Comparison between ultrasound-guided pericapsular nerve group block and anterior quadratus lumborum block for total hip arthroplasty: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial
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Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: Peripheral nerve block can provide effective postoperative analgesia to patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty (THA). This study aimed to compare ultrasound-guided pericapsular nerve group (PENG) block against anterior quadratus lumborum (AQL) block for pain management in primary THA.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: In this prospective, double-blind, randomized controlled trial, 90 patients undergoing primary THA under general anesthesia were randomly allocated to receive ultrasound-guided PENG block + sham AQL block (“PENG group”) or ultrasound-guided AQL block + sham PENG block (“AQL” group). The primary outcome was the highest pain score on a visual analogue scale while the patient was in the recovery room. Secondary outcomes included pain scores after transfer out of the recovery room, morphine consumption, quadricep strength, duration of hospitalization, pain level one year after surgery, and incidence of complications.

RESULTS: Patients in the PENG group reported significantly lower maximum pain scores in the recovery room (31.3±9.1 vs. 37.3±7.4, p=0.001), as well as significantly lower pain scores at rest at 3 h after surgery and during motion at 3 and 6 h after surgery. The two groups did not differ significantly in postoperative morphine consumption, length of hospitalization, pain level at one year after surgery, or incidence of complications.

CONCLUSIONS: PENG block may provide slightly more effective postoperative analgesia than AQL block during the early recovery period after primary THA.
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Introduction

Effective perioperative analgesia during and after total hip arthroplasty (THA) can accelerate early postoperative mobilization and discharge1-3. Such analgesia can be provided by peripheral nerve blocks, which can improve pain relief, reduce opioid requirement, and reduce the time until first mobilization and the time in hospital4-6. However, not all peripheral nerve blocks may be equally effective. For example, femoral nerve block and fascia iliaca block can weaken the quadriceps7-9. An alternative to these blocks may be the quadratus lumborum block, which has proven effective in lower abdominal surgery10, and in particular anterior quadratus lumborum (AQL) block, which may provide the broadest block of all quadratus lumborum blocks11. In several studies12-15 with patients undergoing THA, AQL block provided strong postoperative analgesia without causing motor block.

Another peripheral nerve block that may be effective for patients undergoing THA is pericapsular nerve group (PENG) block16. In patients undergoing hip surgery, PENG block provides analgesia comparable to that of femoral nerve block or suprainguinal fascia iliaca block, without weakening the quadriceps17-19. Despite these positive findings, the PENG block is not mentioned in recent postoperative pain management guidelines for patients undergoing THA20.

Here we compared the PENG block against the AQL block for safety and efficacy in patients undergoing primary THA in a double-blind, randomized controlled trial.
Patients and Methods

This study was approved by the Clinical Trials and Biomedical Ethics Committee of our institution, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects participating in the trial. The trial was registered at the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (Registration number: ChiCTR2100053921).

Patient Recruitment

This study recruited patients undergoing primary unilateral THA via a posterolateral approach at our institution between December 2021 and May 2022. We included patients who were diagnosed with osteoarthritis of the hip, osteonecrosis of the femoral head (Ficat IIIB or IV), or developmental dysplasia of the hip (Crowe I or II). To be enrolled, patients had to have normal quadricep strength and an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) functional status of I-III. We excluded patients with (1) hip ankylosis, (2) known allergies to the drugs used in this study, (3) opioid addiction or dependence, (4) alcohol addiction or dependence, (5) cognitive impairment, (6) psychiatric illness, (7) recognized neuromuscular disorders, (8) previous open hip surgery, (9) other neuropathic diseases of the hip on the target side, or (10) an inability to communicate verbally.

Randomization

All patients were classified into two groups using a computer-generated list of random numbers (Excel, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Based on this list, Investigator 1, who was blinded to group allocation and study design, prepared sealed opaque envelopes. On the morning of surgery, Investigator 1 handed out the envelopes to patients and Investigator 2 assigned them to the PENG or AQL group accordingly. Patients in the PENG group received PENG block + sham AQL block, while patients in the AQL group received AQL block + sham PENG block.

Before surgery, Investigator 2 ensured that the same anesthesiologist prepared the block syringes (containing saline or local anesthetics) in the central pharmacy and performed the appropriate nerve block in the operating room. The outcome assessor (Investigator 3) and surgeon were blinded to treatment group. Statistical analysis was performed by Investigator 4, who was also blinded to group allocation.

Baseline Characteristics of Patients

A total of 151 patients were assessed for eligibility, of whom 26 did not meet the eligibility criteria and another 35 were unwilling to give consent. The remaining 90 patients were randomized into two groups. During postoperative outcome assessments, no patients dropped out of the study (Figure 1). The two
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Figure 1. Flow diagram of patients’ selection and exclusion.
groups showed no significant differences in characteristics before surgery (Table I).

