Abraham JK, Gripp KW, Guevara-Campos J, Hanchard NA, Hernandez-Chico C, Immken L, Janssens S, Jones KJ, Keena BA, Kochhar A, Liebelt J, Mar-

tir-Negron A, Mahoney MJ, Maystadt I, McDougall C, McEntagart M, Mendelsohn N, Miller DT, Mortier G, Morton J, Pappas J, Plotkin SR, Pond D, Rosenbaum K, Rubin K, Russell L, Rutledge LS, Saletti V, Schonberg R, Schreiber A, Seidel M, Siqveland E, Stockton DW, Trevisson E, Ullrich NJ, Upadhyaya M, van Minkelen R, Verhelst H, Wallace MR, Yap YS, Zackai E, Zonana J, Zurcher V, Claes K, Martin Y, Korf BR, Legius E, Messiaen LM. Genotype-Phenotype Correlation in NF1: Evidence for a More Severe Phenotype Associated with Missense Mutations Affecting NF1 Codons 844-848. Am J Hum Genet 2018; 102: 69-87.

- 91) Pinna V, Lanari V, Daniele P, Consoli F, Agolini E, Margiotti K, Bottillo I, Torrente I, Bruselles A, Fusilli C, Ficcadenti A, Bargiacchi S, Trevisson E, Forzan M, Giustini S, Leoni C, Zampino G, Digilio MC, Dallapiccola B, Clementi M, Tartaglia M, De Luca A. p.Arg1809Cys substitution in neurofibromin is associated with a distinctive NF1 phenotype without neurofibromas. Eur J Hum Genet 2015; 23: 1068-1071.
- 92) Anastasaki C, Wegscheid ML, Hartigan K, Papke JB, Kopp ND, Chen J, Cobb O, Dougherty JD, Gutmann DH. Human iPSC-Derived Neurons and Cerebral Organoids Establish Differential Effects of Germline NF1 Gene Mutations. Stem Cell Reports 2020; 14: 541-550.
- 93) Guo X, Pan Y, Xiong M, Sanapala S, Anastasaki C, Cobb O, Dahiya S, Gutmann DH. Midkine activation of CD8(+) T cells establishes a neuron-immune-cancer axis responsible for low-grade glioma growth. Nat Commun 2020; 11: 2177.
- 94) Anastasaki C, Woo AS, Messiaen LM, Gutmann DH. Elucidating the impact of neurofibromatosis-1 germline mutations on neurofibromin function and dopamine-based learning. Hum Mol Genet 2015; 24: 3518-3528.
- 95) Hoffmeyer S, Assum G, Griesser J, Kaufmann D, Nurnberg P, Krone W. On unequal allelic expression of the neurofibromin gene in neurofibromatosis type 1. Hum Mol Genet 1995; 4: 1267-1272.
- 96) Sharif S, Upadhyaya M, Ferner R, Majounie E, Shenton A, Baser M, Thakker N, Evans DG. A molecular analysis of individuals with neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) and optic pathway gliomas (OPGs), and an assessment of genotype-phenotype correlations. J Med Genet 2011; 48: 256-260.
- 97) Toonen JA, Anastasaki C, Smithson LJ, Gianino SM, Li K, Kesterson RA, Gutmann DH. NF1 germline mutation differentially dictates optic glioma formation and growth in neurofibromatosis-1. Hum Mol Genet 2016; 25: 1703-1713.
- 98) Reilly KM, Loisel DA, Bronson RT, McLaughlin ME, Jacks T. Nf1;Trp53 mutant mice develop glioblastoma with evidence of strain-specific effects. Nat Genet 2000; 26: 109-113.
- 99) Rubin JB. Intersections at the crossroads: Neurofibromatosis type 1, cAMP, sex, and glioma risk. Mol Cell Oncol 2016; 3: e1069917.

