Diagnostic performance of quantitative signal intensity measurements on magnetic resonance imaging for distinguishing cerebellopontine angle meningioma from acoustic schwannoma
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Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: Our study investigated magnetic resonance imaging measurements for differentiating cerebellopontine angle (CPA) meningioma from vestibular schwannoma (VS).

PATIENTS AND METHODS: This retrospective study compared 36 meningioma and 36 VS patients. The tumor volume (Vtumor) and peritumoral edema index (EI) relationship was analyzed. T2-weighted three-dimensional gradient-echo image signal intensity (T23D) and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) differentiation cutoff values were defined. Mann-Whitney U test, independent-samples t-test, receiver operating characteristic curve, and Spearman’s correlation analyses were applied.

RESULTS: Meningioma had higher Vtumor (p=0.009) and EI (p=0.031) values than VS. Meningioma had significantly (p<0.001) lower values than VS for mean ADC (ADCmean: 0.841±0.083×10⁻³ vs. 1.173±0.190×10⁻³ mm²/s), minimum ADC (ADCmin: 0.716±0.078×10⁻³ vs. 1.045±0.178×10⁻³ mm²/s), tumor:white matter ADC ratio (rADC: 1.198±0.078 vs. 1.045±0.178×10⁻³ mm²/s), mean T23D (T23Dmean: 142.91±19.9 vs. 218.72±84.73), and tumor:adipose T23D ratio (rT23D: 0.19±0.06 vs. 0.30±0.28) Cutoff, sensitivity (Se), and specificity (Sp) values were ADCmin, 0.856×10⁻³ mm²/s (Se: 96.6%, Sp: 100%); ADCmean, 0.963×10⁻³ mm²/s (Se: 96.6%, Sp: 95.5%); rADC, 1.198±0.190 (Se: 93.1%, Sp: 81.8%); T23Dmean (Se: 96.6%, Sp: 100%); rT23D, 0.1951 (Se: 89.7%, Sp: 100%); Vtumor, 1492.85 mm³ (Se: 75.0%, Sp: 66.7%), and EI, 1.1025 (Se: 47.2%, Sp: 100%).

CONCLUSIONS: ADCmin, ADCmean, rADC, T23Dmean, rT23D, Vtumor, and EI, effectively discriminated meningioma from VS.
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Introduction

Cerebellopontine angle (CPA) tumors comprise 6%-10% of all cranial tumors¹. Vestibular schwannoma (VS) is the most frequently encountered tumor type in this region, followed by meningioma²,³. In most cases, contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) serves as the gold standard imaging method for identifying CPA tumors. However, the imaging manifestations of these two tumor types on conventional MRI have significant overlap. The preoperative definition of CPA tumors is crucial and can affect the optimal treatment strategy, particularly in terms of surgical planning and prognosis⁴,⁵. The enlarged translabyrinthine approach is preferable for the treatment of schwannomas, whereas a retrosigmoid approach is recommended for CPA meningiomas, despite a higher remission rate, to maintain auditory function and preserve cranial nerves VII and VIII⁶,⁷.

On conventional MRI, schwannomas and meningiomas generally appear hypo- or iso-intense in T1-weighted imaging (T1WI)⁸. On T2-weighted imaging (T2WI), meningiomas
frequently show homogeneous isointensity and hyperintense signal patterns, whereas schwannomas generally show heterogeneous hyperintensity due to hemorrhage and necrosis. Therefore, differences in signal intensity on T2-weighted three-dimensional gradient-echo image (T23D) due to the presence of intratumoral microcysts may contribute to differentiating schwannomas from meningiomas. In addition, CPA meningiomas are generally located eccentric to the internal auditory canal and exhibit a broad dural base, occasionally presenting with a dural tail, as opposed to VS, which is frequently centrally located and elongated toward the internal auditory canal. In addition to conventional MRI sequences, diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) can be used to garner information regarding the histological and biological features of brain neoplasms. DWI sequences construct images based on the Brownian motion of water molecules within each tissue voxel. High intratumoral cellularity, as observed in meningioma, tends to obstruct the free movement of water molecules, which is referred to as restricted diffusion. Neoplasms with loose cell structures, such as VS, facilitate the free movement of water molecules and, therefore, do not show restricted diffusion. The degree of restricted diffusion can be measured using apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values obtained from ADC maps. Previous studies have described the advantages of using ADC values to differentiate among various cerebral tumors, such as between low-grade and high-grade gliomas and between high-grade gliomas and metastases.