**Perioperative Analgesia and Management**

On the day before surgery, oral Celecoxib (200 mg) was administered twice as preemptive analgesia. Nerve blocks were completed 30 min before general anesthesia using ropivacaine and epinephrine or, in the case of sham blocks, an equal volume of isotonic saline.

**PENG Block**

Patients were placed in the supine position. A low-frequency curvilinear ultrasound transducer (Mindray Anesus ME7; Mindray Bio-Medical Electronics, Shenzhen, China) was placed in a transverse orientation medial and caudal to the anterosuperior iliac spine in order to identify the anteroinferior iliac spine, the iliopubic eminence, and the psoas tendon. Using an in-plane technique, the block needle was advanced in a lateral-to-medial direction until the tip was positioned between the periosteum and psoas tendon (Figure 2A-B). Local anesthetic (20 mL of 0.5% ropivacaine containing 1:200,000 epinephrine) was injected slowly in 5 mL increments with intermittent negative aspiration.

**AQL Block**

Patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position with both legs flexed. The same low-frequency curvilinear ultrasound transducer was placed above the iliac crest, near the L4 vertebral body plane. The block needle was used to slowly inject a local anesthetic (30 mL of 0.33% ropivacaine containing 1:200,000 epinephrine) between the quadratus lumborum and psoas muscles (Figure 2C-D).

**Risks of Nerve Blocks and Preventive Actions**

The risks of AQL block include toxicity from local anesthetic, infection, and abdominal organ puncture. Compared with AQL block, the puncture site of PENG block is close to the main nerves and blood vessels, thus, it is more likely to cause nerve punctures and vascular punctures in addition to local anesthetic intoxication and infection. Nerve puncture and vascular puncture may further cause nerve damage and bleeding, respectively.

In order to avoid these risks, some preventive actions were carried out: 1. Nerve block was performed by a highly trained and experienced anesthesiologist under ultrasound guidance; 2. Strict disinfection before operation; 3. Limit the dosage of local anesthetic.

**THA**

All surgical procedures in this study were performed by two senior surgeons. Prior to the trial, the two surgeons were trained to ensure consistent technique. All surgeries were performed through the posterolateral approach under general anesthesia. After pure oxygen inhalation, the following anesthetics were administered intravenously: Midazolam, 2 mg; Propofol, 2 mg/kg; Sufentanil, 0.3 μg/kg; and Cis-atracurium, 0.2 mg/kg. Patients were then intubated and given inhaled anesthetic (Sevoflurane, 1-1.5 MAC). During surgery, Corail or TRI-LOCK stems and Pinnacle cups (DePuy Synthes, New Brunswick, NJ, USA) were used. At 20 min before the end of surgery, flurbiprofen (50 mg) was administered to prevent postoperative pain, along with Tropisetron (5 mg) to prevent postoperative nausea and vomiting.

After surgery, the patients were transferred to the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) for extubation and full recovery. After awakening from general anesthesia, patients were transferred to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Baseline characteristics of patients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery side (right/left)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD or n, unless otherwise noted. ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists; AQL, anterior quadratus lumborum; PENG, pericapsular nerve group; VAS, visual analogue scale. * Student’s t-test; * Pearson’s Chi-squared test; * Mann-Whitney U test.
the bed ward, where an ice compress was applied around the incision. Oral Celecoxib (200 mg) was administered twice daily to control postoperative pain. If the patient was unable to tolerate the pain, rescue analgesia of 10 mg of morphine hydrochloride was injected subcutaneously.

**Assessments**

In the PACU, the outcome assessor assessed pain until the patient was transferred out of the PACU. A visual analogue scale was used that ranged from 0 (no pain) to 100 (extreme pain). After being transferred out of the PACU, pain at rest and during motion was measured at 3, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after surgery. Pain during motion was assessed by asking the patient to flex the hip as much as possible.

Quadricep strength was assessed at 3, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after surgery while the patient was supine and flexed the hip at 45° and the knee at 90°. The patient was asked to extend the knee first against gravity and then against resistance. The outcome assessor resisted the knee extension and touched the contracted muscle in the thigh in order to grade the muscle strength at one of the following levels: level 0, no muscle contraction; level 1, muscle contraction but no joint movement; level 2, joint movement but no gravity resistance; level 3, gravity resistance; level 4, gravity resistance and partial counterforce resistance; and level 5, normal joint function. Normal strength was defined with reference to the quadriceps of the contralateral leg. If quadriceps strength was at levels 0-2, the patient was classified as showing quadriceps weakness.

