# The efficacy and safety of a single dose of Polyhexamethylene Biguanide gynaecologic solution versus a seven-dose regimen of vaginal clindamycin cream in patients with bacterial vaginosis

M. MINOZZI , S. GERLI\*, G.C. DI RENZO\*, E. PAPALEO§, A. FARIS

Institute of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University La Sapienza, Rome (Italy, \*Centre of Reproductive and Perinatal Medicine, Department of Gynecologic Stetrical Pediatric Sciences, Policlinico Monteluce, University of Perugia (Italy) § Vita-Salute University, H S Raffaele, Milan (Italy)

Abstract. – Objective: At the present the clinical treatment of choice of bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the use of systemic or local metronidazole or clindamycin. Aim of the study was to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of a dose of gynaecologic solution, Polyhexa ene Biguanide (PHMB), Monogin®, in the ment of BV in comparison to a 7-days treat with clindamycin vaginal cream.

Study Design: This multicer and omize single-blind, parallel-group and liled 74 patients with BV infections

Treatment consisted e intravaginal dose of PHMBoor damycin. Efficacy a safety 30 days after the t of treatn he efficacy igator Cure endpoints were ical Cure **4 llk** el's criteria (a composite d investigator Cure Nugent (Nugent score < 4). and the utic cure (a posite of clinical Nugent Cure). Re. ion of individual cure a s criteria was also e aluated. Any ad-Ams e treatment has been monitored vei ent o throu study.

Results of significant differences has been reduced as between the PHMB and composite the period of the period of

ety-five percent confidence intervals for each endpoint were consistent with equivalence between the 2 products. There was no significant difference between the treatment groups in the incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events (*P* = 0.386). conclusions: single dose, of PHMB gynae-claric solutions and efficient to a 7-dose regimen of Clindam, considered to a 7-dose regimen of Clindam, considered to be higher for the single-dose and with PHMB than with 7-days treatment damycin.

Key Words:

Bacterial vaginosis, Vaginitis, Clindamycin vaginal cream, PHMB.

# Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is currently the most prevalent cause of infectious vaginitis among women attending for genitourinary diseases<sup>1</sup>. BV has a complex microbiology. Lactobacillus populations, which are usually dominant in healthy women, are replaced by a polymicrobial group of organisms that includes Gardner vaginalis, anaerobic Gram-negative rods such as Prevotella species, Peptostreptococcus species, Mycoplasma hominis, Ureaplasma urealyticum, and often *Mobiluncus* species. Anaerobic bacteria produce enzymes, aminopeptidases, that degrade protein and decarboxylases that convert amino acids and other compounds to amines. These amines contribute to the signs and symptoms associated with the syndrome, raising the vaginal pH and producing a discharge odor. The excessive amounts of bacteria characteristic of the syndrome attach to epithelial cell surfaces, resulting in "clue cell". Nearly half the patients report no noticeable symptoms, but many develop a characteristic copious, malodorous discharge if untreated. Results from epidemiologic studies have associated BV with serious upper genital tract infections and adverse pregnancy outcome<sup>2,3</sup>.

Oral or intravaginal therapy with clindamycin is one of the recommended treatments for BV in nonpregnant women. Both systemic and local administration report similar effectiveness, but local treatment is preferred due to lower side-effects associated with oral antibiotic therapy such as nausea, vomiting, and taste perversion<sup>4,5</sup>. In addition, patients treated with intravaginal therapies report increased compliance to the treatment compared with those treated with oral therapies<sup>6</sup>. Regarding intravaginal treatment of BV, most of the therapies need a daily treatment for multiple days, that resuting in a low compliance and satisfaction of the patient<sup>7</sup>. Thus, an effective singledose vaginal treatment for BV might be beneficial to women from a number of different of view.