In this retrospective study, we investigated the diagnostic capabilities of tumor volume (V_tumor), the edema index (EI), ADC values, tumor signal intensity on T23D, the ratio between tumor and adipose tissue on T23D imaging (rT23D), and the ratio between tumor and white matter ADC values (rADC) for differentiating CPA meningioma from VS.

Patients and Methods

Study Population

All methods were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This retrospective study reviewed 72 patients (including 36 with CPA meningiomas and 36 with schwannomas) who were surgically treated at Viet Duc Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam, from July 2019 to December 2021. All patients received preoperative MRI with conventional sequences and DWI using the same procedures and protocols. All patients underwent surgical intervention, and diagnoses of CPA meningioma or schwannoma were confirmed by pathology.

The following exclusion criteria were applied: patients with a history of previous surgery or radiation therapy; MRI study without DWI or T23D sequences; or noticeable motion artifacts on ADC maps or T23D images, preventing accurate measurements. The institutional review board of Hanoi Medical University approved our retrospective study (Ref: 2687/QD-DHYHN dated 13 July 2021). Due to the retrospective nature of this study, the requirement for informed consent was waived by institutional review board of Hanoi Medical University.

MRI Technique and Protocol

All patients underwent brain MRI examinations using a 1.5 Tesla (T) scanner system (Avanto, Siemens, Germany, and 1.5 T, Philips, The Netherlands) or a 3.0 T scanner system (Siemens Magnatom Skyra, Siemens, Germany) with head coils. The same conventional MRI and DWI procedures were performed for each patient. DWI was obtained before the contrast administration. The following conventional MRI protocols were used. Axial T1W spin-echo (SE) sequence: repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE) of 490/8.4 ms; slice thickness (ST): 5 mm; field of view (FOV): 250 × 250 mm; matrix size: 240 × 320. Axial high-resolution T23D (trade name CISS, FIESTA, SPACE) was performed as follows: TR/TE: 5600/264 ms; ST: 0.6 mm; FOV: 200 × 200 mm; matrix size: 240 × 320. Axial and sagittal T2W turbo SE sequence: TR/TE: 4100/100 ms; ST: 5 mm; FOV: 250 × 250 mm; matrix size: 240 × 320. Axial fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence: TR/TE: 9000/92 ms; inversion time (IT): 25000 ms. Following the intravenous administration of a single gadolinium dose (1 mg/kg bolus), a contrast-enhanced tri-planar T1W SE sequence was obtained: TR/TE: 4100/100 ms; ST: 5 mm; FOV: 250 × 250 mm; matrix size: 240 × 320. Axial fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence: TR/TE: 9000/92 ms; inversion time (IT): 25000 ms. Following the intravenous administration of a single gadolinium dose (1 mg/kg bolus), a contrast-enhanced tri-planar T1W SE sequence was obtained: TR/TE: 390/14 ms; FOV: 200 × 200 m; ST: 1 mm. DWI was obtained in the axial plane using an SE, echo-planar imaging sequence: TR/TE: 5600/115 ms; FOV: 250 × 250 mm; matrix size: 128 × 128; ST: 5 mm; b values: 0 and 1000 s/mm². ADC maps were reconstructed automatically on a post-processing workstation.
MRI results were evaluated by a neuroradiologist with more than 10 years of experience who was blinded to the histopathological diagnoses of the patients. Tumor sizes were measured in all three dimensions as the maximal diameter on contrast-enhanced T1WI, including the anterior-posterior diameter (a) and transverse diameter (b) on axial T1WI and the inferior-superior diameter (c) on sagittal T1WI. \(V_{\text{tumor}}\) was calculated using the formula for a spheroid body as

\[ V_{\text{tumor}} = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3 \]

The combined volume of the tumor and peritumoral brain edema \(\left( V_{\text{tumor + edema}} \right)\) was calculated using the same formula based on dimensions measured on T2WI. The relationship between \(V_{\text{tumor}}\) and peritumoral brain edema was analyzed using the formula \(E = \frac{V_{\text{tumor + edema}}}{V_{\text{tumor}}}\) (Figure 1).