The discharge criteria of patients included: adequate pain control on oral pain medication, independent transfer, and ambulation of at least 200 feet alone.
At one year after surgery, the patients were followed up on pain and the incidence of complications. There are three levels of pain at postoperative one year: 1. No pain; 2. Mild pain that does not affect daily life; 3. Severe pain that interferes with daily life. Postoperative chronic pain was defined as severe pain that interferes with daily life at one year after surgery.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome in this study was the highest pain score at rest that the patient reported in the PACU. The secondary outcomes included pain scores after being transferred out of the PACU, postoperative morphine consumption, postoperative quadriceps strength, time until discharge from hospital, pain level at one year after surgery, and incidence of complications. Complications included nausea, vomiting, local anesthetic intoxication, wound complications, infection, abdominal organ puncture, nerve puncture, nerve damage, vascular puncture, bleeding, quadriceps weakness, falls, and postoperative chronic pain.

**Statistical Analysis**

Sample size was based on power analysis of data from a pilot study involving 26 patients not enrolled in the main study (data not shown), as well as on data reported in a systematic review of 570 randomized clinical trials of pain management after THA and total knee arthroplasties. The review reported that the median minimal clinically important difference in pain scores for patients undergoing such procedures was 30%. Based on our pilot study, being able to detect a 30% difference in the highest pain score in the PACU would require at least 44 individuals per group at a two-sided alpha level of 0.05 and power of 90%. Therefore, we decided to include 45 patients in each group.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The normality of data was assessed using histograms and quantile-quantile plots. Continuous data were presented as mean and standard deviation. Categorical data were presented as numbers or percentages. Inter-group differences in normally distributed data were assessed for significance using Student’s t-test; differences in skewed and ordinal data, using the Mann-Whitney U test; and differences in categorical data, using Pearson’s Chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact probabilities test. Differences in hospitalization time were analyzed using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and assessed for significance using the log-rank test. Differences were considered significant if \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Primary Outcome**

The highest pain score reported in the PACU was significantly lower in the PENG group (31.3±9.1 vs. 37.3±7.4, \( p = 0.001 \)).

**Secondary Outcomes**

Patients in the PENG group had significantly lower pain scores at rest at 3 h after surgery (35.0±6.2 vs. 38.1±7.5, \( p = 0.031 \); Figure 3A), as well as significantly lower pain scores during motion at 3 h after surgery (47.5±7.0 vs. 55.0±8.8, \( p < 0.001 \)) and 6 h after surgery (52.1±8.4 vs. 58.4±8.3, \( p = 0.001 \); Figure 3B).

There were no significant differences between the two groups in postoperative morphine consumption during hospitalization or the time to hospital discharge (Table II, Figure 4). The two groups showed similar quadriceps strength at all time points (Table III).

At one year after surgery, the number of patients with no pain, mild pain, and severe pain in PENG group was 38, 6, and 1 case, respectively, while 37, 5, and 3 cases in the AQL group, respectively. There was no significant difference in pain level at one year after surgery (\( p = 0.718 \)).

During hospitalization, the PENG group and AQL group showed similar incidences of nausea (\( p = 0.642 \)), vomiting (\( p = 0.535 \)), and wound complications (\( p = 1.000 \)) (Table IV). At one year after surgery, the two groups showed similar incidences of postoperative chronic pain (\( p = 0.609 \)). After surgery, neither group experienced local anesthetic intoxication, infection, abdominal organ puncture, nerve puncture, nerve damage, vascular puncture, bleeding, quadriceps weakness, or falls.

**Discussion**

Patients without significant comorbidities typically undergo primary THA on an ambulatory basis or with stays in the hospital for less than 24 h.\(^6,23,24\). Since peripheral nerve blocks can reduce perioperative opioid consumption and enhance recovery\(^6\), we compared motor-sparing PENG and AQL blocks, which may be effective for this purpose.
Figure 3. Average postoperative pain scores at rest (A) and during motion (B), based on a visual analogue scale. Error bars indicate standard deviation from the mean. *p<0.05 vs. AQL group.

Table II. Postoperative morphine consumption and hospitalization duration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>PENG group (n=45)</th>
<th>AQL group (n=45)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphine consumption during hospitalization (mg)</td>
<td>6.2±5.8</td>
<td>6.9±6.0</td>
<td>0.608*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of hospitalization (hours)</td>
<td>52.1±13.0</td>
<td>54.4±13.8</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD, unless otherwise noted. AQL, anterior quadratus lumborum; PENG, pericapsular nerve group. *Mann-Whitney U test; bLog-rank test.

