A systematic review of risk factors for postinduction hypotension in surgical patients undergoing general anesthesia
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Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: Clinical evidence has proved that postinduction hypotension (PIH) is very prevalent in surgical patients undergoing general anesthesia, and commonly develops within 20 min after the induction of general anesthesia. However, the risk factors for PIH are not clear till now, therefore, a systematic review of current evidence was conducted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: PubMed, Embase, Cochrane library, and Web of Science were searched for articles published in English up to June 2021. The following search items were used: postinduction, postintubation, propofol induction, anesthesia induction, general anesthesia induction, hypotension, risk factor, general anesthesia, surgery. The articles were screened using the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and the data from included studies were extracted and analyzed.

RESULTS: Twelve studies were included. Seven studies reported the association between age and PIH, and six showed age was a risk factor. Five or three studies reported the association between mean arterial pressure (MAP) and PIH or between systolic blood pressure (SBP) and PIH, but the results were conflicting. Results from two studies regarding gender and PIH were conflicting. Two studies reported that weight was negatively correlated with PIH. Low baseline blood volume, emergency operation, long-term intake of the angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI)/angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) were risk factors for PIH. One study showed that ASA III-V, propofol induction, and increasing fentanyl dosage were risk factors for PIH.

CONCLUSIONS: Aging, ASA III-V, emergency operation, low baseline blood volume, long-term intake of ACEI/ARB, propofol induction, and increasing fentanyl dosage are potential risk factors for PIH, while body weight gain is a protective factor. Based on the current evidence, it is difficult to determine whether baseline blood pressure or gender is associated with the development of PIH.
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Introduction

Intraoperative hypotension is an inadvertent event during surgery and anesthesia. It can cause adverse outcomes including myocardial or renal ischemia, and lead to increasing postoperative morbidity and mortality. Intraoperative hypotension is very prevalent from the induction of general anesthesia to the incision of surgery, which is called postinduction hypotension (PIH). PIH commonly occurs within 20 min after the induction of general anesthesia, which results from the inhibition of heart function and vasodilatation by general anesthetic agents. During this period, the surgical stimulus is absent, the anesthesiologists are often distracted by adjusting the ventilator, recording anesthesia events, and placing the patients, so that PIH can be easily neglected, and the management can be delayed, which might result in adverse outcomes.

An early study showed that age ≥ 50 years, ASA III-V, baseline mean arterial pressure (MAP) < 70 mmHg, administration of propofol, and high dosage of fentanyl for the induction were associated with the development of PIH. For the past decade, it has been largely investigated the risk factors for PIH and, despite the existing variations of population, patients age, ASA classification, comorbidities, medications, general anesthetic agents, and sample sizes in different studies, no comprehensive systematic review of the associated risk factors for PIH has been published till now. This study will further provide instructions for the reduction and prevention of PIH. Thus, we conduct-
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Materials and Methods

The systematic review was registered with INPLASY (identification number INPLASY202150098) and followed the 2009 PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis) guidelines.

Literature Search

A systematic literature search was performed up to June 2021 in the PubMed, Embase, Cochrane library, and Web of Science. The key items included postinduction, postintubation, propofol induction, anesthesia induction, general anesthesia induction, hypotension, risk factor, general anesthesia and surgery. The reference lists of the included studies were checked for potentially eligible articles. The citations were restricted to clinical studies and published in English. The participants were adult patients undergoing surgeries under general anesthesia.

Inclusion and Exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were: 1) studies examining the association between the risk factors (exposures) and PIH (outcome) under general anesthesia in the operating theater, 2) studies published in peer-review English journals, 3) data on risk factors from multivariate logistic regression analysis and enough data available to do the analyses [i.e., pre-calculated odds ratio (OR)]. The exclusion criteria were: 1) reviews, meta-analysis, abstracts or conference proceedings, overlapping datasets (i.e., analyses of the same patients or samples), 2) studies examining the association between risk factors and PIH under spinal anaesthesia, 3) studies with pediatric patients.

Outcome Measures and Data Extraction

The main outcomes of interest were the risk factors for PIH. The literature search, data selection, and extraction were conducted by at least two authors independently according to the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria, any discrepancies resolved by consensus with a senior researcher. The extracted variables included the first author, publication year, country, study design, sample size, age of patients, risk factors for PIH, and PIH criteria. The measurement of association was the precalculated OR with 95% confidence interval (CI) (preferably unadjusted from multivariate logistic regression analysis), when necessary, OR was transformed from the provided coefficient B.

Data Analysis

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to assess the quality of observational studies. The studies were scored 9 points at maximum on the related items including a selection of cohort or cases, comparability of groups, ascertainment of exposure and outcomes, and adequacy of follow-up, studies with a score of 7 or more were considered high quality. Information on the major outcomes of interest, including the risk factors of PIH, was recorded.

Results

Literature Search and Retrieval

Overall, 2734 articles were identified in the database search, 35 articles from other sources. 265 articles were duplicates, and then 2472 articles were excluded after an initial screening of titles and abstracts, then, 32 full texts were checked, and eventually 12 studies met the inclusion criteria and underwent data extraction. The screening procedure was presented in Figure 1.