Since 1956, Rose et al. demonstrate biguanide have antimicrobial activity. The common biguanide widely used is chlorhexid because of its broad spectrup and l toxicity. Further studies d that th nstra biguani longer is the chain of the the more bacteriostatic is the produ drugs have been de ene biguanide (P (B) that r d in being more effective rated than exidine. of PHMB A ophthal-Moreover, the finica mology a dentistry b t more data on the effectiv ss and tolerabili the drug in medical

gy cologic solution is a single-dose intraverse arrapy focuse in the treatment of PHn a part ed, single-dose, isotonic, I solution

en the a color of PHMB to adhere to vaging a pritelium for a prolonged period of time, size that a single dose of PHMB ould be equivalent to a 7-day course of a contional clindamycin phosphate intravaginal color. Thus, the purpose of the current study was to determine whether a single dose of PHMB is equivalent in safety and efficacy to a 7-day regimen of vaginal cream Clindamycin in the treatment of BV.

# Material and methods

The study was designed in accordance with the guidelines for developing effective treatments for BV<sup>2</sup>. This was a multicenter, single (incording gator)-blind, active-controlled study. A provided signed informed consents for any study-related procedure was performed.

Eligible patients were nonpregna men at least 18 years of age with a is of ical d BV, which was defined as eting all of , off-white [min criteria<sup>9</sup> (> 20% clue gray, thin, homoge d dischar vag vaginal pH > 4.5fish odor w n the 1 flui addition of 102 OH to ere pregtest]). Patient e excluded exually tra ntted infecnant or nu tions, had vulvovag nfections other than BV, had 2 aginal or cal abnormalities or di aers, were actively nstruating; had reed antifungal or antimicrobial treatment in 14 days 🕻 e study; were using intrautervices (IUI in were taking anticoagulants, lith disulfi l, or neuromuscular blocking agents hypersensitive to clindamycin, recompcin, or to any excipient in the drug for-

of 2 treatment arms PHMB (Monogin® – LoLi. Pharma S.r.l., Rome, Italy) or clindamycin cream in a single (investigator)-blind fashion according to a computer-generated randomization schedule. Patients were instructed in the appropriate study medication administration techniques, which were to be performed or started within 48 hours after leaving the clinic.

PHMB consisted of 0.10 PHMB formulated in 100 ml of the vaginal gel solution. Monogin® was self-administered by patients in a single dose. Clindamycin vaginal cream consisted of 2% clindamycin phosphate, but formulated in 5 g of a conventional vaginal cream. Clindamycin was self-administered by the patient once daily for 7 consecutive days.

Treatment effectiveness was evaluated and compared at a Test-Of-Cure (TOC) visit 21-30 days following the start of treatment, using several clinical and microbiologic indices. Investigator Cure was based on the Investigator's response (Yes/No) to a question at the TOC visit regarding the need for additional BV treatment. Clinical Cure was a composite endpoint, including resolution of all 4 Amsel's criteria and Investigator Cure. Nugent Cure was defined as a Gram stain

Nugent score<sup>10</sup> < 4 (on a 10-point scale). Therapeutic Cure was a composite endpoint defined as both Clinical Cure and Nugent Cure. In addition, the 4 Amsel's criteria were each evaluated individually. The TOC visit was selected to demonstrate both status and duration of outcome. The per-protocol (PP) population was selected for the efficacy analyses in accordance with demonstration of equivalence between treatments.

The safety of the 2 treatments was evaluated by monitoring treatment-emergent adverse events (AEs) throughout the study.

# Statistical Methods

Efficacy endpoints were analyzed using the center-stratified Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) estimate of the difference in cure rates and corresponding confidence interval<sup>11</sup>. PHMB (Monogin®) was to be considered equivalent to Clindamycin if the 2-sided 95% confidence interval (CI) for the difference in cure rates (PHMB minus Clindamycin) had a lower limit greater than -20% and an upper limit less than +20%. Efficacy analyses were performed using a PP population, which included patients who a istered study medication, had baseline scores > 4, had assessment results at the visit or discontinued participation in the prior to the TOC visit due to lack of efficacy, no antimicrobial therapy for ns oth than BV during the study, ed su medica ry visit tion within 48 hours of th d had no major violations of the s rot tients who administ medication were ded in the analyses.