- 100) Rodriguez FJ, Ligon AH, Horkayne-Szakaly I, Rushing EJ, Ligon KL, Vena N, Garcia DI, Cameron JD, Eberhart CG. BRAF duplications and MAPK pathway activation are frequent in gliomas of the optic nerve proper. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2012; 71: 789-794.
- 101) Hegedus B, Banerjee D, Yeh TH, Rothermich S, Perry A, Rubin JB, Garbow JR, Gutmann DH. Preclinical cancer therapy in a mouse model of neurofibromatosis-1 optic glioma. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 1520-1528.
- 102) Kim HA, Ling B, Ratner N. Nf1-deficient mouse Schwann cells are angiogenic and invasive and can be induced to hyperproliferate: reversion of some phenotypes by an inhibitor of farnesyl protein transferase. Mol Cell Biol 1997; 17: 862-872.
- 103) Packer RJ, Gutmann DH, Rubenstein A, Viskochil D, Zimmerman RA, Vezina G, Small J, Korf B. Plexiform neurofibromas in NF1: toward biologic-based therapy. Neurology 2002; 58: 1461-1470.
- 104) Kaul A, Toonen JA, Cimino PJ, Gianino SM, Gutmann DH. Akt- or MEK-mediated mTOR inhibition suppresses Nf1 optic glioma growth. Neuro Oncol 2015; 17: 843-853.
- 105) Yalon M, Rood B, MacDonald TJ, McCowage G, Kane R, Constantini S, Packer RJ. A feasibility and efficacy study of rapamycin and erlotinib for recurrent pediatric low-grade glioma (LGG). Pediatr Blood Cancer 2013; 60: 71-76.
- 106) Gronych J, Korshunov A, Bageritz J, Milde T, Jugold M, Hambardzumyan D, Remke M, Hartmann C, Witt H, Jones DT, Witt O, Heiland S, Bendszus M, Holland EC, Pfister S, Lichter P. An activated mutant BRAF kinase domain is sufficient to induce pilocytic astrocytoma in mice. J Clin Invest 2011; 121: 1344-1348.
- 107) Karajannis MA, Legault G, Fisher MJ, Milla SS, Cohen KJ, Wisoff JH, Harter DH, Goldberg JD, Hochman T, Merkelson A, Bloom MC, Sievert AJ, Resnick AC, Dhall G, Jones DT, Korshunov A, Pfister SM, Eberhart CG, Zagzag D, Allen JC. Phase II study of sorafenib in children with recurrent or progressive low-grade astrocytomas. Neuro Oncol 2014; 16: 1408-1416.
- 108) Banerjee A, Jakacki RI, Onar-Thomas A, Wu S, Nicolaides T, Young Poussaint T, Fangusaro J, Phillips J, Perry A, Turner D, Prados M, Packer RJ, Qaddoumi I, Gururangan S, Pollack IF, Goldman S, Doyle LA, Stewart CF, Boyett JM, Kun LE, Fouladi M. A phase I trial of the MEK inhibitor selumetinib (AZD6244) in pediatric patients with recurrent or refractory low-grade glioma: a Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium (PBTC) study. Neuro Oncol 2017; 19: 1135-1144.
- 109) Fangusaro J, Onar-Thomas A, Young Poussaint T, Wu S, Ligon AH, Lindeman N, Banerjee A, Packer RJ, Kilburn LB, Goldman S, Pollack IF, Qaddoumi I, Jakacki RI, Fisher PG, Dhall G, Baxter P, Kreissman SG, Stewart CF, Jones DTW, Pfister SM, Vezina G, Stern JS, Panigrahy A, Patay Z, Tamrazi B, Jones JY, Hague SS, En-

terline DS, Cha S, Fisher MJ, Doyle LA, Smith M, Dunkel IJ, Fouladi M. Selumetinib in paediatric patients with BRAF-aberrant or neurofibromatosis type 1-associated recurrent, refractory, or progressive low-grade glioma: a multicentre, phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol 2019; 20: 1011-1022.

- 110) Ullrich NJ, Prabhu SP, Reddy AT, Fisher MJ, Packer R, Goldman S, Robison NJ, Gutmann DH, Viskochil DH, Allen JC, Korf B, Cantor A, Cutter G, Thomas C, Perentesis JP, Mizuno T, Vinks AA, Manley PE, Chi SN, Kieran MW. A phase II study of continuous oral mTOR inhibitor everolimus for recurrent, radiographic-progressive neurofibromatosis type 1-associated pediatric low-grade glioma: a Neurofibromatosis Clinical Trials Consortium study. Neuro Oncol 2020; 22: 1527-1535.
- 111) Daginakatte GC, Gianino SM, Zhao NW, Parsadanian AS, Gutmann DH. Increased c-Jun-NH2-kinase signaling in neurofibromatosis-1 heterozygous microglia drives microglia activation and promotes optic glioma proliferation. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 10358-10366.
- 112) Daginakatte GC, Gutmann DH. Neurofibromatosis-1 (Nf1) heterozygous brain microglia elaborate paracrine factors that promote Nf1-deficient astrocyte and glioma growth. Hum Mol Genet 2007; 16: 1098-1112.
- 113) Pong WW, Higer SB, Gianino SM, Emnett RJ, Gutmann DH. Reduced microglial CX3CR1 expression delays neurofibromatosis-1 glioma formation. Ann Neurol 2013; 73: 303-308.
- 114) Simmons GW, Pong WW, Emnett RJ, White CR, Gianino SM, Rodriguez FJ, Gutmann DH. Neurofibromatosis-1 heterozygosity increases microglia in a spatially and temporally restricted pattern relevant to mouse optic glioma formation and growth. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2011; 70: 51-62.
- 115) Sikkema AH, de Bont ES, Molema G, Dimberg A, Zwiers PJ, Diks SH, Hoving EW, Kamps WA, Peppelenbosch MP, den Dunnen WF. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR-2) signalling activity in paediatric pilocytic astrocytoma is restricted to tumour endothelial cells. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2011; 37: 538-548.
- 116) Bokstein F, Shpigel S, Blumenthal DT. Treatment with bevacizumab and irinotecan for recurrent high-grade glial tumors. Cancer 2008; 112: 2267-2273.
- 117) Vredenburgh JJ, Desjardins A, Herndon JE, 2nd, Dowell JM, Reardon DA, Quinn JA, Rich JN, Sathornsumetee S, Gururangan S, Wagner M, Bigner DD, Friedman AH, Friedman HS. Phase II trial of bevacizumab and irinotecan in recurrent malignant glioma. Clin Cancer Res 2007; 13: 1253-1259.
- 118) Vredenburgh JJ, Desjardins A, Herndon JE, 2nd, Marcello J, Reardon DA, Quinn JA, Rich JN, Sathornsumetee S, Gururangan S, Sampson J, Wagner M, Bailey L, Bigner DD, Friedman AH, Friedman HS. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan

in recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 4722-4729.

- 119) Packer RJ, Jakacki R, Horn M, Rood B, Vezina G, MacDonald T, Fisher MJ, Cohen B. Objective response of multiply recurrent low-grade gliomas to bevacizumab and irinotecan. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2009; 52: 791-795.
- 120) Avery RA, Hwang EI, Jakacki RI, Packer RJ. Marked recovery of vision in children with optic pathway gliomas treated with bevacizumab. JA-MA Ophthalmol 2014; 132: 111-114.
- 121) Levi-Montalcini R. The nerve growth factor 35 years later. Science 1987; 237: 1154-1162.
- 122) Levi-Montalcini R, Skaper SD, Dal Toso R, Petrelli L, Leon A. Nerve growth factor: from neurotrophin to neurokine. Trends Neurosci 1996; 19: 514-520.
- 123) Mitra S, Behbahani H, Eriksdotter M. Innovative Therapy for Alzheimer's Disease-With Focus on Biodelivery of NGF. Front Neurosci 2019; 13: 38.
- 124) Apfel SC, Kessler JA, Adornato BT, Litchy WJ, Sanders C, Rask CA. Recombinant human nerve growth factor in the treatment of diabetic polyneuropathy. NGF Study Group. Neurology 1998; 51: 695-702.
- 125) McArthur JC, Yiannoutsos C, Simpson DM, Adornato BT, Singer EJ, Hollander H, Marra C, Rubin M, Cohen BA, Tucker T, Navia BA, Schifitto G, Katzenstein D, Rask C, Zaborski L, Smith

ME, Shriver S, Millar L, Clifford DB, Karalnik IJ. A phase II trial of nerve growth factor for sensory neuropathy associated with HIV infection. AIDS Clinical Trials Group Team 291. Neurology 2000; 54: 1080-1088.

- 126) Capsoni S, Covaceuszach S, Ugolini G, Spirito F, Vignone D, Stefanini B, Amato G, Cattaneo A. Delivery of NGF to the brain: intranasal versus ocular administration in anti-NGF transgenic mice. J Alzheimers Dis 2009; 16: 371-388.
- 127) Lambiase A, Tirassa P, Micera A, Aloe L, Bonini S. Pharmacokinetics of conjunctivally applied nerve growth factor in the retina and optic nerve of adult rats. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005; 46: 3800-3806.
- 128) Falsini B, Chiaretti A, Barone G, Piccardi M, Pierri F, Colosimo C, Lazzareschi I, Ruggiero A, Parisi V, Fadda A, Balestrazzi E, Riccardi R. Topical nerve growth factor as a visual rescue strategy in pediatric optic gliomas: a pilot study including electrophysiology. Neurorehabil Neural Repair 2011; 25: 512-20.
- 129) Falsini B, Chiaretti A, Rizzo D, Piccardi M, Ruggiero A, Manni L, Soligo M, Dickmann A, Federici M, Salerni A, Timelli L, Guglielmi G, Lazzareschi I, Caldarelli M, Galli-Resta L, Colosimo C, Riccardi R. Nerve growth factor improves visual loss in childhood optic gliomas: a randomized, double-blind, phase II clinical trial. Brain 2016; 139: 404-414.