Table III. Postoperative quadriceps strength based on 5-level manual assessment*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours after surgery</th>
<th>PENG group (n=45)</th>
<th>AQL group (n=45)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0/0/0/13/26/6</td>
<td>0/0/0/14/25/6</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0/0/0/4/34/7</td>
<td>0/0/0/7/30/8</td>
<td>0.711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0/0/0/2/32/11</td>
<td>0/0/0/4/32/9</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0/0/0/0/22/23</td>
<td>0/0/0/2/25/18</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0/0/0/0/5/40</td>
<td>0/0/0/0/8/37</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are number of cases in levels 0/1/2/3/4/5, unless otherwise noted. AQL, anterior quadratus lumborum; PENG, pericapsular nerve group. *See Methods for descriptions of the levels. *Mann-Whitney U test.
safe and effective, and that the PENG block may provide slightly better postoperative analgesia during the first 6 h after surgery.

The AQL block affects mainly the spinal nerve in the thoracolumbar fascia space, reducing the risk of femoral nerve block. In contrast, the PENG block affects the articular branches of the femoral, obturator, and accessory obturator nerves, which provide sensory innervation to the anterior hip capsule. Thus, both blocks have proven

**Figure 4.** Survival analysis of the duration of hospitalization.

**Table IV.** Postoperative complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>PENG group (n=45)</th>
<th>AQL group (n=45)</th>
<th>( p^* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>12 (26.7)</td>
<td>14 (31.1)</td>
<td>0.642*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>7 (15.6)</td>
<td>5 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.535*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound complications</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
<td>2 (4.4)</td>
<td>1.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative chronic pain</td>
<td>1 (2.2)</td>
<td>3 (6.7)</td>
<td>0.609*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local anesthetic intoxication</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal organ puncture</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve puncture</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve damage</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular puncture</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadriceps weakness</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall after surgery</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are n (%), unless otherwise noted. AQL, anterior quadratus lumborum; PENG, pericapsular nerve group. *Pearson’s Chi-squared test.
to be motor-sparing and effective after THA in many trials\cite{12,15,18,19}, as well as in the present work. In addition, we provide the first evidence that the PENG block may provide slightly stronger analgesia during the first hours after surgery.

Despite its efficacy in numerous trials, the PENG block provides analgesia to the anterior hip capsule but not to the posterior capsule, which is innervated by nerves from the sacral plexus to the quadratus femoris\cite{27}. In addition, the PENG block does not provide analgesia for the skin incision, which is innervated by the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve and by lateral cutaneous branches of the iliohypogastric and subcostal nerves\cite{19,28}. Therefore, postoperative analgesia after THA may be better if the PENG block is combined with local anesthetic infiltration in the posterior capsule and around the incision.

None of the subjects in our trial fell after surgery. Similar results after PENG block or quadratus lumborum block have been reported in several trials\cite{12-17,29}. This can be attributed, in part, to the ability of both blocks to maintain quadriceps strength. Quadriceps weakness hinders early ambulation and increases the risk of postoperative falls\cite{30,31}. However, in our trial, neither the PENG nor AQL block was completely motor-sparing: at 3 h after surgery, for instance, about 30% of patients in each group experienced some paresis or paralysis during knee extension (level 3). Nevertheless, this proportion dropped to 10-15% by 6 h after surgery. We attribute this motor block to the spread of local anesthetic to the femoral nerve during the PENG block, or to the influence of local anesthetic on the femoral nerve during the AQL block. To spare motor function even more, the dose of local anesthetic in both types of peripheral nerve block should be optimized. Indeed, future studies should optimize the local anesthetic agent and total local anesthetic dose/volume in PENG and AQL blocks, since these parameters have varied widely in published trials\cite{13,15,17,19,29,32,34}. Periarticular local anesthetic infiltration should also be explored in combination with these blocks.

Prior to the study, we evaluated the potential risks of AQL block and PENG block. In order to avoid related risks, a series of preventive actions were carried out. As reported in previous studies\cite{35,34}, with precise operation and limited local anesthetic dosage, there are almost no related complications. Current clinical evidence indicates that both nerve blocks are safe techniques.

**Limitations**

While the present work is the first randomized controlled trial that compares PENG and AQL blocks for postoperative analgesia following THA, the results should be interpreted with caution in light of several limitations. First, manual testing may not detect subtle changes in muscle strength, so future work could use instruments such as an isokinetic dynamometer\cite{29,37}. Second, our study included only THA conducted via the posterolateral approach, which is nevertheless the most common surgical approach worldwide\cite{38}. Third, all surgeries in this study were performed by two surgeons so that the results may have been confounded by differences in surgical technique. Fourth, all nerve blocks were performed by one senior anesthesiologist, masking the fact that nerve blocks can strongly depend on the clinician. Our findings should be verified in studies involving multiple surgeons and anesthesiologists. Because of these limitations, further studies are still needed to determine whether PENG block is superior to AQL block.

**Conclusions**

The PENG block can show similar safety and efficacy as the well-established AQL block for patients undergoing THA. In fact, the PENG block may even show greater analgesic efficacy, at least in the first several hours after the procedure.
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