The following regions were defined:
1. Solid tumor was defined as all tumor regions showing enhancement on contrast enhanced T1WI.
2. Normal contralateral white matter brain parenchyma was the area of white matter with normal signal intensities in all sequences (slightly hyperintense on T1WI, hypointense on T2WI) without mass effect.
3. Hemorrhage or calcified regions were defined as areas with hypointensity on T2* or susceptibility-weighted imaging or hyperdensity on computed tomography (CT).
4. Occipital subcutaneous adipose tissue was defined as hyperintense areas on both T1WI and T2WI.

Areas containing cystic/necrotic features, hemorrhage, or calcifications were excluded from further analyses. For quantitative signal analysis, the tumor and subcutaneous fat signal intensities on T23D images and ADC measurements for the tumor and the normal contralateral white matter brain parenchyma were calculated on the same image slides. ADC measurements were performed using a Syngo workstation (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) (Figure 2). The T23D and ADC values were acquired using hand-drawn regions of interest (ROIs) containing solid areas of the tumors on the T23D images and ADC maps. ROIs were strategically placed to avoid hemorrhagic, cystic, and calcified regions using T2W* and cranial CT images as references. The occipital subcutaneous fat signal was acquired using the average score from 3 ROIs, obtained by manually positioning uniform ellipsoid ROIs (5-10 mm\(^2\)) within the same T23D image slide (Figure 2). The ADC values for normal contralateral white matter brain parenchyma signal were estimated as the average of 2 ROIs smaller than 5 mm\(^2\) (Figure 2) in the same ADC image slides.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 20.0 (SPSS version 20.0 SPSS Inc., IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Each parameter following a normal distribution is presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Parameters without a
normal distribution are presented as the mean and the 25th and 75th percentiles. All variables were assessed for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The Shapiro-Wilk test indicated that the minimum ADC (ADC_{min}), the tumor: subcutaneous fat ratio on T23D (rT23D), EI, and V_tumor values followed normal distributions, whereas age, the mean ADC (ADC_{mean}), the tumor:white matter ADC ratio (rADC), and mean T23D (T23D_{mean}) values did not follow normal distributions. Therefore, significant differences in ADC_{min}, rT23D, EI, and V_tumor were evaluated using the independent-samples t-test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyze significant differences in age, ADC_{mean}, rADC, and T23D_{mean} between two independent groups. Relationships between categorical variables were analyzed using the Chi-square test. The correlations between V_tumor and peritumor edema values were assessed using Spearman’s correlation analysis. To determine the diagnostic capacities of V_tumor, EI, ADC_{mean}, rADC, and T23D_{mean} values, ROC curve analyses were performed. The cutoff values were determined by maximizing the sum of sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) using the Youden index, and the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was assessed. The p-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

A total of 72 patients were enrolled in this retrospective study (25 men and 47 women), including 36 patients with CPA meningioma and 36 patients with VS. In the meningioma group, 33 cases were histopathology diagnosed as grade I (16 meningothelial, 9 fibrous, 2 angiomatous, and 6 transitional), and 3 were diagnosed as grade II (2 atypical, 1 choroid). The demographic characteristics, V_tumor, EI, ADC_{min}, ADC_{mean}, and T23D_{mean} values of the entire cohort are summarized in Table I. No significant differences in sex distribution (p = 0.768) or median age (p = 0.681) were identified between the two groups. The mean V_tumor value was significantly higher in the meningioma group than in the VS group (p = 0.009). The EI for the meningioma group was significantly higher than that for the VS group (1.54 vs. 1.01; p = 0.031). The ADC_{min}, ADC_{mean}, and T23D_{mean} values were significantly lower in CPA meningioma patients than in VS patients (p < 0.001 for all).

A strong, positive relationship was identified between V_tumor and peritumoral edema in the VS group (p = 0.001), whereas no relationship between V_tumor and peritumoral edema was identified in the meningioma group (p = 0.384) (Table II).