Study Characteristics

All the included articles were observational studies and evaluated by NOS. These studies were conducted in 8 countries, published from 2005 to 2021, and the sample size ranged from 45 to 3904. Eight studies used MAP value (absolute value and/or drop percentage) and 4 studies used systolic blood pressure (SBP) value (absolute value or drop percentage) to define PIH. The characteristics and quality of the included articles were presented in Table I.

Outcomes and Findings

The outcomes and findings of the included studies were displayed in Table II, and 12 studies described the risk factors for PIH.

Seven studies reported the association between age and PIH, one study showed that age ≥50 years was associated with PIH, the others showed a positive correlation between age (increments in years) and PIH, except for one study that reported a negative correlation.

Five studies reported the association between baseline MAP and PIH, one study showed that baseline MAP < 70 mmHg was a significant risk
factor, 3 reported a positive correlation between baseline MAP (increments in mmHg) and PIH, while one reported a negative correlation. Three studies reported the association between baseline SBP and PIH, one study showed that baseline SBP more than 130 mmHg was significantly as-

Table I. Characteristics of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age (year)</th>
<th>PIH criteria</th>
<th>NOS score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reich et al</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 40% and MAP &lt; 70 mmHg, or MAP &lt; 60 mmHg</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morley et al</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>38±10</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 40% and MAP &lt; 70 mmHg, or MAP &lt; 60 mmHg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>52±17</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 40% and MAP &lt; 70 mmHg, or MAP &lt; 60 mmHg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Südfeld et al</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>2037</td>
<td>60 (median)</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 30%, or MAP &lt; 60 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>55.9±14.3</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 30%, or MAP &lt; 65 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jor et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Czech</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>55 (median)</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 30%, or MAP &lt; 60 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okamura et al</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>61 (median)</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 30%, or MAP &lt; 60 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaydu et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>41.6±16.0</td>
<td>MAP decrease &gt; 20%, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg, or SBP decrease &gt; 30%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarao et al</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>69.5±12.3</td>
<td>MAP &lt; 90 mmHg, or SPB &lt; 90 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morimoto et al</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61.7±11.7</td>
<td>MAP &lt; 90 mmHg, or SPB &lt; 90 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juri et al</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td>MAP &lt; 80 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg, or SBP decrease &gt; 20%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Taipei</td>
<td>Observational</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>46.5±16.8</td>
<td>MAP &lt; 80 mmHg, or SBP &lt; 90 mmHg, or SBP decrease &gt; 20%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table II. The risk factors for PIH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reich et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥ 50 yr</td>
<td>2.25 (1.75-2.89)</td>
<td>Südfeld et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (increment in year)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02-1.04)</td>
<td>Choi et al10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.35 (1.16-1.58)</td>
<td>Jor et al11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.02 (1.01-1.04)</td>
<td>Okamura et al12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.08 (1.02-1.15)</td>
<td>Kaydu et al13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.94 (0.89-0.99)</td>
<td>Lin et al17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.03 (1.01-1.04)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline MAP</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reich et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 70 mmHg</td>
<td>5.00 (2.78-9.02)</td>
<td>Südfeld et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (increment in mmHg)</td>
<td>1.55 (1.42-1.68)</td>
<td>Morley et al1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05 (1.01-1.11)</td>
<td>Zhang et al8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.91 (0.85-0.98)</td>
<td>Okamura et al12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05 (1.00-1.09)</td>
<td>Kaydu et al13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline SBP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130-139 mmHg</td>
<td>2.67 (1.51-4.79)</td>
<td>Jor et al11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140-159 mmHg</td>
<td>2.13 (1.30-3.57)</td>
<td>Jor et al11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160-179 mmHg</td>
<td>4.09 (2.31-7.37)</td>
<td>Jor et al11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 180 mmHg</td>
<td>6.24 (2.98-13.52)</td>
<td>Jor et al11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (increment in mmHg)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.97-0.98)</td>
<td>Südfeld et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.08 (1.07-1.10)</td>
<td>Lin et al17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.17 (1.89-9.88)</td>
<td>Tarao et al14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.44 (1.00-2.07)</td>
<td>Lin et al17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (increment in kg)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.79-0.91)</td>
<td>Morley et al17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.90 (0.82-0.98)</td>
<td>Juri et al16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA III-V</td>
<td>1.55 (1.22-1.99)</td>
<td>Reich et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency surgery</td>
<td>1.75 (1.20-2.56)</td>
<td>Südfeld et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.35 (1.08-5.15)</td>
<td>Lin et al17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline blood volume status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVV (%)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.00-1.34)</td>
<td>Juri et al16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVC-CI</td>
<td>1.17 (1.09-1.26)</td>
<td>Zhang et al8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>29.3 (2.41-357.0)</td>
<td>Okamura et al12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB</td>
<td>3.61 (1.58-9.15)</td>
<td>Tarao et al14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB</td>
<td>3.94 (2.42-6.43)</td>
<td>Reich et al9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General anesthetics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol induction</td>
<td>1.32 (1.13-1.56)</td>
<td>Reich et al9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

associated with PIH, while the results from the other two were conflicting regarding the association between SBP (increments in mmHg) and PIH.