# Resul

dents enrolled in the study (371 in the (Monogham) group and 369 in the ndam group 47 (46.9%) were conside PP population (175 in the d 172 in the Clindamycin Of the 393 patients who were not evalu-P population, 126 had baseline Nuent scores < 4, 88 participated in the study for than 21 days but were not treatment failures, 1 did not start study medication within 48 hours of the Entry Visit. These 3 reasons account for approximately 75% of the patients who were not evaluable in the PP population. The percentages of patients with each primary reason for non-evaluability were similar between the treatment groups. A complete listing of the primary reasons for non-evaluability in the PP population by treatment group is in Table I.

Cure of BV was evaluated by a number of Ifferent measures. Frequencies of Invalous Cure, Clinical Cure, Nugent Cure, are incrapeutic Cure are presented in Table II.

The Investigator Cure represents vestigator's assessment of the need addin herapy for BV at the TOC . Investiga (no additional therap equired for BV B pa achieved in 89.1% of as and 86.4 OC visi of Clindamycin p There iffere were no statisti in Insignific nt groups vestigator C tes between % CIs for  $(P \sim 0.702)$ differences were consistent wit vivalence with regard to atment of BV as asthe p or addition a by the Investigator

linical Cure, which represents both alleviation of BV sign and symptoms and alleviation of a need for Iditional BV therapy at the TO sit, was chieved in 64.3% of PHMB patients. 2% of Clindamycin patients. There were no statistically significant difference Clinical Cure rates between treatment P = 0.945).

Similar cure rates were also observed in the 2 treatment groups when less stringent criteria were used to define cure. If 3 of the 4 Amsel's criteria are used to define cure, 87.5% of PHMB patients and 83.2% of Clindamycin patients are cured (P = 0.399). When 2 of the 4 Amsel's criteria are used to define cure, cure rates increase to 90.6% of PHMB patients and 91.2% of Clindamycin patients (P = 0.792). There were no statistically significant differences in cure rates between treatment groups and the 95% CIs for each differences were consistent with equivalence in the alleviation of BV signs using both 2 and 3 of the 4 Amsel's criteria. In addition, there were no statistically significant differences in resolution of Amsel's criteria between the treatment groups when the criteria for clue cells (< 20%) and a negative "whiff" test were evaluated together (P = 0.965) or when the criteria for clue cells, "whiff" test, and vaginal pH (< 4.7) were evaluated together (P = 0.539). The cure rates associated with each Amsel's criterion and selected groups of criteria are presented in Table III.

Spiegel et al.<sup>9</sup> defined a scoring system to measure microbiologically BV, based on some of the bacterial cell types that can be seen in Gram

**Table I.** Primary reasons for non-evaluability in the per-protocol population by treatment group.

	Monogin	® (N = 371)‡	1) <sup>‡</sup> Clindamycin (N = 369) <sup>‡</sup>		Overall† (N = 740)‡		
Reason	n <sup>§</sup>	(%) <sup>§</sup>	n§	(%) <sup>§</sup>	nş	(%) <sup>§</sup>	
Did not take study medication	11	(3.0)	6	(1.5)	17	(2.12)	
Did not take Clindamycin on consecutive days	3		25	(6.7)	25	(3.3)	
Baseline Nugent score < 4	57	(15.5)	69	(18.6)	12	(17.0)	
Did not take study medication with 48 hours of the entry visit	46	(12.5)	25	(6.7)	71	(9.6)	
Participated in the study for < 21 days without treatment failure	51	(13.7)	37	(10.0)	88		
Received antimicrobial therapy for reasons other than bacterial vaginosis	10	(2.6)	19	(5.2)		(3.5)	
Used other intravaginal products or had intercourse within 7 days of start of treatment	15	(4.1)	12	3.3)		(3.7)	
Did not meet all inclusion and exclusion criteria	4	(1.1)	4		8	(1.1)	
Participated in the study for > 40 days	1	(0.4)		(0.4)	2	(0.4)	
Total patients not evaluable	196	(52.8)	197	(53.5)	393	(53.1)	
Total patients evaluable	175	(47.2)	72	(.5)	347	(46.9)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>"Overall" refers to the total number of patients enrolled; <sup>‡</sup>Denotes to the unber of reachts enrolled by and across treatment group; <sup>§</sup>n and % denote the number and percentage of chable and none and service that the property of the patients of the p