The rT23D and rADC values are presented in Table III. Both rT23D and rADC were significantly lower in CPA meningioma than in VS (p < 0.001 for all).
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ROC curve analyses were performed to determine the capacity of each parameter to differentiate meningioma from schwannoma, as shown in Figures 3 and 4. The ADC values, consisting of \( \text{ADC}_{\text{mean}} \), \( \text{ADC}_{\text{min}} \), and \( r \text{ADC} \), had better diagnostic ability to differentiate between schwannoma and meningioma than \( T23D_{\text{mean}} \), \( rT23D \) (Figure 4), \( V_{\text{tumor}} \), or EI (Figure 3). The ROC curve analysis results for the discrimination of CPA meningioma from VS groups using \( V_{\text{tumor}} \), EI, \( \text{ADC}_{\text{mean}} \), \( \text{ADC}_{\text{min}} \), \( r \text{ADC} \), \( T23D_{\text{mean}} \), and \( rT23D \) are presented in Table IV.

### Discussion

Meningioma, with an incidence of 5%-10%, and VS, with an incidence of 80%-90%, are the two most commonly encountered extra-axial tumors in the CPA region\(^{2,3}\). The differential diagnosis between these two entities is crucial for optimal surgical planning and prognosis, and studies have suggested that these neoplasms are distinguishable based on differences in radiographic characteristics. MRI is considered the modality of choice compared with CT for the assessment of meningiomas and schwannomas in the CPA region, and MRI assessments may contribute to the evaluation and feature determination of lesions in the posterior cranial fossa\(^{7,11}\). On conventional MRI, meningiomas typically present with homogeneous appearances, enhancing vividly on post-contrast sequences with a dural tail sign that occasionally extends into the internal auditory meatal characteristics, tumor volumes, peritumor edema index values, \( \text{ADC}_{\text{mean}} \), \( \text{ADC}_{\text{min}} \), and \( T23D_{\text{mean}} \) values according to tumor type.

### Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Meningioma group (n = 36)</th>
<th>Schwannoma group (n = 36)</th>
<th>( \rho )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M)</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>0.768*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>51.97±13.7</td>
<td>51.08 ± 11.7</td>
<td>0.681*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-Q3 (years)</td>
<td>40.5-63</td>
<td>42.5-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (years)</td>
<td>19-74</td>
<td>24-68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{\text{tumor}} ) (mm(^3))</td>
<td>37129.48±35462.84</td>
<td>18380.32±21649.00</td>
<td>0.009&lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (mm(^3))</td>
<td>3165.12-150343.20</td>
<td>854-126269.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>1.54±1.44</td>
<td>1.01±0.03</td>
<td>0.031&lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1-9.25</td>
<td>1-1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ADC}_{\text{mean}} ) (&lt;10(^{-3}) mm(^2)/s)</td>
<td>0.841±0.083</td>
<td>1.173±0.190</td>
<td>&lt;0.001&lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (&lt;10(^{-3}) mm(^2)/s)</td>
<td>0.707-1061</td>
<td>0.922-1.663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1–Q3 (&lt;10(^{-3}) mm(^2)/s)</td>
<td>0.782-0.884</td>
<td>1.037-1.259</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ADC}_{\text{min}} ) (&lt;10(^{-3}) mm(^2)/s)</td>
<td>0.716±0.078</td>
<td>1.045±0.178</td>
<td>&lt;0.001&lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range (&lt;10(^{-3}) mm(^2)/s)</td>
<td>0.577-0.890</td>
<td>0.840-1.590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T23D_{\text{mean}} )</td>
<td>142.91±19.9</td>
<td>218.72±84.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.001&lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-Q3</td>
<td>127.7-156.7</td>
<td>164.5-235.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>112-188</td>
<td>129-480</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EI: edema index, F: female, M: male; ADC: apparent diffusion coefficient, T23D: high-resolution T2-weighted three-dimensional gradient-echo. Values are given as the mean ± SD; Q1-Q3: the 25\(^{\text{th}}\) and 75\(^{\text{th}}\) percentiles of measurements that did not follow normal distributions; \( \rho \): significance level for all pairs; a: Comparisons were performed using the Chi-square test; b: Comparisons were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test, c: Comparisons were performed using the independent-samples t-test.

### Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Spearman Correlation</th>
<th>( p ) (2-tailed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meningioma group (n = 36)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwannoma group (n = 36)</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tory meatus without distending the canal\textsuperscript{7,9-11}. By contrast, VS typically manifests as an intensely heterogeneously enhancing mass that extends into the internal auditory meatus and can enlarge the internal auditory meatus with continued development\textsuperscript{11,12}. However, in some atypical cases, the radiographic findings of these two tumor types can present with overlapping features that significantly reduce the discrimination ability of MRI in clinical settings, making conventional MR imaging unreliable for the differentiation of meningiomas from schwannomas. Previous studies have reported that approximately 25% of meningiomas had been misdiagnosed as schwannomas\textsuperscript{6}. This study attempted to evaluate the usefulness of $V_{\text{tumor}}$, EI, $\text{ADC}_{\text{mean}}$, $\text{ADC}_{\text{min}}$, $T23D_{\text{mean}}$, rADC, and rT23D for differentiating between CPA meningioma and VS.