Two studies described the association between sex and PIH, but the results were conflicting, male patient was a risk factor in one study, and female in the other.

Two studies reported that weight (increments in kg) was negatively associated with PIH. Only one study reported that ASA III-V was a significant risk factor for PIH. Two studies showed that emergency surgery was significantly associated with the development of PIH. Two studies reported that low baseline blood volume was a significant risk factor, in which one measured stroke volume variation (SVV) and the other measured inferior vena cava-cardiac index (IVC-CI) to detect low blood volume before anesthesia. Two studies showed that long-term intake of the angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI)/angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) was a significant risk factor for PIH.

One study reported the association between general anesthetics and PIH, propofol administration and increasing fentanyl dosage for the induction of general anesthesia were risk factors for PIH.

Discussion

This study is the first systematic review to describe the risk factors for PIH, and the results
showed that several factors, including age, low
baseline blood volume, emergency operation,
propofol induction, and increasing fentanyl dos-
age were significantly associated with the devel-
opment of PIH.

Age was a significant risk factor for PIH. Only
one study showed that age≥ 50 years old could
cause a significantly increased risk of PIH but
results from other studies made it clear that age
was positively correlated with the development of
PIH, which meant the older the patient was, the
more likelihood of PIH would be. But till now, it
is too early to show at what stage of age the risk of
PIH will be significantly increased. Bodyweight
was negatively correlated with PIH, which meant
the higher body weight was, the less likelihood of
the development of PIH would be. Just like age, it
is unclear about the threshold of body weight for
reducing the risk of PIH nowadays.

In the clinic, it seems that low blood pressure can
more easily lead to PIH, but the results from this
review showed that MAP and SBP were positive-
ly correlated with the development of PIH in some
studies, and the results in others were conflicting.
It suggested that blood pressure, either high or low,
was not critical in the development of PIH. Poorly
controlled hypertension before surgery can more
easily lead to intraoperative hypotension. Baseline
low blood volume was a risk factor for PIH, many factors contributed to low blood volume be-
fore anesthesia, such as physical status, comorbid-
ities, bowel preparation, and fasting. Nowadays,
paranesthesia ultrasonography or microinvasive
techniques was used to detect low blood volume
status. In the included studies in this review, SVV
and IVC-CI were measured to represent blood vol-
ume status, since general anesthetics can cause va-
sodilatation, blood volume status monitoring is of
great significance for predicting and preventing the
development of PIH.

An emergency operation was a risk factor for
PIH. The reason might be potential comorbidities
and long-term medications often exist in emer-
gency surgical patients. ACEI/ARB should be dis-
continued on the day of surgery according to the
clinical practice because continued use of ACEI/
ARB on the day of surgery can cause severe hy-
potension after the induction of general anesthe-
sia. In the included two studies, the authors in
one study did not mention whether the increased
risk of PIH by ACEI/ARB resulted from the long-
term medication or not discontinued on the day of
surgery, but the other made it clear that PIH was
from long-term oral intake of ARB.

Recent studies showed that propofol could
more easily cause hypotension when adminis-
tered for induction of general anesthesia. This re-
view showed that propofol induction and increas-
ing fentanyl dosage were risk factors for PIH, as
propofol has a stronger potent vasodilative effect
than etomidate or thiopental, and opioids with
higher dosage can cause significant vasodilata-
tion as well. Thus, to reduce the incidence of PIH,
we might use less propofol and opioids or choose
other alternatives for the induction, especially
in patients with ASA III-V, who are in a state of
physical weakness. In addition to the dosage of
propofol or fentanyl, the speed of injection is crit-
ical as well for the development of PIH, but no
study reported these potential risk factors till now.

The implication of our findings is that the re-
sults may advance preventive strategies. Nowa-
days, we cannot predict which surgical patient will
develop PIH after induction of general anesthesia.
If we know any of these risk factors, we can focus
our preventive strategies or modulations on these
measures. Although the contribution of each mea-
sure is small, simultaneous modulation of multi-
ple risk factors together could confer a significant
risk reduction.

This study has some limitations. First, the crite-
ria of PIH were not uniform in the included stud-
ies, so that the results could not be quantitatively
synthesized. Nonetheless, the results were com-
patible between groups in each study. Second, in
the included studies, surgical types, preoperative
preparation, induction strategies of general anes-
thesia were different, which might cause the var-
ed incidence of PIH and risk factors.

Conclusions

This systematic review identified 12 studies
with risk factors associated with the development
of PIH. The results showed that aging, ASA III-V,
emergency operation, low baseline blood volume,
long-term intake of ACEI/ARB, propofol induc-
tion, increasing fentanyl dosage are risk factors
for PIH, while body weight gain is a protective
factor. Based on the current evidence, it is diffi-
cult to determine whether baseline blood pressure
or gender is associated with the development of
PIH. Future efforts should be directed towards the
application of uniform PIH criteria in each type
of surgery and evaluation of the incidence of PIH
and its risk factors using multi-center studies at
high-volume medical centers.
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