stained smears of vaginal secretion. This was er refined by Nugent et al. 10, who provide scoring system that evaluate transpose vaginal microflora, from the transpose dition to bacterial vaginosis status.

Nugent Cure (Nugent s in 56.5% of PHMB *l*ents damycin patients e TOC vis re were no statistically si differenc Nugent  $(P \sim 0.788)$ ent groups Cure rates be een and the 92 CIs for the rences were consisquivalence in N tent wi Cure rates at the TOC

Clinical Cure and Nugent Cure at the TOC visit. Analysis results demonstrate that 72 (42.1%) patients in the PHMB group achieved Therapeutic Cure compared with 78 (45.6%) patients in the Clindamycin group. Therapeutic Cure results indicate that a single dose of PHMB is statistically equivalent in effectiveness to 7 daily doses of Clindamycin in the treatment of BV (P = 0.572).

Among the 355 patients in the PHMB group and the 361 patients in the Clindamycin group that received at least I dose of study medication, 108 Monogin®-treated patients (30.4%) and 97

II. Efficiency at: the Test-of-Cure Visit (number and % of evaluable patients cured).

	Monogin <sup>®</sup>			Clindamycin			Tre	Treatment differences§		
nt	N⁺	n	<i>(%)</i> ‡	<b>N</b> <sup>†</sup>	n	<i>[%]</i> ‡	%	95% CI	P-value	
estigator Cure	175	156	(89.1)	172	149	(86.4)	2.7	[-5.4, 10.7]	0.702	
al Cure1	170	109	(64.3)	172	109	(63.2)	1.1	[-10.8, 13.0]	0.945	
Nagent score < 4 Therapeutic Cure1	169	95	(56.5)	169	98	(57.7)	-1.3	[-13.6, 11.1]	0.788	
	170	72	(42.1)	172	78	(45.6)	-3.5	[-15.8, 8.7]	0.572	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>"Overall" refers to the total number of patients enrolled; <sup>‡</sup>Denotes total number of patients enrolled by and across treatment group; <sup>§</sup>n and % denote the number and percentage of evaluable and nonevaluable patients by reason for each treatment group.

Table III. Number and percent of patients with resolution of individual Amsel's criteria at the Test-of-Cure Visit.

	Monogin <sup>®</sup>			Clindamycin			Treatment differences§		
Endpoint	<b>N</b> <sup>†</sup>	n	<i>(%)</i> ‡	N <sup>†</sup>	n	[%] <sup>‡</sup>		95% CI	P-value
3 of 4 Amsel's criteria resolved	175	153	(87.5)	170	141	(83.2)	4.3	[-4.4, 13.0]	עציי
2 of 4 Amsel's criteria resolved	175	159	(90.61	170	155	(91.2)	-0.6	[-7.7,	0.792
< 20% Clue cells	175	153	(87.5)	170	143	(84,0)	3.5	[-5.1, 12.	480
Negative "whiff" test	175	159	(90.6)	170	160	(94.4)	-3.8	[0.2, 2.7]	25
Normal discharge	175	148	(84.4)	170	147	(86.4)	-2.0	_10.7, 6.7]	
pH < 4.7	173	128	(74.0)	165	118	(71,3)	2	[-8.4, 13.8]	0.0
Clue cells + "whiff" test	175	148	(84.4)	170	143	(84.0)		[-8.6]	0.965
Clue cells 4– "whiff" test + pH	173	119	(68.5)	165	107	(64.8)	3.	15.5]	0.539