The tumor volumes of the meningioma group in our study were significantly higher than those of the schwannoma group, with the mean $V_{\text{tumor}}$ values of 37129.48 mm$^3$ and 18380.32 mm$^3$, respectively ($p = 0.009$). Our work indicated that a cutoff value for $V_{\text{tumor}}$ of 14828.65 mm$^3$ could distinguish between these two tumor types with Se of 75% and an Sp of 66%. Consistent with previous reports, the mean diameter of meningioma in our cohort was significantly larger than that of VS (13). The research of Bečulić et al\textsuperscript{19} concluded that the mean $V_{\text{tumor}}$ of meningioma was 2781 ± 2865 cm$^3$, whereas the mean $V_{\text{tumor}}$ for VS was reported as 1747 ± 12.92 mm$^3$ by Giordano et al\textsuperscript{20}.

### Table III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Meningioma group (n = 36)</th>
<th>Schwannoma group (n = 36)</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rT23D</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.28</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.09-0.34</td>
<td>0.17-0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rADC</td>
<td>1.198 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.9-1.87</td>
<td>1.23-2.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1-Q3</td>
<td>1.05-1.27</td>
<td>1.38-1.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD: apparent diffusion coefficient; T23D: high-resolution T2-weighted three-dimensional gradient-echo; rADC: ratio of tumor to white matter signal intensity on ADC; rT23D: ratio of tumor to subcutaneous adipose tissue signal intensity on T23D. Values are presented as the mean ± SD; Q1-Q3: the 25$^{th}$ and 75$^{th}$ percentiles of measurements that did not follow a normal distribution; $p$: significance level for all pairs; a: Comparisons were performed using the independent-samples $t$-test; b: Comparisons were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test.
A recent study by Bozdağ et al. determined that the maximum diameters of CPA meningioma and VS were 37.18 ± 14.55 mm and 27.35 ± 9.22 mm, respectively.

In our study, the EI value for meningioma (1.54) was significantly higher than that for schwannoma (1.01; \( p = 0.031 \)). The EI value ranged from 1 to 9.25 in the meningioma group and from 1 to 1.10 in the schwannoma group. Our results do not fully correspond with prior investigations. Bečulić et al. in a publication examining peritumoral edema in meningiomas, reported a mean EI value of 4.87 ± 4.19, ranging from 1 to 14, and a study by You et al. reported a mean EI value for schwannoma of 1.53 ± 0.22. Our research revealed no significant linear correlation between EI and \( V_{tumor} \) (using Spearman’s correlation analysis) in meningioma, but a strong correlation was observed between \( V_{tumor} \) and EI in schwannoma (\( p = 0.001 \)). These results were similar to those reported in previous studies. In our analysis, both \( V_{tumor} \) and EI values for schwannoma were significantly lower than those for meningioma, with an EI cutoff of 1.1025 discriminating between these two tumor types with a Se of 47.2% and an Sp of 100%. The low value obtained for Se may be due to the inconsistent appearance of peritumoral edema in the meningioma group and the lack of any significant linear correlation between EI and \( V_{tumor} \) in meningioma. A recent study highlighted the relationship between the expression levels of vascular endothelial growth factor and the EI value in schwannoma, suggesting the necessity of developing new effective treatments to alleviate symptoms and reduce postoperative complications. Prior research yielded correlations between the EI value and a series of factors, including tumor volume, the tumoral margin and invasion (based on intraoperative evaluation), and the Ki-67 value.