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>"Overall" refers to the total number of patients enrolled; <sup>‡</sup>Denotes total number of the total number of patients enrolled by the session of the session

Clindamycin-treated patients (26.8%) had a total of 115 and 97 treatment-emergent AEs, respectively, during the study. The most commonly reported AEs are shown in Table IV. Thirty-seven patients (10.3%) in the PHMB group report study medication-related AEs compared patients (7.9%) in the Clindamycin grou reported 22 study medication-related AEs number of patients who reported AEs and st medication-related AEs were cally c ferent between the 2 treatme group = 0.38medicafor overall AEs and P =6 for stu

-related AEs). Overall, among the 716 pastudy medication, 6 (0.9%) s who rece discontinu from the study due to AEs. %) PH Fot 3-treated patients were disconginal Yeast Infection, Candidiatinuea and Allergic Reaction, to Study Drug. Three (0.8%) in the Clindamycin group were nued due to Vaginal Yeast Infections. There was 1 serious AE (cellulitis) reported by a patient receiving Clindamycin during the study. The event was judged to be unrelated to the study medication by the investigator.

**Table IV.** Most commor eported adverted intent-to-treat population).

	Monogin	= 355)§	Clindamyci	in (N = 361)§	Treatment difference‡		
Endrant	n	(%)§	n	[%] <sup>§</sup>	95% CI	P-vaiue <sup>1</sup>	
Infectors and infestations Understand the traction of the trac	51	(0.8) (14.4)	4 37	(1.1) (10.2)	[-2.0, 1.3] [1.3, 9.9]	1.000 0.146	
Nerve a disorder	5	(1.5)	5	(1.5)	[-2.1, 2.1]	1.000	
perinatal ons F hancy tive system and	4	(1.1)	1	(0.4)	[-0.7, 2.2]	0.371	
Vaginal discharge vinal hemorrhage vaginal pruritus	3 0 15	(0.8) (0.0) (4.2)	4 4 11	(1.1) (1.1) (3.0)	[2.0, 1.3] [2.4, 0.1] [-2.0, 4.3]	1.000 0.248 0.494	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Reported by > 1% of patients in any treatment group; <sup>§</sup>Monogin<sup>®</sup> minus Clindamycin cure rates; <sup>§</sup>N Denotes the number of patients with non-missing data in the PP population for each treatment group, n and % denote the frequency of patients cured in the PP population within each endpoint: for each treatment group<sup>1</sup> P-value was derived using FET to determine if rates of events differed between treatment groups.

# Discussion

As we hypothesized, and confirmed in the results of our previous pilot study<sup>12</sup>, analyses of interpretive, symptomatic, and diagnostic efficacy variables revealed no statistically significant differences between use of PHMB (Monogin®) chloride, administered in a single-dose gyneacologic solution, and in a standard 7-day vaginal cream (Clindamycin).

Investigator cure is an interpretive measure representing the requirement for additional therapy for BV in the medical opinion of the clinical investigator. Clinical Cure is a conservative symptomatic measure representing resolution of all 4 of the Amsel's criteria as well as a favorable assessment of cure by the investigator. The Nugent score represents a diagnostic evaluation of BV that is not often used in clinical practice. Therapeutic Cure, which requires both clinical cure and Nugent Cure, represents a very conservative composite outcome combining symptomatic, interpretive, and diagnostic measures of BV that has not previously been used as an endpoint. The definition Clinical Cure and Therapeutic Cure us are considerably more conservative than itions used in previous studies. Although more conservative criteria for cure are com ant with the 1998 CDER guid the ev uation of BV in clinical es, ti may re sult in lower cure rates reporte In previous studies.