Additionally, the signal intensities measured for solid components on the ADC map may help in differentiating between schwannomas and meningiomas. In this study, the ADC\(_{mean}\) and ADC\(_{min}\) values for schwannoma were markedly higher than those for meningioma (\( p < 0.001 \)), which is similar to previous findings. The ADC\(_{mean}\) values for meningiomas and schwannomas were 0.841 ± 0.083 × 10\(^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/s and 1.173 ± 0.190 × 10\(^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/s, respectively. The ADC\(_{min}\) values for meningioma and schwannoma were 0.716 ± 0.078 × 10\(^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/s and 1.045 ± 0.178 × 10\(^{-3}\) mm\(^2\)/s, respectively. We hypothesize that the high cellularity features associated with a sizable nuclear-cytoplasmatic ratio in meningioma may contribute to these low ADC values on diffusion images. Schwannomas, by comparison, consist of Antoni A and B cells. Antoni B cells often feature loose stroma and cell structures, leading to intratumoral cystic patterns, whereas Antoni A cells are responsible for dense tumor regions. This heterogeneous tumoral structure in
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VS allows for the free movement of water molecules in the extracellular space, resulting in a higher ADC value for schwannomas, despite the presence of solid component areas. The rADC value for meningioma was significantly lower than that for schwannomas in our research ($p < 0.001$). The rADC value of meningioma was 1.198 ± 0.19, whereas the rADC value of schwannoma was 1.59 ± 0.30. The findings reported by Pavlica et al. comparing the tumor to contralateral normal parenchyma signal intensity ratios between CPA meningioma and VS corresponded with our results. Additionally, the ADC values recorded for the normal white matter in our patients were in line with the results reported by previous studies.

The mean signal intensity on T2WI and the rT2WI values for meningioma (142.91 ± 19.9 and 0.19 ± 0.06, respectively) were significantly lower than those for schwannoma (218.72 ± 84.73 and 0.30 ± 0.28, respectively). No previous research has reported on the usefulness of signal intensities from T2WI or rT2WI; however, we speculate that these findings may be associated with divergent tumoral structures between these two neoplasms, which is supported by differences in the signal intensities on the ADC map. Meningiomas generally feature high cellularity and rarely contain cystic components, which manifest as low signal intensity on T2WI and heterogeneous post-contrast enhancement, indicating the presence of cystic components, necrosis, and microcystic areas, likely due to an increased proportion of Antoni B cells.

According to ROC analyses, the ADC mean value (AUC: 0.997) provided the best differential diagnostic performance, followed by ADC mean, rADC, T23D mean, and rT23D (AUC values of 0.990, 0.923, 0.897, and 0.856, respectively). Significant differences in ADC mean and ADC min between these two entities have been reported in several prior studies. In our literature search, both Bozdağ et al. and Pavlisa et al concluded that no overlap existed between schwannomas and meningiomas when comparing ADC mean and ADC min values. The cutoff values recommended by Bozdağ et al. were $1.027 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ (Se: 92.86%, Sp: 100%) for ADC mean and $0.980 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ (Se: 92.86%, Sp: 100%) for ADC min. Our cutoff values were lower than these, at $0.963 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ (Se: 92.86%, Sp: 100%) for ADC min. Our cutoff values were lower than these at $0.963 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ (Se: 92.86%, Sp: 100%) for ADC min. We believe that differences in the placement of ROIs may have contributed to the divergence between these results. The study by Bozdağ et al. obtained tumor signal intensities by placing 5-mm2 ROIs, whereas our study used hand-drawn ROIs to encompass all of the solid tumoral components. Calcifications, hemorrhages, and necrotic regions were strategically eliminated from further measurements in both studies.

Several limitations are associated with our retrospective study, and we believe that specific issues can be addressed in future studies. First, the number of subjects included in the study was small, and the
study cohort may not represent all ethnic groups. The second limitation is that our data set did not encompass the grade III meningioma group. The disproportionate representation of grade I and II meningiomas in our study cohort might lead to inaccurate results. We believe that further investigations in larger populations, including grade III meningiomas, are warranted to validate the results of this study.

Conclusions

In summary, our data indicate that several diagnostic issues encountered in patients with meningiomas and schwannomas can be circumvented through the use of $V_{\text{tumor}}$, EI, ADC signal intensity values, T23D signal intensity values, rADC, and rT23D. According to our study, $\text{ADC}_{\text{min}}$ is the most effective parameter for differentiating between meningioma and schwannoma, followed by $\text{ADC}_{\text{mean}}$, rADC, T23D$_{\text{mean}}$, and rT23D. MRI evaluations can play an essential role in the differential diagnosis of tumor entities with similar presentations, facilitating the correct classification of patients and improving accuracy in the diagnosis and optimization of treatment.
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