e 4 A Resolution of 3 be more represent e of the ev n of BV in clinical practig endpoint rates using were compara le bel the PHML and Clinaps. A study luating a 7-day dosdamycin en with Clinda ing re in and a 7-day, twic aly dosing regimen with 0.75% metronel defined BV cure as resolution ida agin in this r and rearted 86.2% and 75.0% ycin and 0.75% metron-y<sup>5</sup>, Although there may be Clind rate le, re between these studies (e.g., differen populations, inclusion criteria, patient the cure rates observed were comrable to the 87.5% and 83.2% rates observed the same definition of cure with PHMB lindamycin, respectively, in this study. Previous clinical studies performed with Clindamycin defined BV cure as resolution of 2 of Amsel's criteria (the "whiff" test and clue cell criteria) and reported a cure rate of 86% for a 7day dosing regimen. This is comparable to the 84.4% and 84.0% cure rates observed using these 2 criteria with PHMB and Clindamycin, respectively, in this study.

In the study reported here, there were perinificant differences in the incidence of tween the treatment groups, and AF commonly associated with oral therapy (e.g. usea, taste perversion) were reported in less the of the patients in either treatment group.

## Carcle

le-dose re, PHMB Overall, (Monogin arable with vn to be co vas. respect to both e y and safety to Clindamy leocin<sup>®</sup>). 1 **B**, however, provides aren, efficacy and ly in a single dose, pared with a 7-dose regimen of Clindamycin. s been sho that reducing dose frequency in ses the pa nt's compliance with treatmel mptom ntrol, satisfaction with treatof life in a number of disease ment, etes<sup>7</sup>. PHMB offers these advantages, and represents an important therapeutic adthe treatment of BV.

### References

- SOBEL ID. Vaginitis. N Engl J Med 1997; 337: 1896-1903.
- GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY: BACTERIAL VAGINOSIS—DEVEL-OPING ANTIMICROBIAL DRUGS FOR TREATMENT. Draft guidance. US Dept of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), July; 1998
- 1998 Guidelines for Treatment of Sexually Transmitted Diseases. US Dept. of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations and Repons 1998; 47(RR-I): I-i 18.
- PAAVONEN J, MANGIONI C, MARTIN MA, WAJSZCZUK CP. Vaginal clindamycin and oral metronidazole for bacterial vaginosis; a randomized trial. Obstet Gynecol 2000; 96: 256-260.
- FISCHBACH F, PETERSEN EE, WEISSENBACBER ER, MARTI US J, HOSMANN J, MAYER II. Efficacy of clindamycin vaginal cream versus oral metronidazole in the treatment of bacterial vaginosis. Obstet Gynecol 1993: 82: 405-410.

- 6) FERRIS DG, LITAKER MS, WOODWARD L, MATHIS D, HENDRICH J. Treatment of bacterial vaginosis: a comparison of oral metronidazole, metronidazole vaginal gel, and clindamycin vaginal cream. J Fam Pract 1995; 41: 443-449.
- RICHTER A, ANTON SE, KOCH P, DENNETT SL. The impact of reducing dose frequency on health outcomes. Clin Ther 2003; 25: 2307-2335.
- Weinstein L, Henzel MR, Tsina IW. Vaginal retention of 2% butoconazole nitrate cream: comparison of a standard and a sustained-release preparation. Clin Ther 1994; 16: 930-934
- 9) AMSEL R, TOTTEN PA, SPIEGEL CA, CHEN KCS, ESCHEN-BACB D, HOLMES KK. Nonspecific vaginitis: diag-

- nostic criteria and microbial and epidemiologic associations. Am J Med 1983; 74: 14-22.
- NUGENT RP, KROHN MA, HILLER SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. J Clin Microbiol 1991; 29: 297-301.
- 11) KOCH GG, CARR GJ, AMARA IA, STOKES M. CRYNIAK TJ. Categorical data analysis. In: Berry J., Ed. Statistical methodology in She pharmat J. sciences. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1989. pp. 75.
- 12) GERLI S, ROSSETTI D, DI REN GC. A new peach for the treatment of broadial vaginosis, polyhexamethyleng quanide A prosper randomized study. 2003; 7: 127-13